

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

MICROSOFT CORPORATION and HP INC.  
Petitioners,

v.

SYNKLOUD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 9,098,526  
Issued: August 4, 2015  
Filed: January 8, 2014

Inventor: Sheng Tai Tsao

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR WIRELESS DEVICE ACCESS TO  
EXTERNAL STORAGE

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2020-00316

---

**DECLARATION OF HENRY HOUH  
REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 9,098,526**

---

Declaration of Henry Houh Regarding U.S. Patent No. 9,098,526

I, Henry Houh, do hereby declare and state, that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

Executed on: December 19, 2019



---

Henry Houh

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

    A. Engagement ..... 1

    B. Background and Qualifications ..... 1

    C. Compensation and Prior Testimony ..... 4

    D. Information Considered..... 4

II. LEGAL STANDARDS FOR PATENTABILITY ..... 5

    A. Anticipation ..... 7

    B. Obviousness..... 8

III. THE 526 PATENT ..... 14

    A. Effective Filing Date ..... 14

    B. Level of Ordinary Skill ..... 15

    C. Overview of 526 Patent..... 15

    D. Prosecution History of 526 Patent..... 20

    E. Challenged Claims of the 526 Patent ..... 25

    F. Claim Construction..... 29

        1. “cache storage” ..... 30

        2. “utilizing download information” ..... 33

        3. “folder structure”..... 34

IV. OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART .....35

    A. McCown (EX1005) .....35

    B. Dutta (EX1006) .....40

    C. Coates (EX1007) .....43

V. COMPARISON OF THE 526 PATENT TO THE PRIOR ART.....47

    A. The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable Over McCown in View of  
        Dutta, in Further View of Coates .....47

        1. Claims 1 and 11 are Unpatentable .....47

        2. Claims 2 and 16 are Unpatentable .....81

        3. Claims 3 and 20 are Unpatentable .....83

        4. Claims 4 and 12 are Unpatentable .....85

        5. Claims 5 and 19 are Unpatentable .....87

        6. Claims 6, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 15, and 17 are Unpatentable .....88

        7. Claims 9 and 18 are Unpatentable .....101

## I. INTRODUCTION

### A. Engagement

1. I, Henry Houh, make this declaration. All statements herein made of my own knowledge are true, and all statements herein made based on information and belief are believed to be true. I am over 21 and otherwise competent to make this declaration. Although I am being compensated for my time in preparing this declaration, the opinions herein are my own.

2. I have been retained by counsel for Microsoft Corporation and HP Inc. as an expert witness in the above-captioned proceeding. I have been asked to provide my opinion about the state of the art of the technology described in U.S. Patent No. 9,098,526 (“the 526 Patent”) and on the patentability of claims 1-20 of the 526 Patent. The following is my written testimony on these topics.

### B. Background and Qualifications

3. I received a Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1998. I also received a Master of Science degree in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science in 1991, a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science in 1990, and a Bachelor of Science Degree in Physics in 1989.

4. As further indicated in my C.V., I have worked in the electrical engineering and computer science fields, including web site and web server development, on several occasions. As part of my doctoral research at MIT from

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.