

1 James R. Batchelder (CSB # 136347)
2 Mark D. Rowland (CSB # 157862)
3 Andrew T. Radsch (CSB # 303665)
4 **ROPES & GRAY LLP**
5 1900 University Ave. Sixth Floor
6 East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2284
7 Tel: (650) 617-4000
8 Fax: (650) 617-4090
9 james.batchelder@ropesgray.com
10 mark.rowland@ropesgray.com
11 andrew.radsch@ropesgray.com

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff / Counterclaim-
13 Defendant PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.,
18 Plaintiff / Counterclaim-Defendant,
19 v.
20 PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC
21 Defendant / Counterclaimant.

Case No. 3:19-cv-02471-WHO

**PALO ALTO NETWORKS'
RESPONSIVE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION
BRIEF**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I. INTRODUCTION..... 1

II. RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS..... 2

III. THE ASSERTED PATENTS 2

IV. DISPUTED TERMS FOR CONSTRUCTION..... 3

 A. “conversational flow(s) / “conversational flow-sequence” 3

 1. The term “conversational flow” is indefinite 3

 a. Legal principles of indefiniteness 3

 b. The intrinsic record does not define the meaning and scope of
 “conversational flow” with requisite reasonable certainty 4

 c. Prior rulings underscore the term’s indefiniteness 11

 d. PI should be estopped from asserting the term has a definite
 meaning 12

 2. Any construction of “conversational flow” must incorporate PI’s limiting
 statements to the Patent Office in IPR proceedings 13

 B. “flow-entry database . . . for conversational flows” 16

 C. “protocol/state identification mechanism . . . configured to determine the protocol
 and state of the conversational flow of the packet” 19

 D. “the flow” / “new flow” / “existing flow” 23

 E. Preambles 25

V. CONCLUSION 25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Advanced Ground Info. Sys. v. Life360, Inc.</i> , 830 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	20, 22
<i>Amazon.com, Inc. v. Barnesandnoble.com, Inc.</i> , 239 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	15
<i>Aylus Networks, Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 856 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	2, 14, 15
<i>Capital Sec. Sys., Inc. v. NCR Corp.</i> , 725 F. App'x 952 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	4
<i>Diebold Nixdorf, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 899 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	21
<i>Elston v. Westport Ins. Co.</i> , 253 F. App'x 697 (9th Cir. 2007).....	13
<i>F5 Networks, Inc. v. Radware, Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-03166-VC, 2018 WL 6039873 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 19, 2018).....	14
<i>In re Fought</i> , 941 F.3d 1175 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	25
<i>Grecia v. Samsung Elecs. Am., Inc.</i> , 780 F. App'x 912 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	20
<i>Halliburton Energy Servs., Inc. v. M-I LLC</i> , 514 F.3d 1244 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	4, 6
<i>Infinity Computer Prod., Inc. v. Oki Data Americas, Inc.</i> , No. 18-cv-463, 2019 WL 2422597 (D. Del. June 10, 2019).....	4
<i>Inverness Med. Switzerland GmbH v. Princeton Biomeditech Corp.</i> , 309 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	2
<i>Iridescent Networks, Inc. v. AT&T Mobility, LLC</i> , 933 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	4, 15
<i>J.T. Eaton & Co. v. Atl. Paste & Glue Co.</i> , 106 F.3d 1563 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	4
<i>Kilopass Tech. Inc. v. Sidense Corp.</i> , No. 10-cv-02066 2012 WL 1534065 (N.D. Cal. May 1, 2012).....	13

1 *Kyowa Hakko Bio, Co., Ltd. v. Ajinomoto Co., Inc.*,
 No. 17-cv-00313, 2019 WL 5061066 (D. Del. Oct. 9, 2019) *adopted by* 2020
 2 WL 3403207 (D. Del. June 19, 2020)8

3 *Media Rights Techs., Inc. v. Capital One Fin. Corp.*,
 4 800 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2015)20

5 *Microsoft Corp. v. Multi-Tech Sys., Inc.*,
 6 357 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2004)17

7 *Minnesota Min. & Mfg. Co. v. Chemque, Inc.*,
 8 303 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2002)13

9 *MTD Prods. Inc. v. Iancu*,
 10 933 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2019)20, 21

11 *Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.*,
 12 572 U.S. 898 (2014)3, 4

13 *New Hampshire v. Maine*,
 14 532 U.S. 742 (2001)13

15 *O2 Micro Int’l. v. Beyond Innov.*,
 16 521 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008)16, 23

17 *Pacing Techs., LLC v. Garmin Int’l, Inc.*,
 18 778 F.3d 1021 (Fed. Cir. 2015)25

19 *Phillips v. AWH Corp.*,
 20 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)2

21 *SkinMedica, Inc. v. Histogen, Inc.*,
 22 No. 2012-1560, Slip Op. (Fed. Cir. Aug. 23, 2013)17

23 *Springs Window Fashions LP v. Novo Indus., L.P.*,
 24 323 F.3d 989 (Fed. Cir. 2003)17

25 *Tech. Properties Ltd. LLC v. Huawei Techs. Co.*,
 26 849 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2017)15

27 *Teva Pharm. USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.*,
 28 789 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2015)4, 9

Trustees of Columbia Univ. in City of New York v. NortonLifeLock, Inc.,
 No. 3:13-cv-808, 2019 WL 7040931 (E.D. Va. Dec. 20, 2019)12

Trustees of Columbia Univ. v. Symantec Corp.,
 811 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)24

1 *Verizon Servs. Corp. v. Vonage Holdings Corp.*,
503 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2007)17

2 *Virtual Solutions, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.*,
3 925 F. Supp. 2d 550 (S.D.N.Y. 2013)9

4 *In re Walter*,
5 698 F. App'x 1022 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....4

6 *White v. Dunbar*,
119 U.S. 47 (1886)15

7 *Williamson v. Citrix Online LLC*,
8 792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015)19, 20, 21, 22

9 **Statutes**

10 35 U.S.C. § 112 *passim*

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.