EXHIBIT C7

Summary of Invalidity Analysis of U.S. Patent No. 6,771,646 ("'646 Patent") in view o
U.S. Patent No. 6,412,000 ("Riddle"), further in view of WO 92/19054 ("Ferdinand"), further i
U.S. Patent No. 5,740,175 ("Wakeman"), and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,625,150 (

U.S. Patent No. 6,412,000, issued on June 25, 2002, qualifies as prior art to the '646 Patent under at least 102(e) because it was filed on November 23, 1998, before the June 30, 1999 filing date of the provisional applica '646 Patent claims priority. Riddle further qualifies as prior art to the '646 Patent under at least Pre-AIA 35 U.S. U.S. patent has an effective prior art date under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. §102(e) based on the filing date of an earlier- application if the patent's relevant subject matter is described in the earlier-filed application, and at least one of t supported by the earlier-filed application's written description in compliance with pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. §112, first application that issued as Riddle was filed on November 23, 1998. Riddle claims priority to U.S. Provisional Pat 60/066,864 ("'864 Provisional"), which was filed on November 25, 1997.

Riddle and the related '864 Provisional incorporate-by-reference the following patent applications in thei

- U.S. Patent Application No. 09/198,051 ("'051 Application");
- U.S. Patent Application No. 08/762,828, issued as U.S. Patent No. 5,802,106;
- U.S. Patent Application No. 08/977,642 ("Packer Application"), having attorney docket number 1 as U.S. Patent No. 6,046,980 ("Packer"); and
- U.S. Patent Application No. 08/742,994, issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,038,216.

WO 92/19054 ("Ferdinand"), published on October 29, 1992, qualifies as prior art to the '646 Patent und U.S.C. § 102(b) because it was published more than one year before the June 30, 1999 filing date of the provisio which the '646 Patent claims priority.

U.S. Patent No. 5,740,175 ("Wakeman"), published on April 14, 1998, qualifies as prior art to the '646 P Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) because it was published more than one year before the June 30, 1999 filing date of application to which the '646 Patent claims priority.

U.S. Patent No. 6,625,150 ("Yu"), issued on September 23, 2003, qualifies as prior art to the '646 Patent AIA 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) since a U.S. patent has an effective prior art date under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. §102(e) base an earlier-filed patent application if the patent's relevant subject matter is described in the earlier-filed applicatio the patent's claims is supported by the earlier-filed application's written description in compliance with pre-AIA

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

paragraph. The application that issued as Yu was filed on December 16, 1999. Yu claims priority to U.S. Provisi
Application No. 60/112,859 (“’859 Provisional”), which was filed on December 17, 1998.

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

EXHIBIT C7

Invalidity of U.S. PATENT NO. 6,771,646 in view of Riddle et al.		
CLAIM LANGUAGE		**Exemplary Citations to Riddle et a**
INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1		
1	A packet monitor for examining packets passing through a connection point on a computer network, each packet conforming to one or more protocols, the monitor comprising:	U.S. Patent No. 6,412,000 ("Riddle") discloses a packet mon packets passing through a connection point on a computer ne conforming to one or more protocols. For example: "In a packet communication environment, a method is provi classifying packet flows for use in allocating bandwidth reso assignment of a service level. The method comprises applyin traffic classification paradigms to packet network flows base information obtained from a plurality of layers of a multi-lay protocol in order to define a characteristic class, then mappi traffic class. It is useful to note that the automatic classificat classify a complete enumeration of the possible traffic." Rid "According to the invention, in a packet communication env provided for automatically classifying packet flows for use i resources and the like by a rule of assignment of a service le applying individual instances of traffic classification paradig flows based on selectable information obtained from a plura layered communication protocol in order to define a charact the flow to the defined traffic class. It is useful to note that t is sufficiently robust to classify a complete enumeration of t Riddle, 4:6-17. "3.2 Automatic Traffic Classification Processing FIG. 3 depicts components of a system for automatically cla to the invention. A traffic tree 302 in which new traffic will particular member class node. A traffic classifier 304 detects traffic. Alternatively, the classifier may start with a service a using it. A knowledge base 306 contains heuristics for deter knowledge base may be embodied in a file or a relational da

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

		embodiment, the knowledge is contained within a data struc plurality of saved lists 308 stores classified traffic pending i tree 302. In select embodiments, entries for each instance of alternate embodiments, a copy of an entry and a count of du is maintained." Riddle, 12:27-41.  FIG. 3 Riddle, Fig. 3. "The method for automatically classifying heterogeneous pa telecommunications environment of the present invention is programming language and is operational on a computer sys 1A. This invention may be implemented in a client-server en server environment is not essential. This figure shows a conv

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

		computer system which includes a server 20 and numerous c shown as client 25. The use of the term "server' is used in the wherein the server receives queries from (typically remote) c all the processing necessary to formulate responses to the qu responses to the clients. However, server 20 may itself act in when it accesses remote databases located at another node ac The hardware configurations are in general standard and wil In accordance with known practice, server 20 includes one o which communicate with a number of peripheral devices via These peripheral devices typically include a Storage Subsyst memory subsystem 35a and a file storage subsystem 35b hol (e.g., code or instructions) and data, a set of user interface in and an interface to outside networks, which may employ Eth IEEE 802.3, ITU X.25, Serial Link Internet Protocol (SLIP) telephone network. This interface is shown schematically as block 40. It is coupled to corresponding interface devices in network connection 45." Riddle, 5:53-6:15.  FIG. 1A (PRIOR ART)

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.