
Case 3:19-cv-02471-WHO Document 62 Filed 05/15/20 Page 1 of 3

James R. Batchelder (CSB # 136347) Mark D. Rowland (CSB # 157862) Andrew T. Radsch (CSB # 303665) ROPES & GRAY LLP 1900 University Ave., Sixth Floor East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2284 Tal. (650) 617, 4000	Brian A. E. Smith (SBN 188147) Alden KW Lee (SBN 257973) Jeffrey D. Chen (SBN 267837) Joseph J. Fraresso (SBN 289228) BARTKO ZANKEL BUNZEL & MILLER One Embarcadero Center, Suite 800				
Tel: (650) 617-4000 Fax: (650) 617-4090 james.batchelder@ropesgray.com mark.rowland@ropesgray.com andrew.radsch@ropesgray.com Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.	One Embarcadero Center, Suite 800 San Francisco, California 94111 Tel: (415) 956-1900 Email: bsmith@bzbm.com Email: alee@bzbm.com Email: jchen@bzbm.com Email: jfraresso@bzbm.com Counsel for Defendant and Counterclaimant PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC [Additional counsel listed on signature page]				
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC., Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant, v. PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC, Defendant and Counterclaimant.	Case No. 3:19-cv-02471-WHO Assigned to: Hon. William H. Orrick ORDER GRANTING PALO ALTO NETWORKS' PROPOSED MODIFICATION TO THE SCHEDULING ORDER				
On this date, the Court considered the Joint Motion to Amend the Scheduling Order provided by the parties. The Court, having considered the parties' competing proposals, is of the opinion that Palo Alto Networks' proposal for modifying the case schedule should be GRANTED. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the following remaining schedule is adopted: /// /// /// PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PALO ALTO NETWORKS' PROPOSED					
	Mark D. Rowland (CSB # 157862) Andrew T. Radsch (CSB # 303665) ROPES & GRAY LLP 1900 University Ave., Sixth Floor East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2284 Tel: (650) 617-4000 Fax: (650) 617-4090 james.batchelder@ropesgray.com mark.rowland@ropesgray.com Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. UNITED STATES NORTHERN DISTRI SAN FRANCIS PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC., Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant, v. PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC, Defendant and Counterclaimant. On this date, the Court considered the Join by the parties. The Court, having considered the Palo Alto Networks' proposal for modifying the of the IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the interpretation is the parties of the IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the interpretation is the parties of the IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the interpretation is the parties of the parties of the IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the interpretation is the parties of the IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the interpretation is the parties of the parties of the IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the interpretation is the parties of the parties of the IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the interpretation is the parties of the p				



Case 3:19-cv-02471-WHO Document 62 Filed 05/15/20 Page 2 of 3

1		T		T
2		EVENT	PREVIOUS DATE	PROPOSED DATE
3 4	1	Completion of Claim Construction Discovery pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-	April 24, 2020 (Per Court Order, Dkt. 50)	May 21, 2020
5 6		4, including the deposition of any expert that submitted an expert		
7		declaration concerning claim construction, if any	16 0 2020	1 2020
8	2	Packet Intelligence's Opening Claim Construction Brief pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-	May 8, 2020 (Per Court Order, Dkt. 50)	June 4, 2020
10 11	3	Palo Alto Networks' Responsive Claim Construction Brief pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-	May 22, 2020 (Per Court Order, Dkt. 50)	July 2, 2020
12 13 14	4	Packet Intelligence's Reply Claim Construction Brief pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-	June 1, 2020 (Per Court Order, Dkt. 50)	July 21, 2020
15 16	5	5(c) Technology Tutorial	June 15, 2020 (Per Court Order, Dkt. 50)	August 11, 2020, or as the Court determines
17	6	Claim Construction Hearing pursuant to Patent L.R. 4.6	June 19, 2020 at 10:00 a.m. (Per Court Order, Dkt. 50)	August 14, 2020, or as the Court determines
18 19	7	Claim Construction Ruling	To Be Determined By Court	To Be Determined By Court
20 21	8	Disclosure of Advice of Counsel and accompanying document	30 days after service of Court's Claim Construction Ruling	30 days after service of Court's Claim Construction Ruling
22		production pursuant to Pat. L.R. 3-7		
232425	9	Deadline to Complete Mediation	Within 60 days of Court's Claim Construction Ruling (Per Court Order, Dkt. 50)	Within 60 days after service of Court's Claim Construction Ruling (Per Court Order, Dkt. 50)
25 26	10	Close of fact discovery	September 25, 2020 (Stipulated)	December 22, 2020
27				






Case 3:19-cv-02471-WHO Document 62 Filed 05/15/20 Page 3 of 3

1	11	Initial expert reports	October 23, 2020 (Stipulated)	January 26, 2021
2				
3	12	Rebuttal expert reports	November 20, 2020 (Stipulated)	February 25, 2021
5	13	Close of expert discovery	December 18, 2020 (Stipulated)	March 23, 2021
6 7 8	14	Last Day to File Dispositive Motions (including Daubert Motions)	January 20, 2021 (Per Civil L.R. 7-2(a))	May 5, 2021 Per Civil L.R. 7-2(a))
9	15	Dispositive Motions heard by	February 24, 2021 (Per Court Order, Dkt. 50)	June 9, 2021
11	16	Pretrial disclosures	March 19, 2021 (Stipulated)	June 29, 2021
12 13	17	Objections to pretrial disclosures	April 9, 2021 (Stipulated)	July 20, 2021
14 15	18	Final pretrial conference	May 3, 2021 at 2:00 p.m. (Per Court Order, Dkt. 50)	August 9, 2021
16 17	19	Trial	May 31, 2021 at 8:30 a.m.	August 30, 2021, or as determined by the Court

IT IS SO ORDERED

Signed this 15th day of May, 2020.



-3-

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PALO ALTO NETWORKS' PROPOSED

MODIEICATION TO THE CACE COHEDIN E

CLOR NO. 2-10 OV 02/71 WITO



18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28