UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PROLLENIUM US INC., Petitioner

v.

ALLERGAN INDUSTRIE, SAS, Patent Owner

IPR2019-01505 (Patent No. 8,450,475) IPR2019-01506 (Patent No. 8,357,795) IPR2019-01508 (Patent No. 9,238,013) IPR2019-01509 (Patent No. 9,358,322) IPR2019-01617 (Patent No. 8,822,676) IPR2019-01632 (Patent No. 8,357,795) IPR2020-00084 (Patent No. 9,089,519)

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317 AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.5, 42.71(a), 42.72, AND 42.74¹

¹ Authorization for the use of a joint caption page was received on April 27, 2020. Neither party opposes the use of a joint caption page. An identical paper has been filed in each case recited in the consolidated caption.



Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317, 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.5, 42.71(a), 42.72 and 42.74, and the Board's authorization received on February 18, 2021, Petitioner Prollenium US Inc. ("Petitioner" or "Prollenium") and Patent Owner Allergan Industrie, SAS ("Patent Owner" or "Allergan") jointly move to terminate the present *inter partes* review proceedings in light of Patent Owner and Petitioner's settlement of all pending litigation (*i.e.*, district court, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, or otherwise) between the parties involving the patents at issue in these matters.

Petitioner and Patent Owner are concurrently filing a true and complete copy of their confidential written settlement materials (Confidential Exhibit 2250) in connection with this matter as required by statute. A joint request to treat the settlement materials (Confidential Exhibit 2250) as business confidential information kept separate from the file of the involved patents pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) is being filed concurrently.

LEGAL STANDARD

An *inter partes* review proceeding "shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed." 35 U.S.C. § 317(a). A joint motion to terminate generally "must (1)



include a brief explanation as to why termination is appropriate; (2) identify all parties in any related litigation involving the patents at issue; (3) identify any related proceedings currently before the Office, and (4) discuss specifically the current status of each such related litigation or proceeding with respect to each party to the litigation or proceeding." *Heartland Tanning, Inc. v. Sunless, Inc.*, IPR2014-00018, Paper 26 at 2 (PTAB July 28, 2014).

ARGUMENT

Termination of the present *inter partes* review proceedings is appropriate because (1) Petitioner and Patent Owner have settled their disputes and have agreed to terminate the proceedings, (2) the Office has not yet decided the merits of the proceedings, and (3) public policy favors the termination.

First, the parties' settlement completely resolves the controversy between Patent Owner and Petitioner relating to U.S. Patent Nos. 8,450,475; 8,357,795; 9,238,013; 9,358,322; 8,822,676; and 9,089,519 before the Board and in the copending district court litigation, which has been stayed pending disposition of these proceedings. *Allergan USA, Inc. v. Prollenium US Inc.*, C.A. No. 1-19-cv-126-CFC (D. Del. May 20, 2020).

Second, the Office has not decided the merits of the proceedings. Third, public policy favors the termination. As recognized by the rules of practice before the Board:



There are strong public policy reasons to favor settlement between the parties to a proceeding. The Board will be available to facilitate settlement discussions, and where appropriate, may require a settlement discussion as part of the proceeding. The Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits of the proceeding. 35 U.S.C. §§ 317(a), 327.

Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (Nov. 2019) at 86. Moreover, no public interest or other factors militate against termination of this proceeding.

Following settlement, there will be no pending litigation or contested proceeding in any forum (*i.e.*, district court, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, or otherwise) involving the patents at issue in these matters.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner and Patent Owner jointly and respectfully request that the instant proceedings be terminated.



Date: February 18, 2021 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Warren J. Thomas

Christopher L. Curfman.
(Reg. No. 52,787)
William W. Cutchins (Reg. No. 63,451)
Warren J. Thomas (Reg. No. 70,581)
John Harbin (*pro hac vice*)
MEUNIER CARLIN & CURFMAN LLC
999 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 1300
Atlanta, GA 30309
ccurfman@mcciplaw.com
wcutchins@mcciplaw.com
wthomas@mcciplaw.com
mcc.prollenium.ipr@mcciplaw.com

Attorneys for Petitioner Prollenium US Inc.

/s/ Anthony M. Insogna

Anthony M. Insogna (Reg. No. 35,203)
Tamera M. Weisser (Reg. No. 47,856)
S. Christian Platt (Reg. No. 46,998)
aminsogna@jonesday.com
tweisser@jonesday.com
cplatt@jonesday.com
JONES DAY
4655 Executive Drive, Suite 1500
San Diego, CA 92121-3134
Tel.: (858) 314-1200
Fax.: (844) 345-3178

Sarah A. Geers (Reg. No. 69,123) sgeers@jonesday.com JONES DAY 250 Vesey Street New York, NY 10281 Telephone: 212-326-3939

Fax: 212-755-7306

Jennifer M. Hartjes (Reg. No. 77,687) jhartjes@jonesday.com
JONES DAY
90 South Seventh Street, Suite 4950
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: 612-217-8800
Fax: 844-345-3178

Attorneys for Patent Owner Allergan Industrie, SAS



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

