

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG DISPLAY CO., LTD.,
Petitioner

v.

SOLAS OLED, LTD.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2020-00320
Patent No. 7.446,338

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction	1
II.	An Factual Overview of the Intrinsic Record Not Mentioned By Respondents Reveals Several Meaningful Inaccuracies In Respondent’s Characterization of the Claims.....	3
A.	Petitioner’s Characterizations of the Intrinsic Record in Parallel Litigation Demonstrates the Critical Nature of the Claimed “Write Current” and Its Ability to Set The Brightness Level of Each Individual Pixel.....	3
B.	Separately, Any Review of the Prosecution History Disproves Samsung’s Premise that Its Primary References and the Relevant Parts of its Secondary Reference Were Never Cited During Prosecution	8
III.	Petitioner’s Two Asserted Grounds and References	13
IV.	Ground I Fails as a Matter of Law Because Petitioner Presents No Evidence that the Asserted Combination Teaches or Suggests a “Switch Transistor Which Makes a Write Current Flow Between the Drain and the Source of the Driving Transistor”.....	13
A.	Petitioner Relies Solely on Kobayashi To Satisfy the Claimed “Write Current,” But Presents Zero Evidence That Kobayashi Teaches or Suggests <u>Any</u> Current, Let Alone a “ <u>Write</u> Current”	13
B.	Additionally, Samsung <i>Already Agreed</i> “Write Current” Must At Least Require A Specific Current That “Sets The Brightness Of An Individual Pixel In The Display Rather Than Relying On A Voltage Signal”—But That is a Requirement Kobayashi Cannot Ever Meet	15
C.	Samsung’s Theory That Kobayashi Teaches a “Write Current” Runs Contrary to its Claim Construction Positions In Parallel Litigation—and Also Runs Contrary to the Board’s Recent Decisions	19
D.	Regardless, Samsung Cannot Ever Show the Claimed “Three-Transistor Circuit” in Element 1[f], Because Its Proposed Theories Eventually Contradict Each Other On One Element or Another in 1[f]	20
V.	Ground II Also Fails As a A Matter of Law, Because the Combination Fails To Teach or Suggest Any Construction for the Claimed “Write Current”—and Also Fails to Teach or Suggest <i>Samsung’s Own</i> Construction Of a “Transistor Array Substrate”.....	22

A. Samsung Exclusively Relies on Childs to Show the Claimed “Write Current,” But Childs Does Not Teach or Suggest Any Current, Let Alone the Agreed On Requirements for Any Construction of a “Write Current” 23

B. Samsung’s Theory For How Its Proposed Combination Teaches or Suggests the Claimed “Transistor Array Substrate” Plainly Fails *When Applying Its Own Construction* for that Claim Element, Which Solas Has Agreed To..... 27

VI. Conclusion..... 28

Table of Authorities

Cases

OrthoPediatrics Corp. v. K2M, Inc.,
IPR2018-01548, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. March 1, 2019)..... 19, 26

Statutes and Regulations

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3)..... 15, 24
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....1, 3, 15, 24

Patent Owner's Exhibit List for IPR2020-00320

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.63(e), Patent Owner Solas OLED Ltd., hereby submits its exhibit list associated with the above-captioned inter partes review of U.S. Patent No. 7,446,338.

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	United States Patent Application Publication 2004/0256617 A1
2002	Defendants' Responsive Claim Construction Brief
2003	Defendants' Claim Construction Presentation
2004	Solas's Notice of Agreement on Previously Disputed Claim Construction Terms

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.