1	Brian A. E. Smith (SBN 188147)	
2	Alden KW Lee (SBN 257973) Jeffrey D. Chen (SBN 267837)	
3	Joseph J. Fraresso (SBN 289228) BARTKO, ZANKEL, BUNZEL, & MILLER	
4	One Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111	
	T: 415-956-1900	
5	Email: bsmith@bzbm.com Email: alee@bzbm.com	
6	Email: jchen@bzbm.com   Email: jfraresso@bzbm.com	
7	Jonathan T. Suder ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )	
8	Corby R. Vowell ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )	
9	Dave R. Gunter ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE	
10	604 East 4th Street, Suite 200 Fort Worth, TX 76102	
11	T: 817-334-0400 F: 817-334-0401	
12	jts@fsclaw.com vowell@fsclaw.com	
	gunter@fsclaw.com	
13	Michael F. Heim (Pro Hac Vice)	
14	R. Allan Bullwinkel ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Christopher M. First ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )	
15	HEIM, PAYNE & CHORUSH, LLP 1111 Bagby Street, Suite 2100	
16	Houston, Texas 77002	
17	T: 713-221-2000 F: 713-221-2021	
18	mheim@hpcllp.com abullwinkel@hpcllp.com	
19	cfirst@hpcllp.com	
20	Counsel for Defendant and Counterclaimant, Packet Intelligence LLC	
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
22	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
23	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.,	Case No. 3:19-cv-02471-WHO
24		
25	Plaintiff,	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC'S FIRST AMENDED DISCLOSURE OF
26	v.	ASSERTED CLAIMS AND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS TO
27	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC,	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.
28	Defendant.	
20		
	2697.000/1432433 1  FIRST AMENDED DISCLOSUDE OF ASSERTED	Case No. 3:19-cv-02471-WHO



2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

1112

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC ("Packet Intelligence") hereby provides its first amended disclosure of asserted claims and infringement contentions. Packet Intelligence expressly reserves the right to supplement its contentions based on additional information obtained in discovery and/or the Court's claim construction.

### 1. 3-1 Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions

(a) Each claim of each patent in suit that is allegedly infringed by each opposing party, including for each claim the applicable statutory subsections of 35 U.S.C. §271 asserted;

Based on presently available information, Packet Intelligence contends that PAN directly infringes under 35 U.S.C. §271(a) at least the following claims:

- Claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,651,099;
- Claims 10, 12, 16, and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,725;
- Claims 1, 2, 3, 7, 16, and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,771,646;
- Claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 14, and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,839,751;
- Claims 1, 2, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 33, 34, 42, 44, 48, and 49 of U.S. Patent No. 6,954,789;

Based on presently available information, Packet Intelligence contends that PAN induces and/or has induced its customers to directly infringe 35 U.S.C. §271(b) at least the following claims:

- Claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,651,099;
- Claims 10, 12, 16, and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,725;
- Claims 1, 2, 3, 7, 16, and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,771,646;
- Claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 14, and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,839,751;
- Claims 1, 2, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 33, 34, 42, 44, 48, and 49 of U.S. Patent No. 6,954,789;

**(b)** 

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

> 18 19

20

21

23 24 25

26 27

process, method, act, or other instrumentality ("Accused Instrumentality") of each opposing party of which the party is aware. This identification shall be as specific as possible. Each product, device, and apparatus shall be identified by name or model number, if known. Each method or process shall be identified by name, if known, or by any product, device, or apparatus which, when used, allegedly results in the practice of the claimed method or process;

Separately for each asserted claim, each accused apparatus, product, device,

Based on presently available information, Packet Intelligence accuses the following products of infringing the Asserted Claims listed in section 3-1(a) above:

The "Accused Products" include all PAN products, such as firewall products, that include the App-ID feature, as well as any other PAN products with the same or similar functionality. These products include, but are not limited to: PA-Series Firewall products (PA-200, PA-220, PA-220R, PA-500, PA-820, PA-850, PA-2020, PA-2050, PA-3020, PA-3050, PA-3060, PA-3220, PA-3250, PA-3260, PA-4020, PA-4050, PA-4060, PA-5020, PA-5050, PA-5060, PA-5220, PA-5060, PA-5250, PA-5260, PA-5280, PA-7050, and PA-7080); VM-Series Firewall products (VM-50, VM-100, VM-200, VM-300, VM-500, VM-700, VM-1000-HV, and VM-1000-NSX) and K2-Series Firewall Products, and any other PAN products with similar packet-based traffic classification and processing technology or other products classifying and relating packet-based traffic flows with each other, including using information from one or more of layers 5-7 (session, presentation, and/or application layers) of the OSI model to do so.

(c) A chart identifying specifically where and how each limitation of each asserted claim is found within each Accused Instrumentality, including for each limitation that such party contends is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), the identity of the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) in the Accused Instrumentality that performs the claimed function.

The Accused Products practice the Asserted Claims as shown in the claim charts attached hereto as Exhibits A-O. Any citations to publicly available documentation in the attached claim charts are exemplary and not exhaustive, as are the examples provided of the ways in which the Accused Products satisfy the elements of each of the Asserted Claims. Moreover, any and all citations or references to publicly available documentation should be understood to encompass any and all prior versions that incorporate the same or similar functionality, as well as any similar or derivative products which Packet Intelligence has been unable to discover from publicly available 28 | information to this point.

(d) For each claim which is alleged to have been indirectly infringed, an identification of any direct infringement and a description of the acts of the alleged indirect infringer that contribute to or are inducing that direct infringement. Insofar as alleged direct infringement is based on joint acts of multiple parties, the role of each such party in the direct infringement must be described.

Packet Intelligence contends that PAN has induced infringement of each of the Asserted Claims by instructing, causing, urging, and/or encouraging its customers to make, use, sell, offer for sale and/or import the Accused Products. For example, PAN's customers of the Accused Products in the United States include at least:

Ada County, Idaho.

See: <a href="https://www.paloaltonetworks.com/customers/ada-county">https://www.paloaltonetworks.com/customers/ada-county</a>

Fisher-Titus Medical Center.

See: <a href="https://www.paloaltonetworks.com/customers/fisher-titus-medical-center">https://www.paloaltonetworks.com/customers/fisher-titus-medical-center</a>

See also:

https://www.paloaltonetworks.com/customers

https://www.paloaltonetworks.com/customers/customer-successes

PAN has induced infringement by acts including but not limited to (1) selling such products including features that—when used or resold—infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Patents-in-Suit; (2) marketing the infringing capabilities of such products; and (3) providing instructions, technical support, and other support and encouragement for the use of such products.

PAN provides documentation to its customers describing how to install, implement, and use the PAN-OS operating system and App-ID feature in an infringing manner on the Accused products which is specific evidence of PAN's intent to encourage infringement of the Patents-in-Suit. For example, PAN provides the following documents: PAN-OS New Features Guide, PAN-OS Administrator's Guide, PAN-OS Release Notes, PAN-OS Web Interface Reference, and the PAN-OS CLI Quick Start.

See: <a href="https://docs.paloaltonetworks.com/pan-os.html">https://docs.paloaltonetworks.com/pan-os.html</a>

See also the following documents PAN provides to its customers describing the



implementation of its App-ID feature and how it is to be used in a manner that would infringe the Patents-in-Suit: PAN-OS Administrator's Guide, version 8.0, at pgs. 533-554; and App-ID Technology Brief. See:

https://docs.paloaltonetworks.com/pan-os/8-0/pan-os-admin

and

https://media.paloaltonetworks.com/documents/techbrief-app-id.pdf

In addition, PAN provides technical support for the Accused Products that further instructs customers to use the Accused Products and the App-ID feature in an infringing manner. See PAN support documentation at:

https://support.paloaltonetworks.com/search#q=app-

 $\underline{\%3Bapp\%22\%20,\%20\%22suggestionRanking\%22:4\%20,\%20\%22suggestions\%22:\%22globalpro}$ 

tect%20app%20for%20linux%3Bapplication%20incomplete%3Bapplication%20override%3Bapp

lication%20dependency%20warning%3Bapp-

 $\underline{id\%22\%20,\%20\%22partialQuery\%22:\%22app\%22\%20,\%20\%22JSUIVersion\%22:\%222.3679.44}$ 

6 | %3B2.3679.4%22}

PAN has had knowledge of the Patents-in-Suit and Packet Intelligence's infringement allegations related to the accused products since at least as early as January 18, 2019. As of the time PAN first had notice of the Packet Intelligence's infringement allegations, PAN has continued with its infringement despite an objectively high likelihood that its actions constitute infringement and PAN's subjective knowledge and willful blindness of this obvious risk.

(e) Whether each limitation of each asserted claim is alleged to be literally present or present under the doctrine of equivalents in the Accused Instrumentality;

At this time, and except as otherwise expressly noted in the claim chart, Packet Intelligence contends and reasonably believes that all limitations of the Asserted Claims are present literally. To the extent that any specific limitation of the Asserted Claims is found to not be present literally, then Packet Intelligence contends that, if there are any differences between the claim elements and the Accused Products, the charts attached as Exhibits A-O identify illustrative support for where the



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

