UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MEDTRONIC, INC., AND MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.À.R.L.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2020-00126 Patent 8,048,032

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR DIRECTOR REHEARING PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d) AND UNITED STATES V. ARTHREX



A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTR	ODUCTION1
II.	LEGA	AL STANDARD2
III.	AND CON	BOARD'S FINDINGS RELATED TO CORROBORATION DILIGENCE SET DANGEROUS PRECEDENTS TRARY TO ESTABLISHED LAW, AND THE DIRECTOR ULD REVERSE
A.		ne Director must correct the Board's faulty corroboration alysis
	1.	The Director needs to clarify whether the non-inventor testimony, alone, is sufficient to corroborate the inventor testimony
	2.	Patent Owner's non-inventor declarations do not corroborate inventor testimony that VSI determined that the inventions would work for their intended purpose—the Board relied on only inventor testimony
	3.	The Board overlooked evidence disproving reduction to practice
B.		ne Director must correct the Board's application of the easonably continuous" diligence standard
IV.	CON	CLUSION15

### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

#### Cases

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

IPR2020-00126 Patent 8,048,032
<i>Xilinx, Inc. v. Intell. Ventures Mgmt.</i> , Appeal No. 2014-001131, 2014 WL 1679835 (PTAB Apr. 25, 2014)13
Other Authorities

MPEP § 2138.06	15
PTO Guidance, Arthrex Q&As   USPTO	2

## IPR2020-00126 Patent 8,048,032

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner seeks Director review of the Final Written Decision ("FWD," Paper 127) holding that the primary reference, Itou, is not prior art.<sup>1</sup> The Director should reverse and remand for consideration of the asserted grounds on the merits.

The Board erred in finding that Patent Owner's predecessor, VSI, reduced the claimed coaxial guide catheter (or guide extension catheter) inventions to practice before Itou. The Board found that VSI assembled and tested prototypes embodying the inventions and determined that they would work for their intended purpose. The Board's ruling on testing, however, cites only inventor testimony, in violation of established corroboration requirements. No document in the record relates to testing relevant prototypes or demonstrating that the prototypes would work for their intended purpose. The Board cited the declaration of a non-inventor, Erb, but not for any details regarding testing relevant prototypes (because he provides none).

The Board's decision departs from binding authority establishing the contours of corroboration requirements. Neither the Board's decision nor Patent Owner's briefing cites a single case or Board decision finding that an invention was demonstrated to work for its intended purpose based on only after-the-fact, litigation-driven testimony, much less on only *inventor* testimony. Director review

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petitioner requested a Precedential Opinion Panel by email.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.