

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MEDTRONIC, INC., AND MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.
Petitioners,

v.

TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L.
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2020-00135
Case IPR2020-00136
Patent RE 45,776

**PATENT OWNER'S CONTINGENT MOTION TO AMEND
U.S. PATENT RE 45,776 UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.121**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. LEGAL STANDARDS FOR AMENDING CLAIMS	1
III. CLAIM LISTING	1
IV. SCOPE OF THE SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS.....	2
V. WRITTEN DESCRIPTION SUPPORT.....	4
A. Substitute Claim 58	5
B. Substitute Claim 59	6
C. Substitute Claim 60	7
D. Substitute Claim 61	7
E. Substitute Claim 62	9
F. Substitute Claim 63	9
G. Substitute Claim 64	11
H. Substitute Claim 65	11
VI. THE LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	13
VII. THE SCOPE AND CONTENT OF THE PRIOR ART	13
VIII. THE PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS ARE PATENTABLE.....	14
A. Substitute Claims 58-62, 64, and 65: None of the Prior Art Discloses or Suggests the Claimed Combination of Features Including the Recited Complex Side Opening	14
B. Substitute Claims 61 and 62: The Prior Art Does Not Disclose the Claimed Combination of Elements, Including a Segment Defining a Partially Cylindrical Opening Comprising a Metal Rail Structure That Has Been Processed to Increase Its Flexibility	20

C. Substitute Claims 63-64: The Prior Art Does Not Disclose or Suggest the Claimed Combination of Elements, Including a Tubular Structure Having a Uniform, Fixed Outer Diameter and a Coaxial 0.056 Lumen For Use With a 6 French Guide Catheter.....21

D. Substitute Claim 65: The Prior Art Does Not Disclose or Suggest the Claimed Combination of Elements, Including a Tubular Structure With a Coaxial 0.056 Lumen For Use With a 6 French Guide Catheter and to Receive Stents and Balloon Catheters.....24

IX. CONCLUSION.....25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<i>Cases</i>	<i>Page(s)</i>
<i>Cooper Cameron Corp. v. Kvaerner Oilfields Prods., Inc.</i> , 291 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	5
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	18
<i>Indivior Inc. v. Dr. Reddy’s Labs., S.A.</i> , 930 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	4
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	18
<i>Lectrosonics, Inc. v. Zaxcom, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-01129, Paper 15 (PTAB Feb. 25, 2019).....	1
Memorandum re: Guidance on Motions to Amend in view of <i>Aqua Products</i> (Nov. 21, 2017)	1
<i>Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar</i> , 935 F.2d 1555 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	5
 <i>Statutes</i>	
35 U.S.C. § 102.....	14
35 U.S.C. § 103.....	14
35 U.S.C. § 316.....	2
 <i>Other Authorities</i>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.121	1, 2, 5, 14
37 C.F.R. § 42.22	1

I. INTRODUCTION

Patent Owner Teleflex submits this Contingent Motion to Amend U.S. Patent RE 45,776 (“Motion”), with the Declaration of Peter T. Keith in Support of Motions to Amend (“Ex-2124”), under 37 C.F.R. § 42.121. This motion does not seek preliminary guidance. If, after considering Teleflex’s Patent Owner Responses, the Board finds any of issued claims 27, 33, 37, 42, 43, 45, 47, or 56 of the ’776 patent invalid, Teleflex respectfully requests that the Board replace the invalid claim(s) with the respective proposed substitute claim of claims 58-65. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(2); 35 U.S.C. § 316(d).

II. LEGAL STANDARDS FOR AMENDING CLAIMS

A motion to amend must (1) propose a reasonable number of substitute claims, (2) that respond to a ground of unpatentability involved in the trial, (3) that do not enlarge the scope of the claims or introduce new matter, and (4) are not shown by a preponderance of the evidence to be unpatentable. *See* Memorandum re: Guidance on Motions to Amend in view of *Aqua Products* (Nov. 21, 2017) at 2; 35 U.S.C. § 316(d); 37 C.F.R. § 42.121. It is Petitioner’s burden to show that the proposed substitute claims are unpatentable. *Lectrosonics, Inc. v. Zaxcom, Inc.*, IPR2018-01129, Paper 15 at 4 (PTAB Feb. 25, 2019).

III. CLAIM LISTING

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(b), Appendix A lists the changes made to the issued claims of the ’776 patent that would be replaced under this Motion. This

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.