

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

NOKIA CORP. AND NOKIA OF AMERICA CORP.

Petitioners

v.

PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC,

Patent Owner

---

Case: IPR2019-01291

U.S. Patent No. 6,665,725

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

**UNDER 35 U.S.C. §311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. §42**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
US Patent and Trademark Office  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**TABLE OF EXHIBITS .....v**

**I. INTRODUCTION .....1**

**II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.8.....7**

    A. Real Party in Interest (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1)) .....7

    B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2)).....7

    C. Designation of Counsel (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(3)) .....8

    D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(4)) .....8

**III. PAYMENT OF FEES (37 C.F.R. §42.103) .....8**

**IV. REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.104 .....9**

    A. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)).....9

    B. Summary of the Challenges (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)–(2)).....9

    C. Claim Construction (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(3)).....9

    D. Unpatentability of the Construed Claims (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(4)) .....10

    E. Supporting Evidence (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(5)).....10

**V. SUMMARY OF THE '725 PATENT .....10**

    A. Overview of the '725 Patent.....10

    B. Priority Date .....14

    C. The Prosecution History of the '725 Patent .....14

**VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(3)) .....15**

    A. “Conversational Flow[s]” .....15

    B. “State of the Flow” .....16

    C. “Child Protocol” .....16

**VII. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT PETITIONERS  
WILL PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF  
THE '725 PATENT .....17**

    A. Prior Art.....17

        1. Riddle.....17

            a) Summary of the Problem and Solution.....19

            b) The Operation of Riddle .....21

        2. Baker.....28

        3. RFC 1945 - Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.0 .....29

        4. RFC 1889 - RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time  
Applications.....32

        5. RFC 2326 - Real Time Streaming Protocol (RTSP) .....33

B.	Count 1: Riddle in view of Baker Renders Claims 10, 12, 13, 16, and 17 Obvious.....	35
1.	Claims 10 and 17 .....	35
a)	Limitations [10 Pre] and [17 Pre] “A method of performing protocol specific operations on a packet passing through a connection point on a computer network, the method comprising:” .....	35
b)	Limitations [10(a)] and [17(a)] “(a) receiving the packet;” .....	37
c)	Limitations [10(b)] and [17(b)] “(b) receiving a set of protocol descriptions for a plurality of protocols that conform to a layered model, a protocol description for a particular protocol at a particular layer level including:” .....	38
d)	Limitations [10(b)(i)] and [17(b)(i)] (i) if there is at least one child protocol of the protocol at the particular layer level, the one or more child protocols of the particular protocol at the particular layer level, the packet including for any particular child protocol of the particular protocol at the particular layer level information at one or more locations in the packet related to the particular child protocol,.....	46
e)	Limitations [10(b)(ii)] and [17(b)(ii)] (ii) the one or more locations in the packet where information is stored related to any child protocol of the particular protocol, .....	54
f)	Limitations [10(b)(iii)] and [17(b)(iii)] “(iii) if there is at least one protocol specific operation to be performed on the packet for the particular protocol at the particular layer level, the one or more protocol specific operations to be performed on the packet for the particular protocol at the particular layer level .....	54
g)	Limitations [10(c)] and [17(c)] “(c) performing the protocol specific operations on the packet specified by the set of protocol descriptions based on the base protocol of the packet and the children of the protocols used in the packet,” .....	58
h)	Limitations [10d] “wherein the protocol specific operations include one or more parsing and extraction operations on the packet to extract selected portions of the packet to form a function of the selected portions for identifying the packet as belonging to a conversational flow.” .....	59
i)	Limitation [17(d)] “wherein the packet belongs to a conversational flow of packets having a set of one or more states, and wherein the protocol specific operations include one or more state processing operations that are a function of the	

	state of the conversational flow of the packet, the state of the conversational flow of the packet being indicative of the sequence of any previously encountered packets of the same conversational flow as the packet.” .....	66
2.	Claim 12.....	67
a)	Limitation [12] “A method according to claim 10, wherein which protocol specific operations are performed is step (c) depends on the contents of the packet such that the method adapts to different protocols according to the contents of the packet.” .....	67
3.	Claim 13.....	69
a)	Limitation [13] “A method according to claim 10, wherein the protocol descriptions are provided in a protocol description language.” .....	69
4.	Claim 16.....	70
a)	Limitation [16] “A method according to claim 10, wherein the protocol specific operations further include one or more state processing operations that are a function of the state of the flow of the packet.” .....	70
C.	Count 2: Riddle in View of Baker and Further in View of RFC 1945 Renders Claims 10, 12, 13, 16, and 17 as Obvious.....	71
D.	Count 3: Riddle in View of Baker and Further in View of RFC 1889 and RFC 2326 Renders Claims 10, 12, 13, 16, and 17 as Obvious .....	78
<b>VIII.</b>	<b>FACTORS DO NOT SUPPORT THE BOARD DENYING INSTITUTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 314 and 325 .....</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>IX.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>85</b>

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00812, Paper 43 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 30, 2016).....	passim
<i>Dynamic Drinkware, LLC, v. Nat’l Graphics, Inc.</i> , 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	18
<b>STATUTES</b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	7, 8
37 C.F.R § 42.10(b).....	8
37 C.F.R. §42.15(a).....	8
37 C.F.R. §42.103.....	8
37 C.F.R. §42.104.....	9, 10, 15
35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103, <i>et seq.</i> .....	9
35 U.S.C. §102(b).....	passim
35 U.S.C. §102(e).....	18
35 U.S.C. §103(a).....	9
35 U.S.C. §112.....	14, 18, 19, 24
35 U.S.C. § 314(a).....	17

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.