

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SEVEN NETWORKS, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2020-00280

Patent No. 10,027,619

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317

EXHIBIT LIST	
2001	Declaration of Nathan Lowenstein in Support of Motion for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Admission
2002	Excerpts from CHARLES P. PFLEEEGER, SECURITY IN COMPUTING (4th ed. 2006) [Pfleeger]
2003	Reserved
2004	Reserved
2005	<i>Registration and Authentication</i> , COMMONSPACES, https://www.commonspaces.eu/en/help/register/ (last visited Jun. 3, 2020) [CommonSpaces]
2006-2099	Reserved
2100	Confidential Settlement Agreement

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, the parties to this case, Petitioner Apple Inc. (“Apple” or “Petitioner”) and Patent Owner SEVEN Networks, LLC (“SEVEN” or “Patent Owner”) (collectively “the Parties”), jointly request termination of *inter partes* review (“IPR”) of the following sixteen pending cases between the Parties. For the Board’s convenience, it is noted that a Joint Motion substantially identical to this one is being filed today in each of the sixteen cases.

IPR2020-00188 (Patent 9,608,968)	IPR2020-00156 (Patent 10,110,534)
IPR2020-00157 (Patent 10,110,534)	IPR2020-00490 (Patent 9,473,914)
IPR2020-00491 (Patent 9,712,986)	IPR2020-00236 (Patent 9,369,539)
IPR2020-00285 (Patent 10,039,029)	IPR2020-00255 (Patent 9,516,127)
IPR2020-00235 (Patent 10,091,734)	IPR2020-00180 (Patent 9,648,557)
IPR2020-00266 (Patent 10,135,771)	IPR2020-00279 (Patent 9,712,476)
IPR2020-00280 (Patent 10,027,619)	IPR2020-00506 (Patent 9,769,176)
IPR2020-00584 (Patent 9,603,056)	IPR2020-00707 (Patent 9,712,476)

The Parties have resolved their disputes, and pursuant to a Settlement and License Agreement (“Settlement Agreement”) that resolves their disputes now move to terminate this IPR. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 42.20(b), the Parties jointly sought authorization to file this motion, and received such authorization from the Board on November 24, 2020.

Termination is proper for at least the following reasons:

- The Board has not yet “decided the merits of the proceeding *before the request for termination is filed.*” 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) (emphasis added); 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,768 (“The Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits of the proceeding.”). At the time of this motion for termination, the Board has not yet decided the merits of any of the proceedings listed above. In thirteen of these cases (IPR2020-00490, IPR2020-00491, IPR2020-00236, IPR2020-00285, IPR2020-00255, IPR2020-00235, IPR2020-00180, IPR2020-00266, IPR2020-00279, IPR2020-00280, IPR2020-00506, IPR2020-00584, IPR2020-00707), the Patent Owner Response and any Motion To Amend are not yet due. In the other three cases (IPR2020-00188, IPR2020-00156, IPR2020-00157), the Petitioner’s Reply is not yet due. This supports the propriety of terminating this proceeding. 77 Fed. Reg. 48,680, 48,686 (Aug. 14, 2012). And 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) provides that “[a]n inter partes review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.”

- The Parties are jointly requesting termination. 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,768 (Aug. 14, 2012) (“There are strong public policy reasons to favor settlement between the parties to a proceeding”).

- The pending related district court litigation between the Parties regarding the Patent is *SEVEN Networks, LLC v. Apple, Inc.*, No. 2:19-cv-00115 (E.D. Tex.) (“the Litigation”), as noted in both Parties’ Mandatory Notices.

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the Parties have fully resolved their dispute regarding the Patent. Based on the above, the Parties do not anticipate any further litigation or proceedings between the Parties involving the Patent.

- The Parties are unaware of any other pending related proceedings regarding the Patent before the Board, or any other matter before the USPTO that would be affected by the requested termination of this proceeding.
- Terminations, forgoing a Final Written Decision, have been granted even where oral argument had already taken place before the Board. *See Callidus Software Inc. v. Versata Software, Inc.*, et al., CBM2013-00052, Paper 50 (Nov. 24, 2014) (terminating proceeding after Oral Argument); *Clio USA, Inc. v. Procter and Gamble Co.*, IPR2013-00438, Paper 57 (Oct. 31, 2014) (same); *Volusion, Inc. v. Versata Software, Inc.*, CBM2013-00017, Paper 53 (June 17, 2014) and CBM2013-00018, Paper 52 (June 17, 2014) (same).

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.