

1 Jamie B. Beaber (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
*jbeaber@mayerbrown.com*  
2 Kfir B. Levy (State Bar No. 235372)  
*klevy@mayerbrown.com*  
3 James A. Fussell, III (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
*jfussell@mayerbrown.com*  
4 Tiffany A. Miller (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
*tmiller@mayerbrown.com*  
5 William J. Barrow (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
*wbarrow@mayerbrown.com*

6 **MAYER BROWN LLP**  
1999 K Street NW  
7 Washington, DC 20006  
Phone: 202.263.3000

8 Robert G. Pluta (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
*rpluta@mayerbrown.com*

9 **MAYER BROWN LLP**  
10 71 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, IL 60606  
11 Phone: 312.701.8641

12 Patricia L. Peden State Bar No. 206440  
*Patricia.peden@leclairryan.com*

13 **LECLAIRRYAN LLP**  
14 44 Montgomery Street  
Suite 3200  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
15 Phone: 415.913.4932

16 Attorneys for Plaintiff MAXELL, LTD.

17 Michael J. Newton State Bar No. 156225  
*mike.newton@alston.com*

18 **ALSTON & BIRD LLP**  
19 2828 North Harwood Street, Suite 1800  
Dallas, TX 75201  
Phone: 214.922.3400

20 Attorney for Defendant ASUSTeK Computer Inc.

21 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
22 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
23 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

24 MAXELL, LTD.,

25 Plaintiff,

26 v.  
ASUSTeK Computer Inc. and ASUS  
Computer International

27 Defendants.

Case No. 3:18-cv-01788-VC\_

**JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION  
AND PREHEARING STATEMENT  
UNDER PATENT L.R. 4-3**

28

1 Plaintiff Maxell, Ltd. (“Maxell” or “Plaintiff”) and Defendants ASUSTeK  
 2 Computer Inc. and ASUS Computer International (“ASUS” or “Defendant”) submit  
 3 the following Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement for the asserted  
 4 claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,403,226 (the ’226 Patent), 9,544,517 (the ’517 Patent),  
 5 6,430,498 (the ’498 Patent), 6,243,340 (the ’340 Patent), 6,973,334 (the ’334 Patent),  
 6 7,551,209 (the ’209 Patent), and 9,451,229 (the ’229 Patent).  
 7  
 8

9  
 10 **I. CONSTRUCTION OF CLAIM TERMS UPON WHICH THE PARTIES  
 11 AGREE (4-3(A))**

12 The parties have met and conferred, and have reached agreement on the  
 13 following terms:

Claim Term	Agreed Construction
“residual storage of a (the) battery” (’340 Patent, Claim 1)	“remaining battery charge”
“when the residual storage of a battery decreases” (’340 Patent, Claim 1)	“when the remaining battery charge decreases”
“detecting a decrease in a residual storage of a battery” (’340 Patent, Claim 1)	“detecting a decrease in a remaining battery charge”
“managing information (intermediate information)”/ “managing information” (’340 Patent, Claim 1)	Plain and ordinary meaning.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Preambles: “A cellular telephone used in a CDMA system” and “A method of controlling a cellular telephone used in a CDMA system, said cellular telephone including a transmitter having a variable amplitude amplifier and a power amplifier” (’334 Patent, Claims 1 and 4)	Preambles are limiting
8 9	“detecting an achromatic portion” (’209 Patent, Claims 1, 3, 5, and 6)	“detecting a white portion”

10  
11 **II. EACH PARTY’S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF DISPUTED TERMS TOGETHER WITH IDENTIFICATION OF SUPPORTING INTRINSIC AND EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE (4-3(B))**

12  
13 Exhibit A-1 hereto sets forth Maxell’s constructions and identified support  
14 including intrinsic and extrinsic evidence regarding disputed claim terms for the  
15 asserted patents.

16  
17 Exhibit B-1 hereto sets forth ASUS’s constructions and identified support  
18 including intrinsic and extrinsic evidence regarding disputed claim terms for the  
19 asserted patents.

20  
21 **III. THE MOST SIGNIFICANT TERMS FOR CONSTRUCTION (4-3(C))**

22  
23 The parties have collectively identified the following terms as the most  
24 significant to the resolution of this case:

No.	Claim Term
25 26 27	1 “MPEG Method” (’229 Patent, Claims 1, 5, and 9)
28	2 “said walking navigation information” (’498 Patent, Claims 1, 5, and 10)
	3 “white balance controlling means” (’200 Patent, Claim 1)

4	“recording means for recording data on said information recording medium” (’340 Patent, Claim 1)
---	--

In addition, the parties individually identify each of the below terms as most significant:

No.	Maxell Terms
5	“wherein the zoom operation unit is interlocked with an optical zoom mechanism” (’517 patent, Claim 3)
6	“a function defining a relation between bias data and gain data stored in said memory” (’334 patent, Claims 1 and 4)
7	“variable amplitude amplifier” (’334 Patent, Claims 1 and 4)
ASUS Terms	
8	“increases gradually to the maximum value (’334 Patent, Claims 1 and 4)
9	“a device for getting location information denoting a present place of said portable terminal” (’498 Patent, Claims 1, 5, and 10)
10	“object distance detecting means” (’209 Patent, Claims 1, 3, 5, and 6)

Of the foregoing ten terms, the parties identify the following terms as claim dispositive, in view of ASUS’s contention that such terms are indefinite:

- “said walking navigation information” (’498 Patent, Claims 1, 5, and 10)
- “white balance controlling means” (’209 Patent, Claim 1)
- “wherein the zoom operation unit is interlocked with an optical zoom mechanism” (’517 patent, Claim 3)
- “increases gradually to the maximum value (’334 Patent, Claims 1 and 4)
- “a device for getting location information denoting a present place of said portable terminal” (’498 Patent, Claims 1, 5, and 10)
- “object distance detecting means” (’209 Patent, Claims 1, 3, 5, and 6)

1 ASUS also contends that the following terms are likely to be claim  
2 dispositive with respect to the issue of infringement:

- 3 • “MPEG method” (’229 Patent, Claims 1, 5, and 9)
- 4 • “recording means for recording data on said information recording medium”  
5 (’340 Patent, Claim 1)

6  
7  
8 **IV. ANTICIPATED LENGTH OF TIME FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION HEARING (4-4(D))**

9 The parties anticipate that three hours will be sufficient for a claim  
10 construction hearing on all of the most significant terms for construction.

11  
12 **V. IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESSES FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION HEARING (4-3(E))**

13 Maxell expects to proffer testimony either live or via declaration from the  
14 following experts: Dr. Vijay Madiseti, Dr. Michael Braasch, Dr. Brana Vojcic, Dr.  
15 Shukri Souri, and Dr. Joshua Phinney. Each expert is expected to provide testimony  
16 about how the disputed claim terms would have been understood by a person of  
17 ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed inventions. A summary of each  
18 expert’s anticipated testimony is appended hereto at Exhibits A-2 – A-6.  
19  
20 Additionally, Maxell may call any of these experts to testify live to rebut any such  
21 testimony proffered by ASUS.

22  
23  
24  
25 ASUS expects to proffer testimony either live or via declaration from the  
26 following experts: Dr. Barmak Mansoorian, Mr. Scott Andrews, Dr. Zhi Ding, Dr.  
27 Dan Schonfeld, and Dr. Masud Mansuripur. A summary of each expert’s anticipated  
28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.