

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent of: Backholm, et al.  
U.S. Patent No.: 10,027,619 Attorney Docket No.: 39521-0089IP1  
Issue Date: July 17, 2018  
Appl. Serial No.: 14/609,189  
Filing Date: Jan. 29, 2015  
Title: MESSAGING CENTRE FOR FORWARDING E-MAIL

**Mail Stop Patent Board**

Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT  
NO. 10,027,619 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	1
A.	Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	1
B.	Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested .....	1
C.	Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(3).....	2
II.	SUMMARY OF THE '619 PATENT .....	7
A.	Brief Description.....	7
B.	Summary of the Prosecution History of the '619 Patent.....	9
III.	AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '619 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE ..	9
A.	GROUND 1 – CLAIMS 22-26, 33, 36-40, 50-52 ARE OBVIOUS BASED ON HIND IN VIEW OF NIELSEN .....	10
1.	Overview of Hind.....	10
2.	Overview of Nielsen.....	12
3.	Combination of Hind and Nielsen.....	14
4.	Analysis of Challenged Claims .....	17
B.	GROUND 2 – CLAIMS 22-26, 33, 36-40, 50-52 ARE OBVIOUS BASED ON HIND IN VIEW OF NIELSEN AND THOMPSON .....	40
1.	Overview of Thompson.....	41
2.	Combination of Hind, Nielsen, and Thompson .....	42
C.	GROUND 3 – CLAIMS 22-28, 33, 36-42, 50-52 ARE OBVIOUS BASED ON HIND IN VIEW OF NIELSEN, THOMPSON, AND BARCHI .....	52
D.	GROUND 4 – CLAIMS 32, 46 ARE OBVIOUS BASED ON HIND IN VIEW OF NIELSEN, THOMPSON, BARCHI, AND RICHARDSON... .....	57
E.	GROUND 5 – CLAIM 33 IS OBVIOUS BASED ON HIND IN VIEW OF NIELSEN, THOMPSON, BARCHI, AND EATON .....	60
F.	GROUND 6 – CLAIMS 36, 50, 52 ARE OBVIOUS BASED ON HIND IN VIEW OF NIELSEN, THOMPSON, BARCHI, AND FRIEND .....	64
IV.	314(a).....	68
V.	PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....	70
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	70
VII.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1).....	70
A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	70
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	70
C.	Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	70
D.	Service Information .....	71

## EXHIBITS

APPLE-1001	U.S. Patent No. 10,027,619 to Backholm (“the ’619 patent”)
APPLE-1002	Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ’619 Patent (“the Prosecution History”)
APPLE-1003	Declaration of Dr. Patrick Traynor
APPLE-1004	PCT Publication No. WO2002/025890 to Hind et al. (“Hind”)
APPLE-1005	PCT Publication No. WO/2001/040605 to Nielsen (“Nielsen”)
APPLE-1006	PCT Publication No. WO2001/029731 to Thompson et al. (“Thompson”)
APPLE-1007	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2005/0060551 A1 to Barchi et al. (“Barchi”)
APPLE-1008	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2005/0210259 to Richardson (“Richardson”)
APPLE-1009	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2003/0101343 A1 to Eaton et al. (“Eaton”)
APPLE-1010	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2004/0049599 A1 to Friend et al. (“Friend”)
APPLE-1011	Infringement Contentions from Seven Networks, LLC v. Apple Inc., No. 2:19-cv-115 (E.D. Tex.)
APPLE-1012	RESERVED
APPLE-1013	RESERVED

APPLE-1014 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement  
from Seven Networks, LLC v. Apple Inc., No. 2:19-cv-115  
(E.D. Tex.)

Apple Inc. (“Petitioner” or “Apple”) petitions for Inter Partes Review (“IPR”) of claims 22-28, 32-33, 36-42, 46, 50-52 (“the Challenged Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 10,027,619 (“the ’619 patent”).

**I. REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

**A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)**

Apple certifies that the ’619 Patent is available for IPR. This petition is filed within one year of service of a complaint against Apple in *Seven Networks, LLC v. Apple Inc.*, No. 2:19-cv-115 (E.D. Tex.) on April 11, 2019. Apple is not barred or estopped from requesting this review.

**B. Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested**

Ground	'619 Patent Claims	Basis for Rejection
1	22-26, 33, 36-40, 50-52	§103–Hind in view of Nielsen
2	22-26, 33, 36-40, 50-52	§103–Hind in view of Nielsen and Thompson
3	22-28, 33, 36-42, 50-52	§103–Hind in view of Nielsen, Thompson, and Barchi
4	32, 46	§103–Hind in view of Nielsen, Thompson, Barchi, and Richardson

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.