

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MEDTRONIC, INC., AND MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.
Petitioners,

v.

TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L.
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2020-00126
Patent 8,048,032

PATENT OWNER SUR-REPLY TO PETITIONER'S REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	The Proper Construction of “Through Which Interventional Cardiology Devices Are Insertable” Is Dispositive Against the Petition (All Challenged Claims)	2
	A. “Through Which Interventional Cardiology Devices Are Insertable” Requires at Least the Defined Set of Guidewires, Balloon Catheters, Stents, <i>and</i> Stent Catheters Are Insertable.....	3
	B. “Interventional Cardiology Device”	5
III.	<u>All Challenged Claims (Ground 1):</u> Petitioner Has Not Shown that Itou’s Lumen Has the Claimed Structure With a “cross-sectional inner diameter through which interventional cardiology devices are insertable” Under Either Construction.....	7
	A. Itou’s Protective Catheter Is Not an “Interventional Cardiology Device” as That Term Is Defined and Used in the ’032 Patent.....	7
	B. Petitioner’s New Inherency Argument Is Untimely and It Would Be Unfairly Prejudicial for the Board to Consider It.....	8
	C. Petitioner’s New Inherency Argument Is Unpersuasive, Even If the Board Were to Consider It	9
IV.	<u>Dependent Claims 3, 13, and 14 (Grounds 1 and 2)</u>	11
	A. Petitioner Failed to Show that Itou Anticipates Claims 3, 13, or 14 (GROUND 1).....	11
	B. Claim 3 Would Not Have Been Obvious in View of Itou and Ressemann (GROUND 2).....	13
	C. Claim 13 Would Not Have Been Obvious in View of Itou and Ressemann (GROUND 2).....	15
	D. Claim 14 Is Not Obvious in View of Itou and Ressemann (GROUND 2)	17

V. Dependent Claim 6 (GROUND 1): Itou Does Not Anticipate Because Its Distal Tip Portion Is Not Cylindrical17

VI. Petitioner Has Not Refuted the Striking Objective Evidence that Confirms Claims 3 and 13 Were Not Obvious.....18

 A. The Objective Evidence, Including Nexus, Is Undisputed.....18

 B. The Combination of Features That Resulted in GuideLiner’s Success and Praise Is Not in the Prior Art18

 C. The Fact that All of GuideLiner’s Competitors Copied its Design Confirms Non-Obviousness20

VII. Non-AIA Patent22

CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT COMPLIANCE.....24

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE.....25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , 839 F.3d 1034 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	22
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int'l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	11
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge, Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	9
<i>Iron Grip Barbell Co. v. USA Sports, Inc.</i> , 392 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	21, 22
<i>Jack Guttman, Inc. v. Kopykake Enters.</i> , 302 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	3
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	13
<i>Lectrosonics, Inc. v. Zaxcom, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-01129, Paper 33 (PTAB Jan. 24, 2020).....	19
<i>Mytee Prods., Inc. v. Harris Rsch, Inc.</i> , 439 F. App'x 882 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	11
<i>Tech. Patents LLC v. T-Mobile UK, Ltd.</i> , 700 F.3d 482 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	6
<i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	3
<i>WBIP, LLC v. Kohler Co.</i> , 829 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	19, 20

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 103.....	14
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....	9

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b)9

PTAB Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (November 2019)9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.