UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG DISPLAY CO., LTD., Petitioner

v.

SOLAS OLED, LTD., Patent Owner

Case IPR2020-00320 Patent No. 7.446,338

DECLARATION OF RICHARD A. FLASCK., IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introd	luction 1	
	A.	Engagement	
	B.	Background and Qualifications	
II.	Mater	rials Considered	
III.	Relev	rant Legal Standards6	
	A.	Burden of Proof6	
	B.	Claim Construction	
	C.	Obviousness	
IV.	Perso	n of Ordinary Skill in The Art	
V.	The '338 Patent (Ex. 1001)		
	A.	Summary of '338 Patent	
	B.	Elements of '338 Patent131. Multi-transistor OLED Circuit132. Low Resistance Electrodes143. Color Display15	
	C.	'338 Patent Claims	
	D.	'338 Patent Prosecution History	
VI.	Claim	Construction	
VII.	Sumn	nary of Grounds	
VIII.	Grou	nd I: Obviousness Over Kobayashi and Shirasaki	
	A.	Overview of Kobayashi (Ex. 1003)	
	B.	Overview of Shirasaki (Ex. 1004)	
	C.	Failure to Show Why One Skilled in the Art Would Be Motivated to Combine Kobayashi with Shirasaski as Proposed by Petitioner	



		1. Kobayashi and Shirasaki are directed to different problems, and a POSITA with Kobayashi would not be motivated to look to Shirasaki as Petitioner	
		proposes	or
		3. Petitioner's other arguments fail and mischaracterize what Shirasaki actua teaches or suggests.	
	D.	Failure to Show <i>How</i> One Skilled in the Art Would Have Combined Kobayashi with Shirasaski as Proposed by Petitioner or that One Skilled in the Art Would Have a Reasonable Expectation of Success	31
	E.	Failure to Show that the Combination of Kobayashi in View of Shirasaski Satisfies Limitation 1[b]: "a plurality of interconnections which are formed to project from a surface of the transistor array substrate, and which are arrayed in parallel to each other"	35
	F.	Failure to Show that the Combination of Kobayashi in View of Shirasaski Satisfies Limitation 1[c]: "a plurality of pixel electrodes for the plurality of pixels, respectively, the pixel electrodes being arrayed along the interconnections between the interconnections on the surface of the transistor array substrate"	39
IX.	Groun	nd II: Obviousness Over Childs and Shirasaki	42
	A.	Overview of Childs (Ex. 1005)	42
	B.	Failure to Show Why One Skilled in the Art Would Be Motivated to Combine Childs with Shirasaski as Proposed by Petitioner	43
		 Childs and Shirasaki are directed to different problems, and a POSITA with Childs would not be motivated to look to Shirasaki as Petitioner proposes Petitioner's arguments that Childs and Shirasaki are similar are insufficient show motivation to combine	. 44 nt to 45 lly
	C.	Failure to Show <i>How</i> One Skilled in the Art Would Have Combined Kobayashi with Shirasaski as Proposed by Petitioner or that One Skilled in the Art Would Have a Reasonable Expectation of Success	48



IPR2020-00320 ('338 Patent) FLASCK POR DECLARATION

	D.	Failure to Show that the Combination of Kobayashi in View of
		Shirasaski Satisfies Limitation 1[c]: "a plurality of pixel
		electrodes for the plurality of pixels, respectively, the pixel
		electrodes being arrayed along the interconnections between the
		interconnections on the surface of the transistor array substrate" 51
X.	Conc	elusion57



PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Ex.	Description
2001	United States Patent Application Publication 2004/0256617 A1
2002	Defendants' Responsive Claim Construction Brief
2003	Defendants' Claim Construction Presentation
2004	Solas's Notice of Agreement on Previously Disputed Claim Construction Terms
2005	Declaration of Richard A. Flasck
2006	Curriculum Vitae of Richard A. Flasck
2007	Transcript of Deposition of Dr. Adam Fontecchio on September 11, 2020
2008	The New Oxford American Dictionary (2d ed. 2005)



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

