

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., AND
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

NEODRON LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2020-00267 (Patent 8,432,173)

HP INC., LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC.,
MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC., DELL INC., AND
DELL PRODUCTS LP.,
Petitioners,

v.

NEODRON LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2020-00653 (Patent 8,432,173)

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

Patent Owner Neodron Ltd. and Petitioners Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd, Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively “Samsung”), HP Inc., Lenovo (United States) Inc., Motorola Mobility LLC., Dell Inc., and Dell Products LP. (collectively “Dell”), have reached a settlement. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72 and 42.74, the parties jointly request termination of the joined *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 8,432,173 (“Patent-in-Suit”), Cases IPR2020-00267 and IPR2020-00653. The parties were authorized to file this Joint Motion by the Board (via email) on February 1, 2021.

As required under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b), true copies of the settlement agreements (Patent License Agreements and Escrow Agreement) that resolve the disputes in the above-captioned *inter partes* review relating to the Patent-in-Suit are filed herewith as confidential exhibits. There are no other collateral agreements between the parties made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination sought. Due to confidentiality provisions of these Exhibits, Neodron is not permitted to share certain portions of the Escrow Agreement with any party other than the Court and its Staff. Neodron understands Samsung will similarly be filing a confidential exhibit (Exhibit A to the Escrow Agreement), that it is not permitted to share with any party other than the Court and its Staff. The Escrow Agreement filed by Neodron and Exhibit A to that agreement

filed by Samsung together form the complete Escrow Agreement. The Parties have conferred on this matter, and the Parties are in agreement with this procedure.

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), Neodron and Samsung, Neodron and HP, Neodron and Lenovo, Neodron and Motorola, and Neodron and Dell, are concurrently filing Joint Requests to Keep Separate, which ask the Board to treat the settlement agreements as business confidential information, and to keep them separate from the files of this proceeding and the files of the Patent-in-Suit.

I. Statement of Precise Relief Requested

The parties jointly request that the Board terminate the joined *inter partes* review of the Patent-in-Suit, Cases IPR2020-00267 and IPR2020-00653, in its entirety.

II. Reasons Why Termination Is Appropriate

Termination of this proceeding with respect to all parties is proper. This *inter partes* review is still in an early stage. This Petition was filed on December 10, 2019.

Under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), “[a]n *inter partes* review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” Because all parties request termination and the Board has not yet decided the merits of the proceeding, the Board

should terminate the proceeding with respect to Samsung, HP, Lenovo, Motorola, and Dell.

When there are no petitioners remaining in an *inter partes* review, the Board may terminate the proceeding entirely. 35 U.S.C. § 317(a); 37 C.F.R. § 42.72. Samsung, HP, Lenovo, Motorola, and Dell are the only petitioners in this *inter partes* review. All parties support termination of this proceeding. With no petitioners remaining in the proceeding and no final written decision on the merits, termination of this proceeding entirely is appropriate.

The lawsuits between Neodron and Samsung, Neodron and HP, Neodron and Lenovo, Neodron and Motorola, and Neodron and Dell, involving the Patent-in-Suit are in the process of being dismissed concurrently with this *inter partes* review. The parties do not contemplate any litigation or proceeding involving the Patent-in-Suit in the foreseeable future.

III. No Future Participation by Petitioners

Samsung, HP, Lenovo, Motorola, and Dell will not be participating further in this proceeding.

IV. Conclusion

The parties have settled all disputes relating to the Patent-in-Suit. This *inter partes* review is in an early stage, and the Board has not entered a final written

IPR2020-00267 (Patent 8,432,173)

IPR2020-00653 (Patent 8,432,173)

decision on the merits in this proceeding. Accordingly, the parties respectfully request the Board to terminate this proceeding in its entirety.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 5, 2021

/Neil Rubin/

Neil Rubin (Reg. No. 67,030)
Russ August & Kabat
12424 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Fl.
Los Angeles, CA 90025
Phone: (310) 826-7474
nrubin@raklaw.com

Counsel for Patent Owner

/Marc Pensabene/

Marc Pensabene (Reg. No. 37,416)
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
7 Times Square
Times Square Tower
New York, NY 10036
Phone: (212) 326-2000
mpensabene@omm.com

Counsel for Petitioners for IPR2020-00267

/James M. Heintz/

James M. Heintz (Reg. No. 41,828)
DLA Piper LLP (US)
11911 Freedom Dr., Suite 300

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.