

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

<p>BELL NORTHERN RESEARCH, LLC,</p> <p>Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>COOLPAD TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND YULONG COMPUTER COMMUNICATIONS,</p> <p>Defendants.</p>	<p>C.A. No. 3:18-cv-1783-CAB-BLM</p> <p>Judge: Hon. Cathy Ann Bencivengo</p> <p>Magistrate Judge: Hon. Barbara L. Major</p>
<p>BELL NORTHERN RESEARCH, LLC,</p> <p>Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>HUAWEI DEVICE (DONGGUAN) CO., LTD, HUAWEI DEVICE (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD., and HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC.,</p> <p>Defendants.</p>	<p>C.A. No. 3:18-cv-1784-CAB-BLM</p>
<p>BELL NORTHERN RESEARCH, LLC,</p> <p>Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>KYOCERA CORPORATION and KYOCERA INTERNATIONAL INC.,</p> <p>Defendants.</p>	<p>C.A. No. 3:18-cv-1785-CAB-BLM</p>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

BELL NORTHERN RESEARCH, LLC, Plaintiff, v. ZTE CORPORATION, ZTE (USA) INC., ZTE (TX) INC., Defendants.	C.A. No. 3:18-cv-1786-CAB-BLM
---	-------------------------------

PLAINTIFF'S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

PLAINTIFF'S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. LEGAL STANDARD 2

 A. The scope of a patent is defined by the plain import of its claims. 2

 B. A claim term is given its full ordinary and customary meaning unless the patentee: (i) clearly otherwise defined the term, or (ii) unequivocally disclaimed the full scope of the term. 2

III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION REGARDING THE GORIS PATENTS 3

 A. Background of the Inventions 3

 B. “a signal indicative of proximity of an external object” and “a signal indicative of the existence of a first condition, the first condition being that an external object is proximate” 4

IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 7,990,842 11

 A. Background of the Invention..... 11

 B. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art 13

 C. “Inverse Fourier transformer” 13

V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 8,416,862..... 21

 A. Background of the Invention..... 21

 B. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art 22

 B. “decompose the estimated transmitter beamforming unitary matrix (V) to produce the transmitter beamforming information” 22

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 7,957,450 27

 A. Background of the Invention..... 27

 B. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art 29

 C. “channel estimate matrices” / “matrix based on the plurality of channel estimates” 29

1 D. “coefficients derived from performing a singular value matrix decomposition
(SVD)”34

2 VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 6,941,156.....37

3 A. Background of the Invention.....37

4 B. “simultaneous communication paths from said multimode cell phone”37

5 C. “a module to establish simultaneous communication paths from said
6 multimode cell phone using both said cell phone functionality and said RF
7 communication functionality”44

8 1. The “module to establish simultaneous communications” term is not
9 governed by § 112, ¶ 6.....45

10 2. If the Court determines that the presumption has been rebutted, and § 112, ¶
11 6 applies, Defendants’ disclosed structure is improperly narrow.....48

12 D. “an automatic switch over module, in communication with both said cell phone
13 functionality and said RF communication functionality, operable to switch a
14 communication path established on one of said cell phone functionality and said RF
communication functionality, with another communication path later established on
the other of said cell phone functionality and said RF communication functionality”
.....50

15 1. The “automatic switch over module” term is not governed by § 112, ¶ 6. ...51

16 2. If the Court determines that the presumption has been rebutted, and § 112, ¶
17 6 applies, Defendants’ disclosed structure is improperly narrow.....55

18 VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 7,039,43561

19 A. Background of the Invention.....61

20 A. “position to a communications tower”63

21 IX. CONCLUSION.....71

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Cases

Amgen, Inc. v. Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.,
314 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2003) 20

Apple Inc. v. Motorola, Inc.,
757 F.3d 1286 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 52

Aventis Pharma S.A. v. Hospira, Inc.,
675 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 18

Bal Seal Eng’g Co. v. Qiang Huang, No. 10cv819-CAB, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS
84516 (S.D. Cal. Aug. 1, 2011) 48, 55

Baxter Healthcare Corp. v. Fresenius Med. Care Holdings, Inc.,
No. C 07-1359, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14842 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 10, 2009) 20

Becton Dickinson & Co. v. C.R. Bard, Inc.,
922 F.2d 792 (Fed. Cir. 1990) 18

Blast Motion, Inc. v. Zepp Labs, Inc.,
No. 15-CV-700 JLS (NLS), 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16549
(S.D. Cal. Feb. 6, 2017) passim

C.R. Bard, Inc. v. United States Surgical Corp.,
388 F.3d 858 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 65, 67

CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.,
288 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2002) 2

Cloud Farm Assocs. LP v. Volkswagen Grp. of Am., Inc.,
674 Fed. Appx. 1000 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 11

Cont’l Circuits LLC v. Intel Corp.,
915 F.3d 788 (Fed. Cir. 2019) 64, 67, 70

Curtiss-Wright Flow Control Corp. v. Velan, Inc.,
438 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2006) 34

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.