Trials@uspto.gov

Tel: 571-272-7822

Paper 17

Date: April 9, 2020

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_\_

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_\_

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. Petitioner,

v.

MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP., Patent Owner.

IPR2020-00040 (Patent 7,326,708 B2)

\_\_\_\_\_

Before SHERIDAN K. SNEDDEN, ROBERT A. POLLLOCK, and TIMOTHY G. MAJORS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MAJORS, Administrative Patent Judge.

**ORDER** 

Conduct of the Proceeding Supplemental Briefing on Discretionary Denial 35 U.S.C. §314(a); 37 C.F.R. § 42.5



# IPR2020-00040 (Patent 7,326,708 B2)

The Board, *sua sponte*, requests supplemental briefing from the parties on the subject matter below.

In *Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.*, IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020) ("*Fintiv*"), the Board discussed potential applications of *NHK Spring Co., Ltd. v. Intri-Plex Techs., Inc.*, IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 12, 2018) (precedential) ("*NHK*"), as well as a number of other cases dealing with discretionary denial under § 314(a). *Fintiv* identifies a non-exclusive list of factors parties may consider addressing, particularly where there is a related, parallel district court action and whether such action provides any basis for discretionary denial under *NHK*. *Fintiv* at 5–16. Those factors include:

- 1. whether the court granted a stay or evidence exists that one may be granted if a proceeding is instituted;
- 2. proximity of the court's trial date to the Board's projected statutory deadline for a final written decision;
- 3. investment in the parallel proceeding by the court and the parties;
- 4. overlap between issues raised in the petition and in the parallel proceeding;
- 5. whether the petitioner and the defendant in the parallel proceeding are the same party; and
- 6. other circumstances that impact the Board's exercise of discretion, including the merits.

*Id.* at 5–6. We recognize that the parties have addressed, at least indirectly, some of these factors already in their existing briefing. We, nevertheless, invite the parties to address directly in supplemental briefing the *Fintiv* factors listed above.



IPR2020-00040 (Patent 7,326,708 B2)

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that Petitioner and Patent Owner are each authorized to submit a supplemental brief of up to three (3) pages addressing the factors from *Fintiv* set forth above;

FURTHER ORDERED that each party's supplemental brief, if filed, shall be due on April 14, 2020; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the filing of limited additional evidence (only that which is absolutely necessary) to address the *Fintiv* factors is authorized; no other new evidence is permitted.



## IPR2020-00040 (Patent 7,326,708 B2)

### For Petitioner:

Jitendra Malik
Alissa M. Pacchioli
Christopher W. West
Heike S. Radeke
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSEMAN LLP
jitty.malik@kattenlaw.com
alissa.pacchioli@kattenlaw.com
christopher.west@katten.com
heike.radeke@katten.com

### For Patent Owner:

Stanley E. Fisher
Jessamyn S. Berniker
Shaun P. Mahaffy
Anthony H. Sheh
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
sfisher@wc.com
jberniker@wc.com
smahaffy@wc.com
asheh@wc.com

