

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FLEX LOGIX TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
Petitioner

v.

VENKAT KONDA
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,369,523

**DECLARATION OF R. JACOB BAKER, PH.D., P.E.
IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,369,523**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	1
III.	MATERIALS REVIEWED	5
IV.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	7
V.	TECHNICAL BACKGROUND	8
	A. Field-Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs).....	8
VI.	OVERVIEW OF THE '523 PATENT	17
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	25
VIII.	THE '605 PCT APPLICATION AND THE '394 PROVISIONAL APPLICATION DO NOT SUPPORT ALL THE FEATURES OF CLAIMS 2-7 AND 11 AND DO NOT ENABLE A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL TO MAKE AND USE THE CLAIMED INVENTION OF CLAIMS 2-7 AND 11 WITHOUT UNDUE EXPERIMENTATION.....	26
	A. Claim 1	28
IX.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART.....	43
	A. Published PCT Application No. WO 2008/109756 A1 (" <i>Konda</i> ' <i>756 PCT</i> ").....	43
	B. <i>Wong</i>	46
X.	THE PRIOR ART DISCLOSES OR SUGGESTS ALL OF THE FEATURES OF CLAIMS 1, 15-18, 20-22, 32, and 47 OF THE '523 PATENT	52

A.	<i>Konda '756 PCT</i> Discloses the Features of Claims 1, 16, 20-22, and 32.....	52
1.	Claim 1	53
2.	Claim 16	110
3.	Claim 20	115
4.	Claim 21	116
5.	Claim 22	120
6.	Claim 32	122
B.	<i>Konda '756 PCT</i> Discloses or Suggests the Features of Claims 15 and 17.....	122
1.	Claim 15	122
2.	Claim 17	127
C.	<i>Konda '756 PCT</i> in Combination with <i>Wong</i> Discloses or Suggests the Features of Claims 18 and 47.....	130
1.	Claim 18	130
2.	Claim 47	137
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	144

I, R. Jacob Baker, Ph.D., P.E., declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained by Flex Logix, Inc. (“Petitioner”) as an independent expert consultant in this proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“PTO”) regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,269,523 (“the ’523 patent”) (Ex. 1001).¹ I have been asked to consider whether certain references disclose or suggest the features recited in claims 1, 15-18, 20-22, 32 and 47 of the ’523 patent. My opinions are set forth below.

2. I am being compensated at a rate of \$615/hour for my work in this proceeding. My compensation is in no way contingent on the nature of my findings, the presentation of my findings in testimony, or the outcome of this or any other proceeding. I have no other interest in this proceeding.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

3. I presently serve as a Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV). All of my opinions stated in this declaration are based on my own personal knowledge and professional judgment. In

¹ Where appropriate, I refer to exhibits that I understand are to be attached to the petition for *Inter Partes* Review of the ’523 patent.

forming my opinions, I have relied on my knowledge and experience in designing, developing, researching, and teaching regarding circuit design and memory devices referenced in this declaration.

4. I am over 18 years of age and, if I am called upon to do so, I would be competent to testify as to the matters set forth herein. I understand that a copy of my current curriculum vitae, which details my education and professional and academic experience, is being submitted by Petitioner. The following provides an overview of some of my experience that is relevant to the matters set forth in this declaration.

5. I have been teaching electrical engineering at UNLV since 2012. Prior to this position, I was a Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering at Boise State University from 2000. Prior to my position at Boise State University, I was an Associate Professor Electrical Engineering between 1998 and 2000 and Assistant Professor of Electrical Engineering between 1993 and 1998 at the University of Idaho. I have been teaching electrical engineering since 1991.

6. I received my Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from the University of Nevada, Reno in 1993. I also received a MS and BS in Electrical Engineering from UNLV in 1988 and 1986, respectively.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.