#### Case 3:19-cv-02471-WHO Document 63 Filed 06/04/20 Page 1 of 22

1	Brian A.E. Smith (SBN 188147)			
2	Alden KW Lee (SBN 257973) Jeffrey D. Chen (SBN 267837)			
3	Joseph J. Fraresso (SBN 289228) BARTZO ZANKEL BUNZEL & MILLER			
4	One Embarcadero Center, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94111			
5	Telephone: (415) 956-1900			
6	bsmith@bzbm.com alee@bzbm.com			
7	jchen@bzbm.com jfraresso@bzbm.com			
8	Attorneys for Defendant and			
9	Counterclaimant Packet Intelligence LLC			
10	[Additional counsel listed on signature page]			
11				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
14	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.,	Case No. 3:19-cv-02471-WHO		
15	Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant,	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC'S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION		
16	V.	BRIEF		
17	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC,			
18	Defendant and Counterclaimant.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
19				
20				
21				
22 23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	PI'S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF	CASE NO. 3:19-CV-02471-WHO		



	<u>Table of Contents</u>		
I.	Introduction	1	
II.	BACKGROUND	1	
III.	LEGAL STANDARDS	5	
IV.	DISPUTED TERMS FOR CONSTRUCTION		
	A. "conversational flow(s)"/"conversational flow-sequence"	6	
	1. The Specification Expressly Defines "Conversational Flow"	6	
	2. Defendant's Arguments Fail to Negate the Express Definition of "Conversational Flow"	a	
	B. "a flow-entry database" terms		
	C. "the flow"/ "existing flow" / "new flow"	13	
	D. "a protocol/state identification mechanismconfigured to determine protocol and state of the conversational flow of the packet"	? the 14	
	E. "claim preambles"	16	
V.	CONCLUSION		
	i		



#### Case 3:19-cv-02471-WHO Document 63 Filed 06/04/20 Page 3 of 22

1	Table of Authorities		
2	Table of Authorities		
3	Cases		
4	3M Innovative Props. Co. v. Tredegar Corp. 725 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	10	
5	Allen Eng'g Corp. v. Bartell Indus., Inc., 299 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2002)		
7	Aspex Eyewear, Inc. v. Marchon Eyewear, Inc., 672 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2012)		
8	Cochlear Bone Anchored Sols. AB v. Octicon Med. AB, 958 F. 3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2020)		
9	Intervet Inc. v. Merial Ltd., 617 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	,	
11	Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc., 517 U.S. 370 (1996)		
12	O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Beyond Innovation Tech. Co.,		
13 14	521 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008)		
15	334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003)		
16 17	Phillips v. AWH Corp.,		
18	415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)		
19	158 F.3d 1243 (Fed. Cir. 1998)		
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	112 F.3d 473 (Fed. Cir. 1997)		
22	IPR2017-00450, Paper 8		
23	IPR2017-00451, Paper 8	8, 9	
24	IPR2017-00629, Paper 8		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>			
27	Sandvine Corp., et al. v. Packet Intelligence, LLC, IPR2017-00630, Paper 9		
28	Sandvine Corp., et al. v. Packet Intelligence, LLC, IPR2017-00769, Paper 8	8, 9	
	ii		
ļ	PI'S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF	CASE NO. 3:19-CV-02471-WHO	



## Case 3:19-cv-02471-WHO Document 63 Filed 06/04/20 Page 4 of 22 Teva Pharm. USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc., Teva Pharm. USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc., TomTom, Inc. v. Adolph, U.S. Surgical Corp. v. Ethicon, Inc., Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc., iii



PI'S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

CASE NO. 3:19-CV-02471-WHO

## 

# 

# 

## 

### 

# 

# 

# 

# 

#### I. Introduction

This case involves five related patents: U.S. Patent Nos. 6,651,099 ("the '099 Patent") (attached as Ex. A); 6,665,725 ("the '725 Patent") (attached as Ex. B); 6,771,646 ("the '646 Patent") (attached as Ex. C); 6,839,751 ("the '751 Patent") (attached as Ex. D); and 6,954,789 ("the '789 Patent") (attached as Ex. E) (collectively "the Patents-in-Suit"). Each of the patents claims priority to and incorporates by reference Provisional Application No. 60/141,903 ("Provisional") (attached as Ex. F), and thus the Provisional forms part of the intrinsic evidence.

The Patents-in-Suit generally address classifying and monitoring network traffic passing through one or more nodes or points in the network. Traffic classification involves detecting the underlying protocols implemented in the network traffic, as well as the applications or user activity responsible for generating the network traffic. Traffic monitoring involves tracking the state of the underlying protocols along with relevant network traffic statistics. Such classification and monitoring provide network administrators with detailed information about their networks that can be used to diagnose network problems, control bandwidth allocation, bill for use of the network, and ensure an appropriate quality of service on a per-user granular basis.

Packet's proposed constructions adhere to the well–known principles of claim construction and stem from the plain and ordinary meaning of the terms at issue, in light of the specification's teachings. Defendant's proposed constructions, on the other hand, generally seek to import extraneous limitations or ignore key disclosures to manufacture non-infringement and invalidity positions. Because Packet's constructions follow the canons of patent law and properly balance granting the full scope of Applicants' invention while ensuring that the public has proper notice of the scope of the invention, Packet respectfully requests that the Court adopt its proposed constructions for the disputed terms described below and reject Defendant's proposed constructions.

#### II. BACKGROUND

Before discussing the invention, it is useful to understand certain fundamentals regarding network traffic. The Open Systems Interconnection ("OSI") model represents the protocol layers



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The specifications of the Patents-in-Suit are similar. Generally, the patent that includes the claims at issue for a given term is cited here.

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

