

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,407,609

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

Sling TV L.L.C.  
Petitioner,

v.

Uniloc 2017 LLC  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR No.: IPR2019-01367  
Attorney Docket No.: 081841.0123

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,407,609**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

*Submitted Electronically via the Patent Trial and Appeal Board End to End System*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>I.</b>	<b>MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. 42.8(a)(1)</b> .....	<b>v</b>
A.	Real Party-in-Interest under 37 C.F.R. 42.8(b)(1) .....	v
B.	Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. 42.8(b)(2) .....	v
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. 42.8(b)(3) .....	vi
D.	Service Information under 37 C.F.R. 42.8(b)(4).....	vi
<b>II.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>III.</b>	<b>PAYMENT OF FEES</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>IV.</b>	<b>REQUIREMENTS UNDER 37 C.F.R. 42.104</b> .....	<b>1</b>
A.	Grounds for Standing .....	1
B.	Identification of Challenge and Statement of Precise Relief Requested .....	2
<b>V.</b>	<b>BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY</b> .....	<b>2</b>
A.	Web Page and Internet Technology .....	2
B.	Applets.....	3
<b>VI.</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF THE '609 PATENT</b> .....	<b>3</b>
A.	The Alleged Invention.....	3
B.	Relevant Prosecution History.....	4
<b>VII.</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF THE PRIOR ART</b> .....	<b>6</b>
A.	The Knowledge of a POSA.....	6
B.	Prior Art in this Petition .....	6
<b>VIII.</b>	<b>LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>IX.</b>	<b>CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 42.104(B)(3)</b> .....	<b>7</b>
A.	“applet” (Claim 1) .....	7
B.	“computer system” (Claim 1).....	8
C.	Timing-related limitations (Claim 1) .....	9
<b>X.</b>	<b>THE ASSERTED GROUNDS OF INVALIDITY</b> .....	<b>11</b>
A.	Ground 1: U.S. 2004/0254887 (“Jacoby”) in view of U.S. 5,732,218 (“Bland”) renders claims 1-3 obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103 .....	11

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,407,609

B.	Ground 2: PCT WO01/89195 (“Mcternan”) in view of EP 939,516 (“Robinson”) renders claims 1-3 obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103 .....	40
C.	Motivation to Combine .....	64

**PETITIONER’S EXHIBIT LIST**

<b>Ex. No.<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Description of Documents</b>
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,407,609 (“the ’609 Patent”)
1002	Declaration of Dr. James A. Storer
1003	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. James A. Storer (“Storer CV”)
1004	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,407,609 (“the ’609 File History”)
1005	First Amended Complaint, <i>Uniloc 2017 LLC v. Sling TV L.L.C.</i> , Case No. 1:19-cv-00278-RBJ-MEH, Dkt. No. 37 (Apr. 10, 2019) (“FAC”)
1006	U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2004/0254887 to Jacoby (“Jacoby”)
1007	PCT Pub. No. WO01/89195 to Mcternan et al. (“Mcternan”)
1008	EP Patent Application Pub. No. 939,516 to Robinson et al. (“Robinson”)
1009	U.S. Patent No. 5,732,218 to Bland et al. (“Bland”)
1010	Gralla, <i>How the Internet Works</i> , Millennium Edition, Que 1999 (“Gralla”)
1011	Bruce R. Maxim et al., <i>The Internet Encyclopedia</i> (Hossein Bidgoli ed., John Wiley & Sons Inc. 2004) (“Bidgoli”)
1012	U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2002/0198781 to Cobley (“Cobley”)

---

<sup>1</sup> Citations to exhibits 1001, 1007, 1009, and 1012 are made by column and line number; citations to exhibits 1002, 1005, 1006, and 1008 are made by paragraph number; citations to the other exhibits are made with reference to the Bates-stamped pagination.

**I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. 42.8(a)(1)**

**A. Real Party-in-Interest under 37 C.F.R. 42.8(b)(1)**

Sling TV L.L.C. (“Sling”) is the petitioner. Sling is directly or indirectly owned by the following direct or indirect owners: Sling TV Holding L.L.C., DISH Network L.L.C., DISH Technologies L.L.C., and DISH Network Corporation.

**B. Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. 42.8(b)(2)**

The ’609 Patent is the subject of five pending district court cases. The ’609 Patent was also involved in an additional five district court cases that have been terminated. These ten cases are listed below:

- *Uniloc 2017, LLC v. Sling TV, LLC*,  
Case No. 1:19-cv-00278, District of Colorado (Pending)
- *Uniloc 2017 LLC v. Netflix, Inc.*,  
Case No. 8-18-cv-01899, Central District of California (Terminated)
- *Uniloc 2017 LLC et al v. American Broadcasting Companies, Inc.*  
Case No. 8-18-cv-01930, Central District of California (Terminated)
- *Uniloc 2017 LLC et al v. Google LLC*  
Case No. 2-18-cv-00456, Eastern District of Texas (Terminated)
- *Uniloc 2017 LLC et al v. Google LLC*  
Case No. 2-18-cv-00502, Eastern District of Texas (Pending)
- *Uniloc 2017 LLC v. Netflix, Inc.*  
Case No. 8-18-cv-02055, Central District of California (Terminated)
- *Uniloc 2017 LLC v. American Broadcasting Companies, Inc.*  
Case No. 8-18-cv-02056, Central District of California (Pending)
- *Uniloc 2017 LLC v. Roku, Inc.*  
Case No. 1-18-cv-01126, Western District of Texas (Terminated)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.