

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

SAMSUNG DISPLAY CO., LTD.,  
Petitioner,

v.

SOLAS OLED, LTD.,  
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 7,446,338

---

**DECLARATION OF ADAM FONTECCHIO, PH.D.**

I.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS.....	2
II.	MATERIALS CONSIDERED.....	5
III.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS.....	6
	a. Anticipation.....	7
	b. Obviousness.....	7
IV.	TECHNOLOGICAL BACKGROUND.....	10
	a. Passive vs. Active Matrix OLED Displays.....	10
	b. Lowering Resistance of Components in an AMOLED Display.....	12
	c. Pixel Circuit Design in AMOLED Displays.....	17
V.	OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT 7,446,338.....	26
	a. Summary.....	26
	b. File History.....	34
	c. The Claims at Issue.....	36
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	39
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	40
	“transistor array substrate” (claim 1).....	40
	“a plurality of interconnections which are formed to project from a surface of the transistor array substrate” (claim 1).....	43
	“the pixel electrodes being arrayed along the interconnections between the interconnections on the surface of the transistor array substrate” (claim 1).....	44
VIII.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART.....	46

a.	Kobayashi (US Patent Application Pub. No. 2002/0158835) (Ex. 1003).....	46
b.	Shirasaki (U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2004/0113873) (Ex. 1004).....	50
c.	Childs (International Publication No. WO 03/079441) .....	51
IX.	THE COMBINATION OF KOBAYASHI AND SHIRASAKI (CLAIMS 1-2, 5-6, 9-11) .....	55
a.	Independent Claim 1 .....	56
b.	Dependent Claim 2 .....	78
c.	Dependent Claim 5 .....	79
d.	Dependent Claim 6 .....	79
e.	Dependent Claim 9 .....	81
f.	Dependent Claim 10 .....	83
g.	Dependent Claim 11 .....	84
X.	THE COMBINATION OF CHILDS AND SHIRASAKI (CLAIMS 1-3, 5-13) .....	85
a.	Independent Claim 1 .....	86
b.	Dependent Claim 2 .....	112
c.	Dependent Claim 3 .....	114
d.	Dependent Claim 5 .....	116
e.	Dependent Claim 6 .....	117
f.	Dependent Claim 7 .....	119
g.	Dependent Claim 8 .....	119

h. Dependent Claim 9 .....119

i. Dependent Claim 10 .....120

j. Dependent Claim 11 .....121

k. Dependent Claim 12 .....122

l. Dependent Claim 13 .....124

I, Adam Fontecchio, Ph.D., declare as follows:

1. I have been retained as a technical consultant by Samsung Display Co., Ltd., who I have been informed is one of the petitioners in the present proceeding, as well as on behalf of Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc., who I have been informed are identified as “real parties in interest” in the present proceeding. For ease of reference, throughout my declaration, I will refer to these entities collectively as “Samsung” or as the “Petitioner.”

2. I have been asked by counsel for the Petitioner to consider whether the references listed as Exhibits 1003-1005 below disclose or suggest, alone or in combination, the limitations recited in the claims of U.S. Patent 7,446,338 (the “’338 patent”). I have also been asked to consider the state of the art and the prior art available before the filing of the ’338 patent. I have provided my opinions below.

3. I have been informed that a company known as Solas OLED Ltd. claims to be the owner of the ’338 patent. To the best of my knowledge, I have no financial interest in Samsung, Solas OLED Ltd., or the ’338 patent. To the best of my recollection, I have had no contact with Solas OLED Ltd. or the named inventors of the ’338 patent: Tomoyuki Shirasaki, Tsuyoshi Ozaki, and Jun Ogura. To the extent any mutual funds or other investments that I own have a financial interest in Samsung, Solas OLED Ltd., or the ’338 patent, I am not aware of, nor do I have control over, any financial interest that would affect or bias my judgment.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.