

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	<b>CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:16-CV-00147-JRG</b>
	§	
SANDVINE CORPORATION, SANDVINE	§	
INCORPORATED ULC,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

**FINAL JUDGMENT**

A jury trial commenced in this case on November 6, 2017. Ultimately, the jury returned a unanimous verdict finding no infringement by Sandvine Corporation and Sandvine Incorporated ULC (Dkt. No. 39).<sup>1</sup>

Pursuant to Rule 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and in accordance with the jury's verdict and the entirety of the record available to the Court, the Court hereby **ORDERS** and **ENTERS JUDGMENT** as follows:

1. Defendants Sandvine Corporation and Sandvine Incorporated ULC (collectively, "Sandvine") do not infringe: Claim 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,725, Claims 1 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,839,751, and Claim 19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,954,789 (the "asserted patents").
2. Pursuant to Rule 54(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 28 U.S.C. § 1920, Defendant Sandvine is the prevailing party and, as the prevailing


---

<sup>1</sup> The jury was not presented with any arguments about the validity of the Asserted Patents.

party, Defendant shall recover its appropriate costs from Plaintiff. Defendant is therefore directed to file its proposed Bill of Costs.

Accordingly, the Clerk is directed to **CLOSE** the above referenced case. All other relief requested by either party and not specifically addressed herein is **DENIED**.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 9th day of February, 2018.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE