

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ASUSTEK COMPUTER INC. AND ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL,

Petitioners

v.

MAXELL, LTD.,

Patent Owner

Case: IPR2019-00071

U.S. Patent No. 6,430,498

Issued: August 6, 2002

Inventor(s): Kishiko Maruyama, Shigeru Shimada, and Toshiichirou Sasaki

Title: PORTABLE TERMINAL WITH THE FUNCTION OF WALKING
NAVIGATION

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. §42**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
US Patent and Trademark Office
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Apple v. Maxell

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF EXHIBITS	viii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	2
A. Real Party in Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	2
B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	2
C. Designation of Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))	2
D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	3
III. PAYMENT OF FEES (37 C.F.R. §42.103)	3
IV. REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.104	3
A. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)).....	3
B. Summary of the Challenges (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)–(2)).....	3
C. Claim Construction (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(3)).....	4
D. Unpatentability of the Construed Claims (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(4))	4
E. Supporting Evidence (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(5)).....	5
V. BACKGROUND OF THE '498 PATENT	5
A. Technology Background and Patent Summary	5
B. The Prosecution History of the '498 Patent	11
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(3))	13
A. Indefiniteness.....	13
1. “a device for getting location information denoting a present place of said portable terminal” and “a device for getting direction information denoting an orientation of said portable terminal” (Claims 1, 5, and 10)	15
2. “walking navigation,” “walking navigation information,” and “said walking navigation information” (Claims 1, 5, and 10)	19
3. “route guidance information” and “neighborhood guidance information” (Claims 1, 5, 8, and 10).....	20
VII. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT PETITIONER WILL PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '498 PATENT	21
A. Priority Date	21
B. Prior Art.....	22
1. Japanese Patent H07-280583 (“Suzuki”).....	22
2. Japanese Patent Number H10-170301 (“Nosaka”).....	26
3. U.S. Patent No. 5,781,150 (“Norris”)	30

4.	U.S. Patent No. 5,592,382 (“Colley”).....	34
C.	Motivations to Combine	36
1.	Ground 1: Claims 1-3, and 5-8 of the ’498 Patent are rendered obvious by Suzuki in combination with Nosaka under 35 U.S.C. § 103. 37	
2.	Ground 2: Claims 1-3, and 5-8 of the ’498 Patent are rendered obvious by Suzuki in combination with Colley under 35 U.S.C. § 103. 38	
3.	Ground 3: 1-3 and 5-7 of the ‘498 patent are rendered obvious by Suzuki in combination with Colley and Ellenby under 35 U.S.C § 103. 39	
4.	Ground 4: Claims 1, 3-5, 7-11, and 13 of the ‘498 patent are rendered obvious by Norris in combination with Colley under 35 U.S.C § 103.....	41
5.	Ground 5: Claims 1-2, 5-6, 8, 10, and 12, of the ’498 Patent are rendered obvious by Norris in combination with Nosaka and Colley under 35 U.S.C. § 1003.....	42
6.	Ground 6: Claims 1-2, 5-6, 8, 10, and 12, of the ’498 Patent are rendered obvious by Norris in combination with Colley and Ellenby under 35 U.S.C. § 1003.....	43
D.	Ground 1: Claims 1-3 and 5-8 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §103 as Obvious over the Combination of Suzuki and Nosaka.....	45
1.	“A portable terminal, with the function of walking navigation” (Claims 1 and 5).....	45
2.	“a device for getting location information denoting a present place of said portable terminal” (Claims 1 and 5).....	46
3.	“a device for getting direction information denoting an orientation of said portable terminal” (Claims 1 and 5).....	49
4.	“wherein a direction and a distance of a destination from said present place are denoted with an orientation and a length of a line that is distinguished between starting and ending points to supply route guidance information as said walking navigation information” (Claim 1) 53	
5.	“A portable terminal, with the function of walking navigation according to Claim [1/5], wherein said device for getting direction information gets information of a direction pointed by the tip[s] of said portable terminal, as well as an angle of elevation” (Claims 2 and 6) ..	57
6.	“A portable terminal, with the function of walking navigation according to Claim [1/5] wherein said device for getting direction	

	information gets orientation information of a display of said portable terminal” (Claims 3 and 7).....	64
	7. “wherein a local route around said present place is shown with a bent line and a direction of movement is shown with an arrow on said bent line to supply route guidance information as said walking navigation information” (Claim 5).	66
	8. “The portable terminal with said function of walking navigation according to claim 5 wherein neighborhood guidance information is retrieved in a direction form said present place so as to supply said neighborhood guidance information as said walking navigation information” (Claim 8)	70
E.	Ground 2: Claims 1-3 and 5-8 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §103 as Obvious over the Combination of Suzuki and Colley	72
	1. “A portable terminal, with the function of walking navigation” (Claims 1 and 5).....	72
	2. “a device for getting location information denoting a present place of said portable terminal” (Claims 1 and 5).....	73
	3. “a device for getting direction information denoting an orientation of said portable terminal” (Claims 1 and 5).....	74
	4. “wherein a direction and a distance of a destination from said present place are denoted with an orientation and a length of a line that is distinguished between starting and ending points to supply route guidance information as said walking navigation information” (Claim 1) 75	
	5. “A portable terminal, with the function of walking navigation according to Claim [1/5], wherein said device for getting direction information gets information of a direction pointed by the tip[s] of said portable terminal, as well as an angle of elevation” (Claims 2 and 6) ..	77
	6. “A portable terminal, with the function of walking navigation according to Claim [1/5] wherein said device for getting direction information gets orientation information of a display of said portable terminal” (Claims 3 and 7).....	78
	7. “wherein a local route around said present place is shown with a bent line and a direction of movement is shown with an arrow on said bent line to supply route guidance information as said walking navigation information” (Claim 5).	79
	8. “The portable terminal with said function of walking navigation according to claim 5 wherein neighborhood guidance information is retrieved in a direction form said present place so as to supply said	

	neighborhood guidance information as said walking navigation information” (Claim 8)	80
F.	Ground 3: Claims 1-3 and 5-7 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §103 as Obvious over the Combination of Suzuki, Colley, and Ellenby.....	81
	1. “A portable terminal, with the function of walking navigation” (Claims 1 and 5).....	81
	2. “a device for getting location information denoting a present place of said portable terminal” (Claims 1 and 5).....	81
	3. “wherein a direction and a distance of a destination from said present place are denoted with an orientation and a length of a line that is distinguished between starting and ending points to supply route guidance information as said walking navigation information” (Claim 1)	81
	4. “A portable terminal, with the function of walking navigation according to Claim [1/5] wherein said device for getting direction information gets orientation information of a display of said portable terminal” (Claims 3 and 7).....	81
	5. “wherein a local route around said present place is shown with a bent line and a direction of movement is shown with an arrow on said bent line to supply route guidance information as said walking navigation information” (Claim 5).	81
	6. “a device for getting direction information denoting an orientation of said portable terminal” (Claims 1 and 5).....	81
	7. “A portable terminal, with the function of walking navigation according to Claim [1/5], wherein said device for getting direction information gets information of a direction pointed by the tip[s] of said portable terminal, as well as an angle of elevation” (Claims 2 and 6) ..	83
G.	Ground 4: Claims 1-7 and 9-13 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §103 as Obvious over the Combination of Norris and Colley.	85
	1. “A portable terminal, with the function of walking navigation” (Claims 1, 5, and 10).....	85
	2. “a device for getting location information denoting a present place of said portable terminal” (Claims 1, 5, and 10).....	86
	3. “a device for getting direction information denoting an orientation of said portable terminal” (Claims 1, 5, and 10).....	88
	4. “wherein a direction and a distance of a destination from said present place are denoted with an orientation and a length of a line that is distinguished between starting and ending points to supply route guidance information as said walking navigation information” (Claim 1)	90

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.