Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

N-(2-Hydroxyethyl)ethylenediammonium hydrogenphosphate monohydrate

Selcuk Demir,^a Veysel T. Yilmaz,^a* Omer Andac^a and William T. A. Harrison^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Arts and Science, Ondokuz Mayis University, 55139 Kurupelit Samsun, Turkey, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Meston Walk, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, Scotland Correspondence e-mail: vtyilmaz@omu.edu.tr

Received 7 May 2002 Accepted 28 May 2002 Online 20 June 2002

The title compound, $C_4H_{14}N_2O^{2+} \cdot HPO_4^{2-} \cdot H_2O$, contains alternating interleaved layers of hydrogenphosphate and *N*-(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediammonium moieties. The water molecules are associated with channel-like voids in the structure and a network of hydrogen bonds stabilizes the crystal packing.

Comment

During the synthesis of metal phosphates templated by organic amines (Cheetham *et al.*, 1999), amine phosphates may occur as unexpected side products and may also act as intermediates in the formation of open-framework structures (Oliver *et al.*, 1998; Neeraj *et al.*, 1999). We describe here the structure of one such amine phosphate, namely the title compound, (I).



The structure of (I) (Fig. 1) consists of a molecular network. Both amino groups of the *N*-(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediammonium moiety are protonated, resulting in a divalent species. The *N*-(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediammonium cation exhibits a *gauche* conformation and bond distances within the cation are comparable with those in the neutral molecule coordinated to Cu^{II} and Cd^{II} ions (Yilmaz *et al.*, 2002). One of the phosphate P–O vertices is protonated and shows the expected lengthening relative to the other P–O bonds (Oliver *et al.*, 1998).

The crystal packing in (I) is shown in Fig. 2. The structure contains alternating interleaved layers of anions and cations,



Figure 1

A view of the molecule of (I) with 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms are drawn as small spheres of arbitrary radii and hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines.



Figure 2 A projection of the structure of (I) along [001].

with the layers propagating in the (101) plane. The water molecules occupy channel-like voids propagating along [001]. All the H atoms of the ammonium groups form $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds to neighbouring phosphate O atoms, while the hydroxyl group of the organic species forms hydrogen bonds to the O atoms of both the phosphate ions and water molecules. Adjacent phosphate anions are also linked by P-OH···O hydrogen bonds in the [001] direction.

Experimental

 H_3PO_4 (0.814 ml, 12 mmol) (aqueous, 85% *w/w*) was added dropwise to an aqueous solution of ethylene glycol (20%, 20 ml) with *N*-(2hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine (1.012 ml, 10 mmol) and the resulting solution was stirred for 2 h at 323 K. The mixture was then left to crystallize at room temperature. Colourless chunk-type crystals of (I) were formed, and these were washed with a small amount of water and acetone, and dried in air.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

organic compounds

Table 1 Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).						
P1-O1	1.5144 (8)	N1-C2	1.4875 (15)			
P1-O2	1.5402 (7)	N1-C3	1.4933 (13)			
P1-O3	1.5247 (8)	N2-C4	1.4857 (13)			
P1-O4	1.5862 (8)	C1-C2	1.5178 (17)			
O5-C1	1.4179 (16)	C3-C4	1.5162 (16)			
C3-N1-C2-C1	-179.52 (10)	C2-N1-C3-C4	174.46 (9)			
O5-C1-C2-N1	-71.91 (14)	N1-C3-C4-N2	82.13 (11)			

Crystal data

$C_4H_{14}N_2O^{2+}\cdot HPO_4^{-2-}\cdot H_2O$	$D_x = 1.504 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 220.17$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cell parameters from 5486
a = 7.0863 (3) Å	reflections
b = 28.4885 (11) Å	$\theta = 2.9-32.5^{\circ}$
c = 4.8336 (2) Å	$\mu = 0.29 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 94.874 \ (1)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
V = 972.27 (7) Å ³	Chunk, colourless
Z = 4	$0.46 \times 0.30 \times 0.19 \ \mathrm{mm}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000 CCD area-	3520 independent reflections
detector diffractometer	3051 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.016$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 32.5^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Bruker, 1999)	$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$
$T_{\min} = 0.902, \ T_{\max} = 0.947$	$k = -43 \rightarrow 34$
9939 measured reflections	$l = -6 \rightarrow 7$

Refinement

DOCKET

RM

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0512P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$	+ 0.0694P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.091$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.10	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
3520 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.34 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
162 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.25 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
H atoms treated by a mixture of	
independent and constrained	
refinement	

Water, hydroxyl and amine H atoms were found in difference maps and refined freely. H atoms bonded to C atoms were placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.97 Å) and treated as riding.

Table 2Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

	$\Pi \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots \mathbf{A}$
0.80 (3)	1.82 (3)	2.5947 (11)	163 (3)
0.77(2)	1.86 (2)	2.6228 (13)	174 (2)
0.83 (3)	1.95 (3)	2.7731 (15)	172 (2)
0.83 (2)	2.00 (2)	2.8227 (14)	170 (2)
0.881 (16)	1.898 (17)	2.7674 (12)	169 (2)
0.832 (18)	1.905 (18)	2.7046 (12)	161 (2)
0.920 (18)	1.846 (18)	2.7643 (13)	175 (2)
0.876 (18)	1.875 (18)	2.7412 (12)	169 (2)
0.897 (16)	1.994 (17)	2.8584 (12)	162 (2)
	0.80 (3) 0.77 (2) 0.83 (3) 0.83 (2) 0.881 (16) 0.832 (18) 0.920 (18) 0.876 (18) 0.897 (16)	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.80 & (3) & 1.82 & (3) & 2.5947 & (11) \\ 0.77 & (2) & 1.86 & (2) & 2.6228 & (13) \\ 0.83 & (3) & 1.95 & (3) & 2.7731 & (15) \\ 0.83 & (2) & 2.00 & (2) & 2.8227 & (14) \\ 0.881 & (16) & 1.898 & (17) & 2.7674 & (12) \\ 0.832 & (18) & 1.905 & (18) & 2.7046 & (12) \\ 0.920 & (18) & 1.846 & (18) & 2.7643 & (13) \\ 0.876 & (18) & 1.875 & (18) & 2.7412 & (12) \\ 0.897 & (16) & 1.994 & (17) & 2.8584 & (12) \\ \end{array}$

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y, z - 1; (ii) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (iii) -x, -y, 1 - z; (iv) x - 1, y, z.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

The authors thank Ondokuz Mayis University for the financial support given to the project.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: AV1110). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

Bruker (1999). *SADABS*, *SMART* (Version 5.624) and *SAINT* (Version 6.02A). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Cheetham, A. K., Ferey, G. & Loiseau, T. (1999). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38, 3268–3292.

Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.

- Neeraj, S., Natarajan, S. & Rao, C. N. R. (1999). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38, 3480–3483.
- Oliver, S., Lough, A. J. & Ozin, G. A. (1998). Inorg. Chem. 37, 5021– 5028.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Yilmaz, V. T., Karadag, A. & Thoene, C. (2002). J. Coord. Chem. 55, 609– 618.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2002). C58, o407-o408 [doi:10.1107/S0108270102009629]

N-(2-Hydroxyethyl)ethylenediammonium hydrogenphosphate monohydrate

Selcuk Demir, Veysel T. Yilmaz, Omer Andac and William T. A. Harrison

Computing details

DOCKE.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

N-(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediammonium hydrogenphosphate monohydrate

Crystal data $C_4H_{14}N_2O^{2+} \cdot HO_4P^{2-} \cdot H_2O$ $M_r = 220.17$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 7.0863 (3) Å b = 28.4885 (11) Å c = 4.8336 (2) Å $\beta = 94.874$ (1)° V = 972.27 (7) Å ³ Z = 4 F(000) = 472	$D_x = 1.504 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Melting point: not measured K Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 5486 reflections $\theta = 2.9-32.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.29 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 K Chunk, colourless $0.46 \times 0.30 \times 0.19 \text{ mm}$
Data collection Bruker SMART 1000 CCD area-detector diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 1999) $T_{min} = 0.902, T_{max} = 0.947$	9939 measured reflections 3520 independent reflections 3051 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.016$ $\theta_{max} = 32.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.9^{\circ}$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $k = -43 \rightarrow 34$ $l = -6 \rightarrow 7$
RefinementRefinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$ $wR(F^2) = 0.091$ $S = 1.10$ 3520 reflections162 parameters0 restraintsPrimary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Secondary atom site location: none Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0512P)^2 + 0.0694P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.34$ e Å ⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.25$ e Å ⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor w*R* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
P1	0.24386 (3)	0.066713 (9)	0.53198 (5)	0.01795 (7)
O1	0.29299 (11)	0.03924 (3)	0.79651 (15)	0.02687 (16)
O2	0.06383 (10)	0.09652 (3)	0.54860 (15)	0.02467 (15)
O3	0.40488 (10)	0.09761 (3)	0.44708 (16)	0.02603 (16)
O4	0.19514 (14)	0.02854 (3)	0.29789 (17)	0.0344 (2)
H4	0.227 (4)	0.0375 (10)	0.152 (5)	0.090 (8)*
O5	0.32252 (15)	0.18549 (3)	0.3287 (2)	0.0384 (2)
Н5	0.346 (3)	0.1595 (7)	0.351 (4)	0.050 (5)*
O1W	0.67791 (16)	0.22440 (5)	0.4570 (2)	0.0462 (3)
H1A	0.572 (4)	0.2120 (9)	0.435 (5)	0.074 (7)*
H1B	0.667 (3)	0.2415 (8)	0.595 (5)	0.069 (7)*
N1	-0.01794 (12)	0.13671 (3)	0.03106 (18)	0.02173 (16)
H111	0.022 (2)	0.1264 (6)	0.198 (3)	0.036 (4)*
H112	0.027 (2)	0.1211 (6)	-0.094 (4)	0.038 (4)*
N2	-0.27371 (12)	0.05690 (4)	0.27835 (19)	0.02369 (17)
H211	-0.278 (2)	0.0247 (6)	0.264 (3)	0.038 (4)*
H212	-0.371 (2)	0.0689 (6)	0.354 (4)	0.040 (4)*
H213	-0.167 (2)	0.0633 (6)	0.385 (3)	0.035 (4)*
C1	0.25351 (17)	0.19171 (5)	0.0471 (3)	0.0361 (3)
H11	0.3124	0.1687	-0.0661	0.053 (5)*
H12	0.2891	0.2227	-0.0138	0.045 (5)*
C2	0.03989 (17)	0.18656 (4)	0.0031 (3)	0.0328 (2)
H21	-0.0190	0.2055	0.1386	0.049 (5)*
H22	-0.0038	0.1979	-0.1804	0.050 (5)*
C3	-0.22630 (14)	0.12816 (4)	-0.0113 (2)	0.0261 (2)
H31	-0.2751	0.1413	-0.1882	0.040 (4)*
H32	-0.2887	0.1438	0.1339	0.052 (5)*
C4	-0.27073 (14)	0.07612 (4)	-0.0070 (2)	0.0252 (2)
H4A	-0.3932	0.0708	-0.1076	0.040 (4)*
H4B	-0.1766	0.0593	-0.1026	0.038 (4)*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

RM

DOCKE. Δ

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
P1	0.01798 (11)	0.02074 (13)	0.01533 (11)	-0.00010(8)	0.00256 (7)	-0.00059(8)
O1	0.0317 (4)	0.0298 (4)	0.0188 (3)	0.0015(3)	0.0009 (3)	0.0046(3)

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

supporting information

O2	0.0181 (3)	0.0311 (4)	0.0249 (3)	0.0035 (3)	0.0023 (2)	-0.0001 (3)
O3	0.0202 (3)	0.0264 (4)	0.0324 (4)	-0.0010 (3)	0.0074 (3)	0.0029 (3)
O4	0.0533 (5)	0.0300 (4)	0.0213 (4)	-0.0118 (4)	0.0108 (3)	-0.0072 (3)
05	0.0470 (5)	0.0253 (4)	0.0400 (5)	-0.0064 (4)	-0.0119 (4)	0.0015 (3)
O1W	0.0382 (5)	0.0562 (7)	0.0438 (6)	-0.0040 (5)	0.0006 (4)	-0.0047 (5)
N1	0.0220 (4)	0.0214 (4)	0.0215 (4)	0.0001 (3)	0.0009 (3)	-0.0001 (3)
N2	0.0200 (4)	0.0274 (5)	0.0238 (4)	-0.0008 (3)	0.0027 (3)	0.0011 (3)
C1	0.0363 (6)	0.0342 (6)	0.0368 (6)	-0.0120 (5)	-0.0026 (5)	0.0073 (5)
C2	0.0357 (6)	0.0215 (5)	0.0397 (6)	-0.0021 (4)	-0.0048 (5)	0.0045 (4)
C3	0.0212 (4)	0.0288 (5)	0.0279 (5)	0.0027 (4)	-0.0001 (3)	0.0035 (4)
C4	0.0247 (4)	0.0302 (5)	0.0202 (4)	-0.0049 (4)	-0.0003 (3)	-0.0009 (3)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

DOCKET A L A R M

P101	1.5144 (8)	N2—H211	0.920 (18)	
P1	1.5402 (7)	N2—H212	0.876 (18)	
P1—O3	1.5247 (8)	N2—H213	0.897 (16)	
P104	1.5862 (8)	C1—C2	1.5178 (17)	
O4—H4	0.80 (3)	C1—H11	0.9700	
O5—C1	1.4179 (16)	C1—H12	0.9700	
O5—H5	0.77 (2)	C2—H21	0.9700	
O1W—H1A	0.83 (3)	C2—H22	0.9700	
O1W—H1B	0.83 (2)	C3—C4	1.5162 (16)	
N1—C2	1.4875 (15)	C3—H31	0.9700	
N1—C3	1.4933 (13)	С3—Н32	0.9700	
N1—H111	0.881 (16)	C4—H4A	0.9700	
N1—H112	0.832 (18)	C4—H4B	0.9700	
N2C4	1.4857 (13)			
O1—P1—O3	113.71 (4)	C2—C1—H11	109.1	
O1—P1—O2	111.90 (4)	O5—C1—H12	109.1	
O3—P1—O2	109.75 (5)	C2—C1—H12	109.1	
O1—P1—O4	105.57 (5)	H11—C1—H12	107.9	
O3—P1—O4	109.05 (5)	N1-C2-C1	111.03 (10)	
O2—P1—O4	106.51 (5)	N1—C2—H21	109.4	
P1—O4—H4	110 (2)	C1—C2—H21	109.4	
C1—O5—H5	108.1 (15)	N1—C2—H22	109.4	
H1A—O1W—H1B	102 (2)	C1—C2—H22	109.4	
C2—N1—C3	114.85 (9)	H21—C2—H22	108.0	
C2—N1—H111	109.4 (10)	N1—C3—C4	111.21 (9)	
C3—N1—H111	107.9 (10)	N1—C3—H31	109.4	
C2-N1-H112	108.6 (12)	C4—C3—H31	109.4	
C3—N1—H112	104.2 (11)	N1—C3—H32	109.4	
H111—N1—H112	111.7 (15)	C4—C3—H32	109.4	
C4—N2—H211	107.4 (10)	H31—C3—H32	108.0	
C4—N2—H212	108.4 (11)	N2—C4—C3	113.12 (9)	
H211—N2—H212	113.6 (14)	N2—C4—H4A	109.0	
C4—N2—H213	112.2 (10)	C3—C4—H4A	109.0	

DOCKET



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

