

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MEDTRONIC, INC. and MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.À.R.L.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2020-00126 (Patent 8,048,032 B2)
IPR2020-00127 (Patent 8,048,032 B2)
IPR2020-00128 (Patent RE45,380 E)
IPR2020-00129 (Patent RE45,380 E)
IPR2020-00130 (Patent RE45,380 E)
IPR2020-00132 (Patent RE45,760 E)
IPR2020-00134 (Patent RE45,760 E)
IPR2020-00135 (Patent RE45,776 E)
IPR2020-00136 (Patent RE45,776 E)
IPR2020-00137 (Patent RE47,379 E)
IPR2020-00138 (Patent RE47,379 E)¹

Before SHERIDAN K. SNEDDEN, JON B. TORNQUIST, and
CHRISTOPHER G. PAULRAJ, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

TORNQUIST, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

¹ This Order applies to all of the above-identified proceedings. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for any subsequent papers.

IPR2020-00126, IPR2020-00127 (Patent 8,048,032 B2)
IPR2020-00128, IPR2020-00129, IPR2020-00130 (Patent RE45,380 E)
IPR2020-00132, IPR2020-00134 (Patent RE45,760 E)
IPR2020-00135, IPR2020-00136 (Patent RE45,776 E)
IPR2020-00137, IPR2020-00138 (Patent RE47,379 E)

Granting Patent Owner's Motions for Admission
Pro Hac Vice of Peter M. Kohlhepp
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

IPR2020-00126, IPR2020-00127 (Patent 8,048,032 B2)
IPR2020-00128, IPR2020-00129, IPR2020-00130 (Patent RE45,380 E)
IPR2020-00132, IPR2020-00134 (Patent RE45,760 E)
IPR2020-00135, IPR2020-00136 (Patent RE45,776 E)
IPR2020-00137, IPR2020-00138 (Patent RE47,379 E)

On August 19, 2020, Patent Owner filed motions for admission *pro hac vice* of Peter M. Kohlhepp in each of the above-identified proceedings (collectively “Motions”). Paper 30.² Patent Owner also filed declarations of Mr. Kohlhepp in support of the Motions (collectively “Declarations”). Ex. 2088.³ Patent Owner attests that Petitioner does not oppose the Motions. Paper 30, 1. For the reasons provided below, Patent Owner’s Motions are *granted*.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In its notice authorizing motions for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in this proceeding. See Paper 6, 2 (citing *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013))

² For purposes of expediency, we cite to Papers filed in IPR2020-00126. Patent Owner filed similar Motions in IPR2020-00127 (Paper 27), IPR2020-00128 (Paper 30), IPR2020-00129 (Paper 30), IPR2020-00130 (Paper 27), IPR2020-00132 (Paper 30), IPR2020-00134 (Paper 27), IPR2020-00135 (Paper 30), IPR2020-00136 (Paper 27), IPR2020-00137 (Paper 30), and IPR2020-00138 (Paper 27).

³ We cite to Exhibits filed in IPR2020-00126. Patent Owner filed similar Declarations in IPR2020-00127 (Ex. 2087 (titled Ex. 2088)), IPR2020-00128 (Ex. 2088), IPR2020-00129 (Ex. 2088), IPR2020-00130 (Ex. 2088), IPR2020-00132 (Ex. 2088), IPR2020-00134 (Ex. 2088), IPR2020-00135 (Ex. 2088), IPR2020-00136 (Ex. 2088), IPR2020-00137 (Ex. 2088), and IPR2020-00138 (Ex. 2088).

IPR2020-00126, IPR2020-00127 (Patent 8,048,032 B2)
IPR2020-00128, IPR2020-00129, IPR2020-00130 (Patent RE45,380 E)
IPR2020-00132, IPR2020-00134 (Patent RE45,760 E)
IPR2020-00135, IPR2020-00136 (Patent RE45,776 E)
IPR2020-00137, IPR2020-00138 (Patent RE47,379 E)

(representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and the accompanying Declarations, we conclude that Mr. Kohlhepp has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in these proceedings, that Mr. Kohlhepp has demonstrated sufficient familiarity with the subject matter of these proceedings, and that Patent Owner’s intent to be represented by counsel with litigation experience is warranted. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Kohlhepp. Mr. Kohlhepp will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

A Power of Attorney has not been submitted for Mr. Kohlhepp. Accordingly, Patent Owner must submit a Power of Attorney for Mr. Kohlhepp in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b), and must update its Mandatory Notices as required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Peter M. Kohlhepp are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Kohlhepp is authorized to represent Patent Owner only as back-up counsel in the above-identified proceedings;

IPR2020-00126, IPR2020-00127 (Patent 8,048,032 B2)
IPR2020-00128, IPR2020-00129, IPR2020-00130 (Patent RE45,380 E)
IPR2020-00132, IPR2020-00134 (Patent RE45,760 E)
IPR2020-00135, IPR2020-00136 (Patent RE45,776 E)
IPR2020-00137, IPR2020-00138 (Patent RE47,379 E)

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Kohlhepp is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Kohlhepp shall be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.*;

FURTHER ORDERED that, within ten (10) business days of the date of this Order, Patent Owner must submit Powers of Attorney for Mr. Kohlhepp in each of the above-identified proceedings in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b); and

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner shall file updated Mandatory Notices in each of the above-identified proceedings in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3), identifying Mr. Kohlhepp as back-up counsel.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.