Pag# 1 of 33 IPR2020-00261 VENKAT KONDA EXHIBIT 2008 Case 5:18-cv-07581-LHK Document 21 Filed 01/24/19 Page 1 of 31 1 GREGORY P. STONE (State Bar No. 78329) gregory.stone@mto.com STEVEN M. PERRY (State Bar No. 106154) steven.perry@mto.com ELIZABETH A. LAUGHTON (State Bar No. 305800) elizabeth.laughton@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 350 South Grand Avenue, 50th Floor 5 Los Angeles, California 90071-3426 Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702 6 7 Attorneys for Defendant FLEX LOGIX TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 12 13 KONDA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Case No. 5:18-cv-07581-LHK California corporation, 14 Plaintiff. NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO 15 **DISMISS COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO** VS. FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(6) AND TO STRIKE 16 PORTIONS OF COMPLAINT FLEX LOGIX TECHNOLOGIES, INC,. **PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 12(f)**; 17 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND Defendant. AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF 18 Date: May 9, 2019 19 Time: 1:30 p.m. Lucy H. Koh Judge: 20 8. 4th Floor Ctrm.: 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28



1

### NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS AND TO STRIKE

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

To Plaintiff Konda Technologies, Inc., and its counsel of record:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 9, 2019, at 1:30 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the

matter may be heard, in Courtroom No. 8 of the above-captioned Court, located at 4th Floor, 280

South 1st Street, San Jose, CA 95113, Defendant Flex Logix Technologies, Inc. ("Flex Logix")

hereby does move the Court for an Order dismissing with prejudice in its entirety Konda

Technologies, Inc.'s ("Konda Tech") complaint in this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and/or for an Order striking or dismissing certain portions of Konda's

Complaint under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(f) and/or 12(b)(6).

Specifically, Flex Logix moves for an Order dismissing with prejudice:

- Konda Tech's Third, Fourth, and Sixth Causes of Action because those Causes of [1] Action fail to state a claim for patent infringement due to the invalidity of each of the patents under 35 U.S.C. § 102.
- [2] Konda Tech's Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Causes of Action because those Causes of Action do not plead facts sufficient to state a plausible claim for patent infringement.
- Konda Tech's First Cause of Action for Unfair Business Practices pursuant to [3] California Business & Professions Code Section 17200 et seq. as preempted by federal patent law and as barred by the statute of limitations.

In the event that Konda Tech's complaint is not dismissed in its entirety, Flex Logix also moves for an Order striking and/or dismissing Konda Tech's complaint's references to "fraud" due to the fact that the complaint's references to fraud are immaterial and impertinent with respect to the claims pled and scandalous and in view of the complaint's failure to plead any alleged fraud with particularity.

25

26

27

28

1	This motion is based upon this Notice of Motion and Motion; the attached Memorandum			
2	of Points and Authorities <sup>1</sup> ; all other materials supporting this Motion or the Reply brief filed in			
3	support thereof; all pleadings on file in this matter; and any other materials or arguments the Court			
4	may receive at or before the hearing on this Motion.			
5				
6	DATED: January 24, 2019 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP			
7				
8				
9	By: /s/ Gregory P. Stone			
10	GREGORY P. STONE			
11	Attorneys for Defendant FLEX LOGIX TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	Defined terms in this Motion are also used in the accompanying Memorandum of Points and			

Page 3 of 33 IPR2020-00261 VENKAT KONDA EXHIBIT 2008 Case 5:18-cv-07581-LHK Document 21 Filed 01/24/19 Page 3 of 31



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	<u>Page</u>
3	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS AND TO STRIKE1
4	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES
5	INTRODUCTION1
6	SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT AND FACTUAL BACKGROUND
7	I. KONDA TECH'S ALLEGATIONS OF INFRINGEMENT OF THE '611 PATENT, THE '958 PATENT, AND THE '904 PATENT3
9	A. The Asserted Patents and Their Relationship to Each Other
10	B. The Publication of the Konda PCT4
10	C. The Konda PCT Anticipates Each of the '611, '958, and '904 Patents6
12	II. KONDA TECH'S INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS ARE INADEQUATELY PLED8
13	III. KONDA TECH'S UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES CLAIM IS BASED ON ALLEGED PATENT INFRINGEMENT
14	LEGAL STANDARDS
15	ARGUMENT11
16 17	I. THIS COURT SHOULD DISMISS KONDA TECH'S INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS BASED ON THE '611 PATENT, '958 PATENT, AND '904 PATENT BECAUSE THESE PATENTS ARE INDISPUTABLY INVALID11
18	A. This Court May Properly Invalidate the '611 Patent, '958 Patent, and '904 Patent at This Time
19 20	B. This Court May Take Judicial Notice of and Consider Konda Tech's Patent Publications and Applications on a Motion to Dismiss
21	C. The '611 Patent, '958 Patent, and '904 Patent Are Unquestionably Invalid13
22	II. KONDA TECH'S INFRINGEMENT ALLEGATIONS ARE INADEQUATELY PLED AND SHOULD BE DISMISSED
23   24	III. THIS COURT SHOULD DISMISS KONDA TECH'S UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES CLAIM
25	A. Konda Tech's Unfair Business Practices Claim Is Preempted by Federal Patent Law17
26 27	B. Konda Tech's Unfair Business Practices Claim Is Also Barred by the Statute of Limitations
28	



Pag	5 of 33 IPR2020-00261 VENKAT KONDA EXHIBIT 2008 Case 5:18-cv-07581-LHK Document 21 Filed 01/24/19 Page 5 of 31	
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)	
2	(Continued)	Page
3	IV. THIS COURT SHOULD STRIKE AND/OR DISMISS THE COMPLAINT'S	10
4	REFERENCES TO FRAUD CONCLUSION	
5	CONCLUSION	21
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

