

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent of: Backholm, et al.  
U.S. Patent No.: 10,027,619 Attorney Docket No.: 39521-0089IP2  
Issue Date: July 17, 2018  
Appl. Serial No.: 14/609,189  
Filing Date: Jan. 29, 2015  
Title: MESSAGING CENTRE FOR FORWARDING E-MAIL

**Mail Stop Patent Board**

Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT  
NO. 10,027,619 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| I.   | REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....  | 1  |
| A.   | Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....   | 1  |
| B.   | Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested .....  | 1  |
| C.   | Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(3).....   | 5  |
| II.  | SUMMARY OF THE '619 PATENT .....  | 10 |
| A.   | Brief Description.....  | 10 |
| B.   | Summary of the Prosecution History of the '619 Patent.....  | 12 |
| III. | AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '619 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE 12  |    |
| A.   | GROUND 1 – CLAIMS 22-26, 32, 37-40, 46, 51 ARE OBVIOUS<br>BASED ON BROWN IN VIEW OF THOMPSON AND NIELSEN ...                | 13 |
| 1.   | Overview of Brown.....  | 13 |
| 2.   | Overview of Thompson.....   | 16 |
| 3.   | Overview of Nielsen.....  | 17 |
| 4.   | Combination of Brown, Thompson, and Nielsen .....   | 19 |
| 5.   | Analysis of Challenged Claims .....   | 20 |
| B.   | GROUND 2 – CLAIMS 22-28, 32-33, 37-42, 46, 51 ARE OBVIOUS<br>BASED ON BROWN IN VIEW OF THOMPSON, NIELSEN, AND<br>EATON..... | 56 |
| C.   | GROUND 3 – CLAIMS 32, 46 ARE OBVIOUS BASED ON BROWN<br>IN VIEW OF THOMPSON, NIELSEN, EATON, AND<br>RICHARDSON .....         | 67 |
| D.   | GROUND 4 – CLAIMS 36, 50, 52 ARE OBVIOUS BASED ON<br>BROWN IN VIEW OF THOMPSON, NIELSEN, EATON, AND<br>FRIEND .....         | 70 |
| IV.  | 314(a).....   | 73 |
| V.   | PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....   | 75 |
| VI.  | CONCLUSION.....   | 75 |
| VII. | MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1).....  | 75 |
| A.   | Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....  | 75 |
| B.   | Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....   | 75 |
| C.   | Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....  | 75 |
| D.   | Service Information .....   | 76 |

## EXHIBITS

|            |  |
|------------|--|
| APPLE-1001 | U.S. Patent No. 10,027,619 to Backholm (“the ’619 patent”)   |
| APPLE-1002 | Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ’619 Patent (“the Prosecution History”)                 |
| APPLE-1003 | Declaration of Dr. Patrick Traynor   |
| APPLE-1004 | RESERVED   |
| APPLE-1005 | PCT Publication No. WO/2001/040605 to Nielsen (“Nielsen”)  |
| APPLE-1006 | PCT Publication No. WO2001/029731 to Thompson et al.<br>(“Thompson”)                                 |
| APPLE-1007 | RESERVED   |
| APPLE-1008 | U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2005/0210259 to Richardson<br>(“Richardson”)                                    |
| APPLE-1009 | U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2003/0101343 A1 to Eaton et al.<br>(“Eaton”)                                    |
| APPLE-1010 | U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2004/0049599 A1 to Friend et al.<br>(“Friend”)                                  |
| APPLE-1011 | Infringement Contentions from <i>Seven Networks, LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 2:19-cv-115 (E.D. Tex.) |
| APPLE-1012 | U.S. Patent No. 7,603,556 to Brown et al. (“Brown”)  |

- APPLE-1013 U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 60/568,119 (“Brown Provisional Application”)
- APPLE-1014 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement from Seven Networks, LLC v. Apple Inc., No. 2:19-cv-115 (E.D. Tex.)

Apple Inc. (“Petitioner” or “Apple”) petitions for *Inter Partes* Review (“IPR”) of claims 22-28, 32-33, 36-42, 46, and 50-52 (“the Challenged Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 10,027,619 (“the ’619 patent”).

**I. REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

**A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)**

Apple certifies that the ’619 Patent is available for IPR. This petition is filed within one year of service of a complaint against Apple in *Seven Networks, LLC v. Apple Inc.*, No. 2:19-cv-115 (E.D. Tex.) on April 11, 2019. Apple is not barred or estopped from requesting this review.

**B. Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested**

| Ground | '619 Patent Claims             | Basis for Rejection   |
|--------|--------------------------------|---|
| 1      | 22-26, 32, 37-40, 46,<br>51    | §103–Brown in view of Thompson and Nielsen                      |
| 2      | 22-28, 32-33, 37-42,<br>46, 51 | §103–Brown in view of Thompson, Nielsen, and Eaton              |
| 3      | 32, 46                         | §103– Brown in view of Thompson, Nielsen, Eaton, and Richardson |

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.