

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner,

v.

MAXELL, LTD.,
Patent Owner

Case: IPR2020-00202

U.S. Patent No. 10,212,586

DECLARATION OF DR. BRANIMIR VOJIC, DSC

738473418.4

Apple v. Maxell

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	2
III. UNDERSTANDING OF LEGAL STANDARDS	6
A. Burden of Proof	7
B. Obviousness	7
C. Secondary Considerations	12
D. Claim Construction	13
E. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	19
IV. MATERIALS CONSIDERED	21
V. BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY	22
A. The '586 Patent	22
B. Authorization and Authentication	29
VI. RELEVANT CLAIMS OF THE '586 PATENT	35
VII. PRIOR ART ANALYSIS	39
A. Kirkup (Ex. 1004)	39
B. Huerga (Ex. 1005)	48
VIII. ANALYSIS OF INSTITUTED GROUNDS	48
A. Ground 1: Claims 1, 6-7, 9, 13-14, 16, and 18 Are Not Obvious Over Kirkup	49
1. The Level of Ordinary Skill in the At.	49
2. The Scope and Content of the Prior Art	49
3. The Differences Between the Prior Art and the Claimed Invention	49
a. Kirkup Does Not Disclose Element 1(f) (the Second Condition) Under Maxell's Construction of "Short- Range Wireless Communication."	50
b. Kirkup's Two Scenarios For Accessing the Authentication Code Fail To Teach the Three-Ordered Conditions in Claim 1	52

738473418.4

Apple v. Maxell

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
(i) Scenario 1 – Claim Elements 1(d)-1(g) Are Not Met Because the First Mobile Terminal Is Already Unlocked When The Authentication Process In Figure 2 Begins.....	52
(ii) Scenario 2 – Claim Elements 1(d) and 1(g) Are Not Met Because the Second Mobile Terminal (PC 110) Is Unlocked While the First Mobile Terminal (HED) Remains Locked...	60
c. Apple’s Arguments As to Kirkup Meeting the Three-Ordered Conditions (Elements 1(e)-1(g)) Fail for Additional Reasons.....	64
(i) Apple’s Arguments Regarding the First Condition Fail.....	64
(ii) Apple’s Arguments Regarding the Second Condition Fail.....	70
(iii) Apple’s Arguments Regarding the Third Condition Fail.....	80
d. For the Same Reasons As Claim 1, Kirkup Similarly Does Not Teach the Three-Ordered Conditions in Claims 9 and 16.....	86
4. Secondary Considerations of Non-obviousness.....	86
B. Ground 2: Claims 2, 6, 10, 13, 17 and 18 Are Not Obvious Over Kirkup in view of Huerga.....	87

I, Branimir Vojcic, DSc, hereby declare the following:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Branimir Vojcic. I have been asked by the Patent Owner in this proceeding (Maxell, Ltd.) provide my opinion as to the validity of U.S. Patent No. 10,212,586 (“the ’586 Patent”) and to respond to Apple’s Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of the ’586 Patent (“Petition” or “Pet.”), the Declaration of Dr. Victor Shoup (“Shoup Decl.”) Regarding Invalidity of the ’586 Patent, and the Decision Granting Institution of *Inter Partes* Review (“Institution Decision”) of the ’586 Patent, and to provide my opinion as to the validity of the ’586 Patent.

2. Although I am being compensated at my usual consulting rate of \$650 per hour for my time reviewing materials and preparing this declaration, my opinions expressed here are my own. My compensation is in no way dependent on the outcome of this proceeding or upon the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) accepting my opinions. I have no other financial interest in this matter or the parties thereto.

3. Depending on new information learned or positions taken throughout the proceeding by Apple or its experts, I may edit, add to, or otherwise refine the topics and opinions given here. I reserve the right to supplement my opinions based on new information.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

4. My qualifications to testify about the '586 Patent and the relevant technology are set forth in my curriculum vitae ("CV"), which I have attached here as Exhibit A. My CV includes a list of all my publications within at least the past ten years. The matters in which I have testified (either at trial or by deposition) in the past four years is also attached as Exhibit A.

5. I will briefly summarize my qualifications to render opinions regarding this technology in the following paragraphs.

6. I am an expert in wireless technology and other areas of telecommunications, signal processing and electrical engineering. I am presently a Professor Emeritus of Engineering and Applied Science at The George Washington University. I retired from the university in May 2015, where I was a member of the faculty since September 1, 1991. In addition, I have served as a consultant for a number of companies in the wireless communications industry in various technology areas. I have also served on numerous committees and as a reviewer and editor for several journals, conferences, and organizations.

7. I am presently President of Xplore Wireless, LLC, a small telecommunication consulting company. I am also a co-founder, Director, CEO and CTO of LN2, a startup in the telecommunication space.

8. I received my Diploma of Engineering, Master of Science, and

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.