

Exhibit C

WISCONSIN Information Retrieval
For Business and Industry
TECH SEARCH

WTS Number: 678749



Request Date: 2/11/11 2:42 PM

Conf Number: 214295

Requester: Timothy Jones

Sterne Kessler Goldstein & Fox
1100 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 900

Washington, DC 20005

RUSH

Company Phone: 202-371-2600

Delivery: Email

Requester Phone: 202-772-8789

Instructions:

Fax: 202-371-2540

Requester Email: Tjones@skgf.com

Send-To Email: Tjones@skgf.com

Reference: 2286.0030002

1)Juniper et al., J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 83(3):627-633 (1989);

Med
Q
75796

0091-6749

An outreach service of the Kurt F. Wendt Library, University of Wisconsin - Madison
Email: wts@enr.wisc.edu | Web: <http://www.wisc.edu/techsearch> | Phone: (608) 262-5917

Requester assumes responsibility for copyright compliance.

Refer Off Campus

F134

THE JOURNAL OF
ALLERGY
AND
CLINICAL
IMMUNOLOGY

HEALTH SCIENCES LIBRARY
University of Wisconsin
4205 Linden Dr., Madison, Wis. 53706
MAR 28 1989

VOL. 83, NO. 3
MARCH 1989

Table of contents listed on pages 3A, 5A, 7A, and 8A

OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF
AMERICAN ACADEMY OF ALLERGY AND IMMUNOLOGY

Forty-sixth Annual Meeting

March 23-28, 1990
Baltimore Convention Center
Baltimore, Md.

Published monthly by The C.V. Mosby Company
ISSN 0091-6749

THE JOURNAL OF
ALLERGY
 AND
CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY

VOLUME 83

 Mosby

NUMBER 3

Copyright © 1989 by The C.V. Mosby Company

CONTENTS
 March 1989

Original articles

Hymenoptera venom immunotherapy. I. Induction of T cell-mediated immunity by honeybee venom immunotherapy: Relationships with specific antibody responses 563

B. Lesourd, MD, PhD, J. Paupe, MD, M. Thiollet, R. Moulins, MD, J. Sainte-Laudy, MD, and P. Scheinmann, MD, Paris, France

Hymenoptera venom immunotherapy. II. T proliferative and T suppressive activities induced by *Vespula* immunotherapy: Effects on long-term antibody responses 572

B. Lesourd, MD, PhD, J. Paupe, MD, M. Melani, PhD, J. Sainte-Laudy, MD, R. Moulins, MD, and P. Scheinmann, MD, Paris, France

Contents continued on page 5A

Vol. 83, No. 3, March 1989. THE JOURNAL OF ALLERGY AND CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY (ISSN 0091-6749) is published monthly by The C.V. Mosby Company, 11830 Westline Industrial Drive, St. Louis, MO 63146-3318; phone (314) 872-8370, ext. 351. Second class postage paid at St. Louis, Mo., and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE JOURNAL OF ALLERGY AND CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY, 11830 Westline Industrial Drive, St. Louis, MO 63146-3318.

1989 Annual subscription rates	U.S.A.	International (surface mail)	International (airmail)*				
		All regions	Canada	Mexico	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3
Institutional†	\$106.00	\$127.00	\$158.00	\$164.25	\$176.50	\$218.25	\$260.00
Individual‡	\$ 50.00	\$ 71.00	\$102.00	\$108.25	\$120.50	\$162.25	\$204.00
Student, resident‡	\$ 32.00	\$ 53.00	\$ 84.00	\$ 90.25	\$102.50	\$144.25	\$186.00

Single copies are \$8.00. Remittances should be made by check, draft, or post office or express money order, in U.S. funds, drawn through a US bank, payable to this JOURNAL. Claims for missing issues will be serviced only within 6 months of cover date. Single copy price will be charged on missing issues older than 6 months from cover date.

**Airmail breakdown—Domestic:* First-class and Priority rates for the US and possessions are available upon request. *Canada:* All provinces of Canada. *Mexico:* All localities within Mexico. *Region 1:* Colombia, Venezuela, Central America, Caribbean Islands, Bahamas, Bermuda, and St. Pierre and Miquelon (also, from American Samoa to Western Samoa, and from Guam to the Philippines). *Region 2:* South America (except Colombia and Venezuela), Europe (except Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and USSR), and North Africa (Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, Libya, and Egypt). *Region 3:* Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, USSR, Asia, Australia, New Zealand, Pacific Ocean Islands, Africa (other than North Africa), Indian Ocean Islands, and the Middle East.

†Institutional (multiple-reader) subscriptions are available to public and private libraries, schools, hospitals, and clinics; city, county, state, provincial, and national government bureaus and departments; and all commercial and private institutions and organizations.

‡Individual subscriptions and all student-rate subscriptions must be in the names of, billed to, and paid by individuals. All student-rate requests must indicate training status and name of institution.

Subscriptions may begin at any time.

Printed in the USA. Copyright © 1989 by The C.V. Mosby Company.

March 1989

Page 3A

Comparison of beclomethasone dipropionate aqueous nasal spray, astemizole, and the combination in the prophylactic treatment of ragweed pollen-induced rhinoconjunctivitis

E. F. Juniper, MSc, P. A. Kline, RN, F. E. Hargreave, MD, and J. Dolovich, MD
Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

The clinical efficacy and side effect of (1) beclomethasone dipropionate aqueous nasal spray, 400 µg daily, (2) astemizole, 10 mg daily, and (3) beclomethasone, 400 µg, plus astemizole, 10 mg daily, were compared in a double-blind, randomized, parallel-group trial. Ninety adults were matched into groups of three according to sensitivity to ragweed pollen. One of each of the three subjects was assigned to nasal spray alone, one was assigned to astemizole alone, and one subject was assigned to both medications. Medications were started 1 week before and continued daily until 1 week after the ragweed-pollen season (6 weeks). If rhinoconjunctivitis was inadequately controlled with the trial medications, pressurized steroid nasal spray and/or antihistamine-decongestant eye drops were used in the minimum dose that would ensure relief. Nose and eye symptoms and concomitant medication use were recorded daily in a diary. Sneezing, nasal obstruction, and rhinorrhea were significantly better, and less additional nasal spray was used in subjects taking beclomethasone alone than in subjects taking astemizole alone. Beclomethasone plus astemizole provided no better control of rhinitis than beclomethasone alone. Eye symptoms and eye drop use tended to be less in subjects taking astemizole alone than in subjects taking beclomethasone alone, but the best control of eye symptoms was recorded in the subjects taking both trial medications. Side effects were mild or transient. (J ALLERGY CLIN IMMUNOL 1989;83:627-33.)

Antihistamine tablets and intranasal steroid spray have been used successfully to treat rhinoconjunctivitis induced by seasonal pollens.^{1,2} Most previous comparisons have suggested that nasal symptoms may be controlled better by steroid nasal sprays,³⁻⁶ although the conclusions are not unanimous,⁷ and that conjunctivitis is treated more effectively by antihistamines.^{4,7} These results and the different pharmacologic properties of the two types of treatment suggest that a combination of nasal steroid and antihistamine may be the most effective approach of overall treatment.

In the last few years, effective, nonsedative anti-

histamines have become popular for the treatment of seasonal allergic rhinoconjunctivitis. More recently, aqueous steroid nasal sprays, with efficacy comparable to the original Freon-propelled delivery system, but with less nasal bleeding and drying, have been introduced.⁸ The pharmacologic profile of nasal steroids suggests that the most effective approach to treatment is regular prophylactic use⁹; therefore, an aqueous delivery system should be effective in achieving this with a reduced risk of side effects. In this study, we have compared the clinical efficacy of beclomethasone dipropionate aqueous nasal spray (Aq. Beconase; Glaxo Canada, Inc., Toronto, Ontario, Canada), taken before and continued daily throughout the ragweed-pollen season, with that of astemizole (Hismanal; Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc., Mississauga, Ontario, Canada), a nonsedative antihistamine whose pharmacologic profile also recommends prophylactic and continuous treatment for allergic rhinoconjunctivitis.¹⁰ We have also examined whether taking the two medications together produces better symptom control than taking either medication individually.

From the Departments of Medicine and Paediatrics, St. Joseph's Hospital and McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. Supported by Glaxo Canada, Inc., Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Received for publication April 15, 1988.

Accepted for publication July 15, 1988.

Reprint requests: E. F. Juniper, MSc, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McMaster University Medical Center, 1206 Main St., West, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8N 3Z5.

TABLE I. Subject characteristics

	Astemizole alone	Beclomethasone alone	Beclomethasone plus astemizole
No.	30	30	30
Sex (M/F)	16/14	15/15	15/15
Age (mean, SD)	39.8 (13.5)	41.3 (11.8)	42.2 (13.8)
Initial ragweed skin sensitivity (mean wheal diameter)			
<2.5 mm	3	3	3
2.5-3.0 mm	4	4	4
3.0-3.5 mm	8	6	7
3.5-4.0 mm	5	7	6
4.0-4.5 mm	6	5	6
>4.5 mm	4	5	4
Severity of ragweed rhinoconjunctivitis the previous year			
1*	5	5	6
2†	5	5	7
3‡	16	12	11
4§	1	6	5
5	3	2	1
History of asthma	5	7	6
Sensitivity to fungal spores	5	4	5
Sensitivity to grass pollen	18	15	20

*Symptoms were well controlled with antihistamine or nasal spray.

†Symptoms were well controlled with antihistamine plus nasal spray or mild symptoms when subject was treated with antihistamine or nasal spray.

‡Mild symptoms when subject was treated with antihistamine plus nasal spray or moderate symptoms when subject treated with antihistamine or nasal spray.

§Moderate symptoms when subject was treated with antihistamine plus nasal spray or severe symptoms when subject was treated with antihistamine or nasal spray.

||Severe symptoms when subject was treated with antihistamine plus nasal spray.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Subjects

Ninety ragweed pollen-sensitive adults, aged 18 to 70 years, who were either attending the Firestone Regional Chest and Allergy Clinic or who responded to a newspaper article, participated in the study. All subjects gave a history of rhinoconjunctivitis that required treatment during the previous two ragweed-pollen seasons, and all subjects had a positive response to skin prick test with ragweed-pollen extract. None of the subjects had perennial rhinitis, and none were more than mildly sensitive to the fungal spores that are in the air at the same time as ragweed pollen. None of the subjects had serious illness other than seasonal rhinitis or asthma. Pregnant and nursing mothers were excluded, and women of childbearing potential were advised to use an effective method of birth control throughout the study and for 2 months thereafter. None of the subjects had taken astemizole, steroid nasal spray, or oral steroid within 6 weeks of enrollment. All subjects signed an informed consent, which, with the study protocol, had been approved by the St. Joseph's Hospital Research Committee.

Study design

The study was designed as a double-blind, randomized, parallel-group comparison of (1) beclomethasone dipropionate aqueous nasal spray, 50 µg per nostril four times daily, (2) astemizole, 10 mg once daily, and (3) beclomethasone dipropionate aqueous nasal spray, 50 µg per nostril four times daily plus astemizole, 10 mg daily. A double-dummy technique was used to achieve blinding.

Three weeks before the anticipated start of the ragweed-pollen season, subjects had duplicate skin prick tests with tenfold serial dilutions of ragweed-pollen extract (25 to 25,000 Noon units, Bencard Allergy Service, Weston, Ontario), with single dilutions of *Alternaria tenuis* and *Cladosporium (Hormodendrum)* (Hollister Steir Laboratories of Canada, Rexdale, Ontario), and mixed grass-pollen extract (Bencard Allergy Service). An allergy history was obtained by questionnaire. Severity of rhinoconjunctivitis during the previous ragweed season was estimated from symptoms and medication requirements (Table I). Subjects were matched into groups of three according to skin sensitivity to the ragweed extract, the severity of ragweed

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.