

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

FLEX LOGIX TECHNOLOGIES INC,

Petitioner

V.

VENKAT KONDA,

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2020-00262

Patent 8,269,523 B2

---

**PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE  
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.64**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner Venkat Konda ("Patent Owner") submits the following objections to evidence served with the Petition for *inter partes* review ("IPR") IPR2020-00260<sup>1</sup> by Flex Logix Technologies Inc. ("Flex Logix" or "Petitioner"). Prior to this, On May 6, 2020, Patent Owner submitted Patent Owner's Preliminary response (Paper 6). In Section III of Patent Owner's Preliminary response, Patent Owner with the support of Patent Owner Venkat Konda's Declaration submitted that the Petitioner presented a flawed Definition of a Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art to accommodate its unqualified witness (Paper 6, at 6-14).

Accordingly, Patent Owner objects to Ex. 1002, Ex. 1003 and all the support presented in the Petition by Ex. 1002 and Ex. 1003. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.11 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.51(b)(iii), Patent Owner requests the Board to exclude Ex. 1002, Ex. 1003 and all the support presented in the Petition by Ex. 1002 and Ex. 1003.

Date: May 20, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/Venkat Konda/  
Venkat Konda

---

<sup>1</sup> Petitioner concurrently filed two additional petitions for IPR of the '523 Patent. Those two petitions are IPR2020-00260 and IPR2020-00261.

IPR2020-00262  
Patent 8,269,523

Paper No. 7  
Patent Owner's Objections to Evidence

Pro Se Counsel  
6278 Grand Oak Way  
San Jose, CA 95135

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e) and consent of the Petitioner, I certify that on May 20, 2020, a copy of Patent Owner's Objections to Evidence was served on counsel of record for Petitioner by email to PH-FlexLogix-Konda-

IPR@paulhastings.com

Dated: May 20, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/Venkat Konda/

Venkat Konda  
Pro Se Counsel