EXHIBIT B8

Summary of Invalidity Analysis of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,725 ("'725 Patent") in view o
U.S. Patent No. 6,412,000 ("Riddle"), further in view of WO 97/23076 ("Baker"),
and further in view of RFC 1945 - Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.0 ("RFC194

U.S. Patent No. 6,412,000, issued on June 25, 2002, qualifies as prior art to the '725 Patent under at least 102(e) because it was filed on November 23, 1998, before the June 30, 1999 filing date of the provisional applica '725 Patent claims priority. Riddle further qualifies as prior art to the '725 Patent under at least Pre-AIA 35 U.S. U.S. patent has an effective prior art date under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. §102(e) based on the filing date of an earlier- application if the patent's relevant subject matter is described in the earlier-filed application, and at least one of t supported by the earlier-filed application's written description in compliance with pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. §112, first application that issued as Riddle was filed on November 23, 1998. Riddle claims priority to U.S. Provisional Pat 60/066,864 ("'864 Provisional"), which was filed on November 25, 1997.

Riddle and the related '864 Provisional incorporate-by-reference the following patent applications in thei

- U.S. Patent Application No. 09/198,051 ("'051 Application");
- U.S. Patent Application No. 08/762,828, issued as U.S. Patent No. 5,802,106;
- U.S. Patent Application No. 08/977,642 ("Packer Application"), having attorney docket number 1 as U.S. Patent No. 6,046,980 ("Packer"); and
- U.S. Patent Application No. 08/742,994, issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,038,216.

WO 97/23076 ("Baker"), published on June 26, 1997, qualifies as prior art to the '725 Patent under at lea § 102(b) because it was published more than one year before the June 30, 1999 filing date of the provisional app '725 Patent claims priority.

RFC 1945 - Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.0 ("RFC1945"), published in March 1996, qualifies a Patent under at least Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) because it was published more than one year before the June 3 the provisional application to which the '725 Patent claims priority.

EXHIBIT B8

Invalidity of U.S. PATENT NO. 6,665,725 in view of Riddle et al.		
CLAIM LANGUAGE		**Exemplary Citations to Riddle et a**
INDEPENDENT CLAIM 10		
10	A method of performing protocol specific operations on a packet passing through a connection point on a computer network, the method comprising:	U.S. Patent No. 6,412,000 ("Riddle") discloses a method of p specific operations on a packet passing through a connection network. For example: "The method for automatically classifying heterogeneous pa telecommunications environment of the present invention is programming language and is operational on a computer sys 1A. This invention may be implemented in a client-server en server environment is not essential. This figure shows a conv computer system which includes a server 20 and numerous c shown as client 25. The use of the term "server' is used in the wherein the server receives queries from (typically remote) c all the processing necessary to formulate responses to the qu responses to the clients. However, server 20 may itself act in when it accesses remote databases located at another node ac The hardware configurations are in general standard and will In accordance with known practice, server 20 includes one o which communicate with a number of peripheral devices via These peripheral devices typically include a Storage Subsyst memory subsystem 35a and a file storage subsystem 35b hol (e.g., code or instructions) and data, a set of user interface in and an interface to outside networks, which may employ Eth IEEE 802.3, ITU X.25, Serial Link Internet Protocol (SLIP) telephone network. This interface is shown schematically as block 40. It is coupled to corresponding interface devices in network connection 45." Riddle, 5:53-6:15.

EXHIBIT B8

		 FIG. 1A (PRIOR ART) Riddle, Fig. 1A.

EXHIBIT B8



FIG. 1B
(PRIOR ART)

Riddle, Fig. 1B.

"FIG. 1C is illustrative of the internetworking of a plurality
of FIGS. 1A and 1B and a plurality of servers such as server
described herein above. In FIG. 1C, network 60 is an examp
frame oriented network. Network 60 links host 61, such as a
WorkStation, which may be running the AIX operating Syst

		personal computer, which may be running Windows 95, IBM system, and host 63, which may be an IBM AS/400 compute the OS/400 operating system. Network 60 is internetworked gateway which is depicted here as router 75, but which may firewall or a network bridge. Network 70 is an example of ar interconnects host 71, which is a SPARC workstation, which operating system with host 72, which may be a Digital Equip which may be running the VMS operating system. Router 75 is a network access point (NAP) of network 70 an employs a Token Ring adapter and Ethernet adapter. This en with the two heterogeneous networks. Router 75 is also awar Protocols, such as ICMP and RIP, which are described herei "8. A system for automatically classifying traffic in a packet network, said network having any number of flows, includin a plurality of network links upon which said traffic is c a network routing means, and, a processor means operative to: parse a packet into a first flow specification, wherein sa contains at least one instance of any one of the follo a protocol family designation, a direction of packet flow designation, a protocol type designation, a pair of ports, in HTTP protocol packets, a pointer to a MIME type; th match the first flow specification of the parsing step to represented by a plurality of said classification tree classification tree type node having a traffic specifi according to the mask; thereupon, if a matching classification tree type node was not foun associating said first flow specification with one or classification tree type nodes, thereupon, incorpora classification tree type nodes into said plurality of s nodes." Riddle, Claim 8.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.