

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION and HP INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

SYNKLOUD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2020-00316

U.S. Patent No. 9,098,526

**DECLARATION OF ZAYDOON (“JAY”) JAWADI
IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER’S RESPONSE**

IPR2020-00316

Exhibit 2001

Microsoft Corporation and HP Inc. v. SynKloud Technologies, LLC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	1
II. MATERIALS REVIEWED	6
III. LEGAL UNDERSTANDING	7
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	8
V. OPINIONS	8
A. Claims 1-5, 9, 11, 12, 16, and 18-20 Are Not Obvious in View of McCown (EX1005) and Dutta (EX1006)	9
a. Claims 1 and 11: Cache Storage in/of the Wireless Device	9
i. McCown Does Not Disclose Cache Storage in/of the Wireless Device	9
ii. Dutta Does Not Disclose Cache Storage in/of the Wireless Device	13
iii. The Combination of McCown and Dutta Does Not Disclose Cache Storage in/of the Wireless Device	16
b. Claims 1 and 11: Utilizing Download Information for the File Stored in Cache Storage of the Wireless Device	17
i. McCown Does Not Disclose Utilizing Download Information for the File Stored in Cache Storage of the Wireless Device	18
ii. McCown Does Not Disclose Cache in the Wireless Device	19
iii. McCown Does Not Disclose Storing Download Information in Cache	19
iv. There Is No Need in McCown to Store Download Information in Cache	21
v. There Are Reasons in McCown to NOT Store Download Information in Cache ..	22
vi. McCown Does Not Disclose Retrieving Download Information from Cache	28
vii. McCown Does Not Disclose Later Retrieving of Download Information from Cache ³¹	
viii. The Web Page Containing the McCown URLs Must Be Cacheable to Be Stored in Cache	35
ix. Not All Web Pages Are Cacheable or Cached	35
x. A McCown User Cannot Tell if a Web Page Displayed by the Web Browser Is from Cache or Stored in Cache	38
xi. Dutta Does Not Cure McCown's Deficiencies and Does Not Disclose Utilizing Download Information for the File Stored in Cache Storage of the Wireless Device	40
xii. Dutta Does Not Disclose Cache in the Wireless Device	41
xiii. Dutta Does Not Disclose Storing Download Information in Cache	42

xiv.	There Is No Need in Dutta to Store Download Information in Cache.....	43
xv.	Dutta Does Not Cure the Reasons in McCown to NOT Store Download Information in Cache	43
xvi.	Dutta Does Not Disclose Retrieving Download Information from Cache	44
xvii.	Dutta Does Not Disclose Later Retrieving of Download Information from Cache 45	
xviii.	Dutta Does Not Cure Cacheability Constraints.....	46
xix.	Dutta Offers No Way for a User to Tell if a Web Page Displayed by the Web Browser Is from Cache or Stored in Cache	47
xx.	The Combination of McCown and Dutta Does Not Disclose Utilizing Download Information for the File Stored in Cache Storage of the Wireless Device	48
c.	Claims 1 and 11: Cache Offers No Advantage in McCown and No Advantage in the Combination of McCown and Dutta.....	49
d.	Claims 1 and 11: Predefined Capacity	56
i.	McCown Does Not Disclose Predefined Capacity.....	58
ii.	Dutta Does Not Disclose Predefined Capacity.....	62
iii.	The Combination of McCown and Dutta Does Not Disclose Predefined Capacity64	
e.	Independent Claims 1 and 11 Are Not Obvious in View of McCown (EX1005) and Dutta (EX1006).....	64
f.	Dependent Claims 2-5, 9, 12, 16, and 18-20 Are Not Obvious in View of McCown (EX1005) and Dutta (EX1006).....	65
g.	Dependent Claims 3 and 20: One of the Storage Devices Being Configured With the Storage Space Assigned Exclusively to the User	66
h.	A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine McCown and Dutta for Cache Storage	67
B.	Dependent Claims 6-8, 10, 13-15, and 17 Are Not Obvious in View of McCown (EX1005), Dutta (EX1006), and Coates (EX1007).....	69
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	70

I, Zaydoon (“Jay”) Jawadi, declare as follows:

I. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

1. My name is Zaydoon (“Jay”) Jawadi.

2. I am an independent expert and consultant. I have been retained as an expert witness on behalf of SynKloud Technologies, LLC (“SynKloud”) for the above-captioned *Inter Partes* Review (IPR) regarding U.S. Patent No. 9,098,526 (“526 Patent”).

3. As shown in my curriculum vitae (attached as Exhibit 2002), I have a Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering from Mosul University, a Master of Science in Computer Science from Columbia University with a Citation for Outstanding Achievement – Dean’s Honor Student, and over 40 years of experience in software and product design and development, engineering, consulting, and management in the fields of data storage, Internet, software, data networking, computing systems, and telecommunication.

4. I have worked with and possess expertise in numerous technologies, including data storage technologies and interfaces, Internet and website technologies, databases, data networking technologies and protocols, and telephony.

5. From 1978 to 1980, I worked as a telecommunication/electrical engineer for Emirtel (formerly Cable and Wireless, now Etisalat). During my

employment at Emirtel, among other things, I worked on telephony and telecommunication products and services, and I developed software in assembly and high-level languages for archiving, storing, and retrieving data to and from data storage devices, such as disk drives and tape drives.

6. From 1981 to 1983, I worked as a software engineer for Amdahl Corporation (now Fujitsu), a California-based major supplier of computers, systems, and data storage subsystems.

7. From 1984 to 1994, I worked as a software, data storage, and systems consultant to various data storage and computer companies in California, the United States, Asia, and Europe. I provided technical consulting services in data storage, data storage systems, data storage devices, software design and development, system software, device driver software, data storage device firmware, data storage software, data storage chips, data storage tools, data storage test systems and test software, data storage and I/O protocol development systems, data storage and I/O protocol analyzers, data storage and I/O monitoring systems, data storage manufacturing systems and software, and data networking.

8. From 1992 to 1996, I was President and founder of Zadian Technologies, Inc., a California-based leading supplier of networked data storage test systems, with over 50,000 units installed worldwide in mission-critical customer operations with premier high-technology customers, such as Conner

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.