UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC., DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD., and SUN PHARMACEUTICALS INDUSTRIES LTD., Petitioners,

v.

MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2020-00040¹ Patent 7,326,708 B2

PETITIONER SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD.'S NOTICE OF APPEAL

¹ Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Inc. and Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd. were joined as a party to this proceeding via a Motion for Joinder in IPR2020-01060; and Sun Pharmaceuticals Industries Ltd. was joined as a party to this proceeding via Motion for Joinder in IPR2020-01072.



Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1295(a)(4)(A), 5 U.S.C. §§ 701-706, 35 U.S.C. §§ 319, 141, 142, and in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 90.2, 90.3, and Federal Circuit Rule 15(a)(1), Petitioner Sun Pharmaceuticals Industries Ltd. hereby provides notice that it appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the Final Written Decision of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board in Case No. IPR2020-00040, entered on May 7, 2021 (Paper No. 91), and from all underlying orders, decisions, rulings, and opinions related thereto and included therein. Petitioner Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. has already noticed its appeal of the Board's Final Written Decision. (Paper 92). This appeal is timely under 35 U.S.C. § 142 and Rule 15(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)(3)(ii), the expected issues on appeal include, but are not limited to the following:

- The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 11. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 12. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 13. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 14. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 15. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 16. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 17. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 18. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 18. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 18. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 18. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 18. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 18. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 18. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 18. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 18. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 18. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 18. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 18. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 18. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1 18. The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that Petitione
- The Board's determination that Petitioner failed to show that claims 1-4, 17, 19, and 21-23 of the '708 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over the prior art.
- 3. The Board's determination that the Patent Owner had reduced to practice the subject matter of claims 1, 2, 17, 19, and 21–23 of the '708



IPR2020-00040 Patent 7,326,708 B2

Patent before the applicable priority and/or publication date of WO

03/004498 A1, and whether WO 03/004498 A1 is available prior art to

claims 1, 2, 17, 19, and 21–23 of the '708 Patent.

All other issues decided adversely to Petitioner in any orders, decisions, 4.

rulings, and opinions underlying or supporting the Final Written

Decision.

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 142 and 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a), a copy of this Notice is

being filed with the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office and

with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board. In addition, a copy of this Notice and the

required docketing fees are being filed with the Clerk's Office for the United States

Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit via CM/ECF.

Dated: July 8, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

/Jovial Wong/

Jovial Wong

Reg. No. 60,115

Lead Counsel for Petitioner



IPR2020-00040 Patent 7,326,708 B2

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

The undersigned hereby certifies that the above-captioned PETITIONER'S NOTICE OF APPEAL was electronically filed through PTAB E2E and via Priority Mail Express with the Director on July 8, 2021, at the following address:

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office c/o Office of the General Counsel United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The undersigned also hereby certifies that a true and correct paper copy of the above-captioned PETITIONER'S NOTICE OF APPEAL, and the docketing fee of \$500 are being filed via Priority Mail Express, CM/ECF, and Pay.gov, respectively, with the Clerk's Office of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on July 8, 2021.

Dated: July 8, 2021 Respectfully submitted,

/Jovial Wong/ Jovial Wong Reg. No. 60,115

Lead Counsel for Petitioner



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(a), I certify that, on July 8, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing PETITIONER SUN PHARMACEUTICALS INDUSTRIES LTD.'S NOTICE OF APPEAL, was served electronically on counsel of record for the Patent Owner and other petitioners at the following electronic-mail addresses:

sfisher@wc.com

jberniker@wc.com

smahaffy@wc.com

asheh@wc.com

bgenderson@wc.com

ebaumgarten@wc.com

jluo@wc.com

jkomis@wc.com

azolan@wc.com

suribe@wc.com

vomoroghomwan@wc.com

rfaegenburg@lernerdavid.com

tvanbuskirk@lernerdavid.com

mteschner@lernerdavid.com



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

