

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ERICSSON INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC,  
Patent Owner.

IPR2020-00376  
U.S. Patent No.: 7,016,676  
Issued: March 21, 2006  
Application No.: 10/089,959  
Filed: August 8, 2001

Title: METHOD, NETWORK AND CONTROL STATION FOR THE TWO-  
WAY ALTERNATE CONTROL OF RADIO SYSTEMS OF DIFFERENT  
STANDARDS IN THE SAME FREQUENCY BAND

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,016,676**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....v

LIST OF EXHIBITS ..... vii

MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8 ..... ix

    1. Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8 (b)(1))..... ix

    2. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8 (b)(2)) ..... ix

    3. Lead and Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8 (b)(3))  
    and Service Information ..... xi

    4. Proof of Service (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6 (e) and 42.105 (a)) ..... xi

    5. Word Count Certification (37 C.F.R. § 42.24) ..... xii

I. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....1

II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)).....1

III. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE AND  
RELIEF REQUESTED (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)).....1

    A. Claims For Which Review Is Requested  
    (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1)) .....2

    B. Statutory Grounds For Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104 (b)(2)).....2

IV. BACKGROUND AND STATE OF THE ART.....3

    A. Applicant’s Admitted Prior Art.....4

        1. Different Radio Interface Standards Operating  
        In The Same Frequency Band Was Known .....4

        2. Using A Control Station To Moderate Network  
        Traffic Was Known .....7

3.	“Switching” Frequency To Avoid Interference Was Known.....	7
B.	Summary Of The Prior Art To The '676 Patent.....	8
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '676 PATENT .....	8
A.	The '676 Patent's Specification.....	9
B.	The Prosecution History .....	14
C.	The Challenged Claims .....	16
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)) .....	17
A.	Level Of Skill In The Art.....	19
B.	Proposed Constructions .....	19
1.	(Claim 1) “Stations Which Operate In Accordance With A First Radio Interface Standard And/Or A Second Radio Interface Standard” .....	19
2.	(Claim 1) “Renders The Frequency Band Available For Access By The Stations Working In Accordance With The Second Radio Interface Standard If Stations Working In Accordance With The First Radio Interface Standard Do Not Request Access To The Frequency Band” .....	22
3.	(Claim 2) “Respective Duration” In Which The Stations Working In Accordance With The Second Radio Interface Standard Are Allowed To Utilize The Frequency Band .....	25
VII.	EACH CHALLENGED CLAIM OF THE '676 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE OVER THE CITED PRIOR ART (37 CFR § 42.104 (b)(4), 37 CFR § 42.104(b)(5)).....	25
A.	GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1 AND 2 ARE OBVIOUS OVER HOMERF .....	25

1.	HomeRF: Wireless Networking For The Connected Home .....	26
2.	Claim 1 Is Obvious Over HomeRF .....	39
3.	HomeRF Shows The Added Limitations Of Claim 2 .....	46
B.	GROUND 2: CLAIMS 1 AND 2 ARE OBVIOUS OVER HOMERF IN VIEW OF HOMERF TUTORIAL .....	46
1.	HomeRF Tutorial.....	46
2.	Motivation To Combine The HomeRF References.....	51
3.	Claim 1 Is Obvious Over HomeRF In View Of HomeRF Tutorial .....	52
4.	HomeRF In View Of HomeRF Tutorial Shows The Added Limitations Of Claim 2. ....	55
C.	GROUND 3: CLAIMS 1 AND 2 ARE OBVIOUS OVER HOMERF IN VIEW OF HOMERF LIAISON REPORT .....	55
1.	HomeRF Liaison Report .....	55
2.	Motivation To Combine HomeRF Liaison Report, HomeRF .....	58
3.	Claim 1 Is Obvious Over HomeRF In View Of HomeRF Liaison Report .....	58
D.	GROUND 4: CLAIMS 1 AND 2 ARE OBVIOUS OVER LANSFORD .....	60
1.	U.S. Patent No. 6,937,158 (“Lansford”) .....	60
2.	Claim 1 Is Obvious Over Lansford .....	69
3.	Lansford Shows The Added Limitations Of Claim 2 .....	73
VIII.	NO OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS.....	74

IX. CONCLUSION.....74

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH TYPE-VOLUME  
LIMITATION PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.24.....75

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE IN COMPLIANCE WITH  
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)(4).....76

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.