

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

IMMERVISION, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2020-00195

U.S. Patent No. 6,844,990

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,844,990
CHALLENGING CLAIM 21**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1).....	2
	A. Real Party-In-Interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	2
	B. Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	2
	C. Lead and Backup Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	3
	D. Service Information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	4
III.	Payment of Fees under 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a).....	4
IV.	The '990 Patent And Its Prosecution.....	4
	A. Brief Description of the Patent.....	4
	B. Summary of the Prosecution History	15
	C. Summary of the Reexamination of the Patent	17
V.	Requirements for Inter Partes Review under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104	19
	A. Grounds for standing under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	19
	B. Claims for Which Review Is Requested	19
	C. Statutory Grounds of Challenge.....	19
	D. Level of skill of a person having ordinary skill in the art.....	20
	E. Proposed Claim Constructions	20
	1. “panoramic objective lens”	21
	2. “optical means for projecting...”	21
	3. “object points of the panorama”	25
	4. “image point”	26
	5. “field angle of object points”	26

6.	“maximum divergence”	27
7.	“expanded zone”	27
8.	“compressed zone”	28
VI.	Detailed Grounds for Unpatentability.....	29
A.	The Board Should Not Exercise Its Discretion to Deny Institution....	29
1.	The Grounds Are Not Cumulative.....	29
2.	This Petition Is Not an Unfair Follow-On Petition.....	30
3.	Two New Petitions Each Covering a Different Claim of the Same Patent Is Appropriate Here.....	30
4.	Substantially the Same Prior Art and Arguments Were Not Previously Presented to the Office	32
B.	Ground 1: Tada renders Claim 21 obvious	33
1.	Limitations of Claim 17 from Which Claim 21 Depends.....	33
2.	Claim 21: “The panoramic objective lens according to claim 17, wherein the lens compresses the center of the image and the edges of the image, and expands an intermediate zone of the image located between the center and the edges of the image.”	52
C.	Ground 2: Tada in view of Nagaoka renders Claim 21 obvious.....	57
1.	“the distribution function having a maximum divergence of at least $\pm 10\%$ compared to a linear distribution function”	58
2.	It Would Have Been Obvious to Combine Tada with the Teachings of Nagaoka.....	63
D.	Ground 3: Tada in view of Baker renders Claim 21 obvious	68
1.	“the distribution function having a maximum divergence of at least $\pm 10\%$ compared to a linear distribution function”	69
2.	It Would Have Been Obvious to Combine Tada with the Teachings of Baker.....	72

VII. Conclusion77

EXHIBIT LIST

<i>Exhibit Number</i>	<i>Description</i>
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,844,990 to Artonne <i>et al.</i> (with Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate (10588th))
1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 6,844,990
1003	Prosecution History of Reexamination No. 90/013,410 (without materials designated as nonpatent literature)
1004	U.S. Patent No. 6,128,145 to Nagaoka
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,686,957 to Baker
1006	U.S. Patent No. 3,953,111 to Fisher, et al.
1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,861,999 to Tada
1008	Declaration of Russell Chipman, Ph.D.
1009	CV of Russell Chipman, Ph.D.
1010	Patent Owner's Initial Infringement Contentions in Case No. 1:18-cv-01631-MN-CJB (D. Del.) (Claim 5) [REDACTED]
1011	Patent Owner's Initial Infringement Contentions in Case No. 1:18-cv-01630-MN-CJB (D. Del.) (Claim 21)
1012	Excerpt from The American Heritage Dictionary of Science (1986)
1013	Data from Code V analysis of Tada's third embodiment performed by Dr. Russell Chipman

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.