



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. PERSONAL BACKGROUND	1
II. MATERIALS CONSIDERED	4
III. LEGAL STANDARDS	6
A. Claim Construction.....	6
B. Anticipation	7
C. Obviousness.....	7
IV. SCOPE OF OPINIONS	9
V. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	9
VI. OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNOLOGY OF THE ‘776 PATENT.....	10
A. Background on the Technology: Coronary Catheters and Heart Disease.....	10
B. Background on the Technology: Teleflex’s GuideLiner Devices	38
C. The GuideLiner In Use.....	51
VII. THE ‘776 PATENT	52
VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	58
A. “Interventional cardiology device(s)”	59
B. “The segment defining the angled proximal end of the partially cylindrical opening includes at least one inclined region that tapers into a non-inclined region”	63
IX. THE REFERENCES PETITIONER’S EXPERTS RELY ON.....	65

A.	Itou (Ex-1007)	65
B.	Kataishi (Ex-1025)	67
C.	Ressemann (Ex-1008)	70
D.	Enger (Ex-1050)	80
X.	THE EVIDENCE DR. BRECKER CITES DOES NOT SHOW THAT ITOU’S SUCTION CATHETER HAS A SEGMENT CONFIGURED TO RECEIVE INTERVENTIONAL CARDIOLOGY DEVICES THERETHROUGH WHEN POSITIONED WITHIN THE GUIDE CATHETER (GROUND 1, CLAIM 25)	84
XI.	THE LUMEN OF ITOU IS NOT CONFIGURED TO RECEIVE A STENT AND BALLOON CATHETER (GROUND 1, CLAIM 32)	87
XII.	ITOU DOES NOT HAVE A SEGMENT DEFINING THE ANGLED PROXIMAL END OF THE PARTIALLY CYLINDRICAL OPENING THAT INCLUDES AN INCLINED REGION THAT TAPERS INTO A NON-INCLINED REGION (GROUND 1, CLAIM 36)	89
XIII.	A POSITA WOULD NOT BE MOTIVATED TO COMBINE THE DISTAL END OF KATAISHI’S CATHETER WITH THE PROXIMAL TIP OF ITOU’S CATHETER (GROUND 3, CLAIMS 36-37, 52-56)	90
XIV.	IT WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS TO COMBINE ITOU AND RESSEMANN IN THE MANNER ASSERTED BY DR. BRECKER AND DR. HILLSTEAD (GROUND 4, CLAIMS 32, 36-37, 46, 52-56)	95
A.	Itou and Ressemann Are Designed for Different Purposes, and a POSITA Would Not Look to Ressemann to Modify Itou	95
B.	It Would Not Have Been Obvious to a POSITA to Extract Ressemann’s Support Collar and Incorporate It Into Itou’s Proximal Opening as Proposed by Dr. Brecker and Dr. Hillstead	98
1.	The “Motivations” the Petitioner’s Experts Identify Would Not Motivate a POSITA to Combine Ressemann’s Collar 2141 with Itou in the Precise Way Asserted by Dr. Brecker and Dr. Hillstead	105
2.	Even a Combination of Itou with Ressemann’s Collar Would Not Result in the Claimed Elements	111

XV. COMBINING RESSEMANN’S SUPPORT COLLAR WITH ITOU’S PROXIMAL TIP DOES NOT RESULT IN A LUMEN HAVING A “UNIFORM CROSS-SECTIONAL INNER DIAMETER THAT IS NOT MORE THAN ONE FRENCH SIZE SMALLER” THAN THE INNER DIAMETER OF THE GUIDE CATHETER115

XVI. IT WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS TO COMBINE ITOU’S SUCTION CATHETER WITH THE INTERMEDIATE SEGMENT OF ENGER’S BALLOON CATHETER (GROUND 5, CLAIMS 52-56)118

 A. Enger Does Not Disclose a Partially Cylindrical Opening Having at Least Two Inclined Regions..... 118

 B. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Not Be Motivated to Combine Itou and Enger 119

XVII. OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NONOBVIOUSNESS122

 A. GuideLiner Embodies Claims 36, 52 and 53 of the ‘776 Patent123

 B. The *Guidezilla* (Boston Scientific), Boosting Catheter (QXMédical) and *Telescope* (Medtronic) Products Are All Substantially Similar to Teleflex’s Patented *GuideLiner*, Including the Complex Side Opening of Claims 36, 52, and 53124

 i. Boston Scientific Corp.’s *Guidezilla* Catheter.....125

 ii. QXMédical’s Boosting Catheter.....131

 iii. Medtronic’s *Telescope* Product135

I, Peter Keith, hereby declare and state as follows:

1. I have been retained by the owner of U.S. Patent No. RE45,776 (“the ’776 patent”), whom I will refer to in this declaration as “Teleflex,” to provide my independent expert opinions in this matter. I understand that a petition for *inter partes* review (“IPR”) has been filed against certain claims of the ’776 patent. The following provides my opinions in this matter.

I. PERSONAL BACKGROUND

2. I summarize my educational background and career history in the following paragraphs. My complete qualifications are provided in my curriculum vitae, which is attached to this declaration as Appendix A.

3. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in mechanical engineering with High Distinction from the University of Minnesota in 1987. During my undergraduate training, I began working as an engineering intern in the research and development (R&D) department at SCIMED, which was later acquired by Boston Scientific Corporation. I joined SCIMED full-time after graduation, and I remained with the company until 1996. During this time I rose from engineering intern to full-time R&D engineer to Director of R&D. Throughout my various roles at SCIMED, the focus of my work was on medical devices in the field of interventional cardiology, particularly catheter design.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.