Page 1

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,)

Petitioner,)

Case No. IPR2020-00040

vs.)

U.S. Patent

MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP.,) No. 7,326,708

Patent Owner.)

TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE
July 15, 2020

REPORTED BY: STEPHANIE WHITEHEAD, RDR, RMR, CRR, CSR No. 10093

DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP
1730 M Street, NW, Suite 812
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 232-0646



```
Page 2
1
                        APPEARANCES
 2
 3
    APR JUDGES:
                        SHERIDAN K. SNEDDEN
 4
                        ROBERT A. POLLOCK
 5
                        TIMOTHY G. MAJORS
 6
7
    FOR PETITIONER:
         Katten Muchin
8
         BY: JITENDRA MALIK, Ph.D, ESQ.
         550 South Tryon Street
9
         Charlotte, North Carolina 28202
         704.344.3185
10
         jitty.malik@katten.com
11
12
    FOR PATENT OWNER:
13
         Williams & Connolly
14
         BY: STANLEY FISHER, ESQ.
15
         725 Twelfth Street, N.W.
         Washington, D.C. 20005
16
         202-434-5289
         sfisher@wc.com
17
18
19
    ALSO PRESENT: ELISE BAUMGARTEN, BRUCE GENDERSON
20
21
22
```



```
Page 3
         On Wednesday, July 15, 2020 commencing at the hour
1
    of 1:00 p.m. (EDT), the following proceedings were had
3
    telephonically before me, Stephanie L. Whitehead, Certified
    Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of California.
5
6
               Wednesday, July 15, 2020; 1:00 p.m.
7
8
               (The following telephonic hearing was
9
               reported, and the record may reflect
10
                failures in transmission or speaker
11
                identification if clarification may
12
               not be feasible.)
13
14
               JUDGE MAJORS: All right. This is Judge Majors.
15
    Online with me are Judges Pollock and Sneddon. I know we
16
    have Mr. Malik on and Mr. Fisher on for the petitioner in
17
    IPR2020-00040 and -- Mr. Malik on for petitioner, and
18
    Mr. Fisher on for patent owner in that case as well as the
19
    court reporter.
20
               Is there anybody else on the line?
21
              MR. MALIK: No one else for petitioner, your
22
    Honor.
```



```
Page 4
1
              MR. GENDERSON: Your Honor --
              MS. BAUMGARTEN: -- Baumgarten.
3
              THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't get that
4
    last appearance.
5
              MS. BAUMGARTEN: Apologies. This is Elise
    Baumgarten on behalf of patent owner as well.
6
7
              THE REPORTER: Thank you.
8
              JUDGE MAJORS: Hi, Ms. Baumgarten.
9
              MR. GENDERSON: Bruce Genderson on behalf of
10
    patent owner.
11
              JUDGE MAJORS: All right. Mr. Fisher and
12
    Mr. Malik, are you going to be speaking today on behalf of
13
    your respective clients?
14
              MR. FISHER: Yes, your Honor.
15
              MR. MALIK: Yes, your Honor.
16
              JUDGE MAJORS: Okay. Do either of you know --
17
    just guessing, but are the other -- the other petitioners
18
    for which the joinder motion, they're not going to be on
19
    this call; is that right?
20
              MR. MALIK: To the best of petitioner's
21
    knowledge that's the case, yes.
22
              JUDGE MAJORS: Okay. All right. Why don't we
```



Page 5

- 1 get going then. As I said, this is a conference call in
- 2 IPR2020-00040. We're here to address a request by patent
- owner, which we'll start with that. And, Mr. Malik, we
- 4 saw that you had sent in an e-mail of your own on behalf
- ⁵ of petitioner.
- 6 But why don't we start then with Mr. Fisher.
- 7 We've read your e-mail; you can outline patent owner's
- 8 position. And then, Mr. Malik, you'll have an opportunity
- 9 to respond.
- MR. FISHER: Sure, your Honor. This is Stan
- 11 Fisher for patent owner Merck. We thought it prudent to
- 12 reach out to your Honors to request permission to file a
- motion to adjust the scheduling order in Mylan IPR. In
- light of the pending joinder motions in IPR2020-01045,
- 15 -0160, and -0172, we understand that Mylan likely or does
- oppose any adjustment to the schedule.
- Briefly, your Honor, we think that schedule
- adjustment will give the Board and the parties time to
- sort out a number of issues raised by the joinder motions
- 20 and highlighted in our opposition papers which were filed
- in the new cases that I just identified.
- Based on the present schedule in the Mylan IPR,



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

