# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE —————— BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD ————— MEDTRONIC, INC., AND MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC., Petitioner,

v.

TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.À.R.L., Patent Owner.

\_\_\_\_\_

Case No. IPR2020-00132 Case No. IPR2020-00134 U.S. Patent No. RE45,760

PETITIONER'S SUR-REPLY
TO PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO AMEND



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

				Page	
I.	Intro	ductio	on	1	
II.	Proposed Claims 54-58 Are Unpatentable				
	A.	Substitute Claims 54-58 Are Unpatentable Over Itou, Itou in View of Ressemann, or Itou in View of Ressemann and Kataishi			
		1.	Stents and Stent Catheters	2	
		2.	Complex Side Opening	4	
		3.	Size and Coaxial Limitations	5	
		4.	Motivation to Combine Itou and Ressemann	5	
		5.	Motivation to Combine Itou and Kataishi	8	
	B.	Substitute Claims 54-58 Are Unpatentable Over Kontos in View of Ressemann and Takahashi		8	
		1.	Stents and Stent Catheters	9	
		2.	Motivation to Combine Kontos and Ressemann	9	
III.	Proposed Claims 54-56 and 58 Lack Written Description Support13				
IV.	Proposed Claim 58 is a Broadening Amendment				
V.	Conclusion				



# **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
Cordis Corp. v. Medtronic Ave, Inc., 339 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	13
Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc. v. U.S. Surgical Corp., 93 F.3d 1572. (Fed. Cir. 1996)	13, 14
In re Etter, 756 F.2d 852 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (en banc)	7
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	1, 12
Lampi Corp. v. Am. Power Prods., Inc., 228 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	13, 14
In re Peters, 723 F.2d 891 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	13
PowerOasis Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc., 522 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	13, 14
Univ. of Maryland Biotechnology Institute v. Presens Precision Sensing GmbH, 711 F. App'x 1007 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	1 (
/ 1 I T. ADD X 100/ (FCG. CII. 201/)	



## I. INTRODUCTION

Patent Owner's ("PO") inventions are not new—they merely commercialize the prior art. The proposed amended claims add no new material limitations that were not present in the art and are therefore invalid for the same reasons the original claims are invalid. Before the alleged invention, Kontos described a "guide catheter extension." Itou, a suction catheter, and Ressemann, an embolic protection device, are both designed to treat coronary artery disease and would be considered together in designing catheters and treating patients. Ressemann and Kataishi disclose the claimed structure of the amended complex side opening and the art attributes known benefits to this structure. Further, the art describes that similarly shaped openings provide these same benefits when used for both the proximal and distal opening of various catheters. Nonetheless, PO argues that obviousness is nothing but hindsight.

But a POSITA is not an automaton restricted to combining two specific physical embodiments of the prior art. *Univ. of Maryland Biotechnology Institute v. Presens Precision Sensing GmbH*, 711 F. App'x 1007, 1010 (Fed. Cir. 2017). Instead, a POSITA has the ordinary creativity of a skilled artisan using the common knowledge and common sense present in the art. *KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, 550 U.S. 398, 421 (2007). PO narrowly focuses on combining specific embodiments and argues because they are not combinable without making some modifications, the invention is not obvious. PO ignores that these modifications were well-understood



routine engineering concepts within the capability of a POSITA, who had motivation to make them. Taking well-defined structures with known benefits, like the shape of various catheter openings, and applying those structures to other catheters to achieve predictable results is not inventive. Thus, the proposed amended claims are invalid.

## II. PROPOSED CLAIMS 54-58 ARE UNPATENTABLE

A. Substitute Claims 54-58 Are Unpatentable Over Itou, Itou in View of Ressemann, or Itou in View of Ressemann and Kataishi

PO argues that the Itou grounds do not invalidate for at least five reasons, including (1) Itou does not disclose stents, (2) Ressemann and Kataishi do not disclose the "concave track," (3) Itou does not disclose the one French size differential, (4) Itou does not disclose the "coaxial lumen," and (5) a POSITA would not be motivated to combine the references. Each of these issues is discussed below.

## 1. Stents and Stent Catheters

Itou discloses that all four types of interventional cardiology devices are insertable, including stents. First, Itou itself teaches that guidewire (6) is insertable through catheter (2). Ex. 1007, Fig. 5; 4:64-65; *see also* Ex. 1806 ¶ 45. PO's expert could have, but did not, offer an opinion on whether a guidewire fit through Itou's structure. Ex. 1805, 139:3-13. Second, the inner diameter of Itou's tubular portion 24 is 1.5mm, or 0.059 inches, Ex. 1007, Table 1, 1:59-65, *see also* Ex. 1903 ¶¶ 21-22. Even if the "effective opening" of Itou is only 0.046 inches, as PO incorrectly asserts, angioplasty balloons and numerous commercial stents (necessarily deployed



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

# **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

