

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG DISPLAY CO., LTD. AND DELL INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SOLAS OLED, LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2020-00140
Patent No. 6,072,450

**PETITIONER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ADMISSION
PRO HAC VICE OF ROBERT T. HASLAM UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10**

I. Relief Requested

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10, and in accordance with the Board's Order, Paper No. 7 in Case IPR2013-00639, and the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition (Paper No. 4), Petitioner requests that the Board admit Robert T. Haslam *pro hac vice* in this proceeding. Counsel for Patent Owner has informed the undersigned that Patent Owner does not oppose this motion.

II. Statement of Facts

37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) states that the Board “may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” The facts, supported by the attached Declaration of Robert T. Haslam in Support of Petitioner's Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* (Ex. 1015, “Haslam Decl.”), establish good cause to admit Mr. Haslam *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Lead counsel, David A. Garr, is a registered practitioner.

Counsel, Robert T. Haslam, is an experienced litigation attorney with forty four years in private law practice and has substantial experience with patent

litigation. Haslam Decl. at ¶ 9. Mr. Haslam has been counsel in over 50 patent infringement matters, beginning in 1988, including cases pending in various district courts. *Id.* Mr. Haslam, as a member of the American College of Trial Lawyers, has experience with fact and expert discovery, trials, appeals, dispositive motions, and *Markman* hearings. *Id.*

Mr. Haslam is a member in good standing of the Northern District of California Bar. *Id.* at ¶ 2. He has no suspensions or disbarments from practice, nor any application for admission to practice denied, nor any sanctions or contempt citations. *Id.* at ¶¶ 3–5.

Mr. Haslam has familiarity with the subject matter and patent at issue in this proceeding, U.S. Patent No. 6,072,450 (“the ’450 Patent”), including its prosecution history and the scientific field to which the ’450 Patent is addressed. Haslam Decl. at ¶ 10. In particular, Mr. Haslam has been advising the Petitioner throughout the instant IPR proceeding, and has thereby developed a thorough understanding of the ’450 Patent, the relevant art, and scientific field. *Id.* Mr. Haslam is also familiar with the U.S. Patents and subject matter at issue in related proceedings IPR2019-01668 and IPR2020-00320, *id.*, and Petitioner is requesting that the Board admit Mr. Haslam *pro hac vice* in those proceedings as well.

Mr. Haslam has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practices for Trials set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, C.F.R., and

he agrees to be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). *Id.* at ¶¶ 6–7. Mr. Haslam has not applied to appear *pro hac vice* in any other proceeding before the Office within the last three (3) years. *Id.* at ¶ 8.

III. Analysis

The facts contained in the Statement of Facts above, and contained in the Haslam Declaration, establish that there is good cause to admit Mr. Haslam *pro hac vice* in this proceeding, under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10. Lead counsel is a registered practitioner, Mr. Haslam is an experienced litigation attorney, and Mr. Haslam has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding.

IV. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Board admit Robert T. Haslam *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Date: August 20, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

By: /David A. Garr/

David A. Garr

Registration No. 74,932

Peter P. Chen

Registration No. 39,631

Grant D. Johnson

Registration No. 69,915

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

Attorneys for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the above document was served on August 20, 2020, by filing this document through the Patent Trial and Appeal Board End to End System as well as delivering a copy via electronic mail upon the following attorneys of record for the Patent Owner:

Neil Rubin
Kent Shum
Reza Mirzaie
RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
nrubin@raklaw.com
kshum@raklaw.com
rmirzaie@raklaw.com

Date: August 20, 2020

/David A. Garr/
David A. Garr
Registration No.: 74,932