UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

v.

REMBRANDT WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES, LP, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2020-00034 U.S. Patent No. 8,023,580

NOTICES OF THE PATENT OWNER PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.8

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

Rembrandt Wireless Technologies, LP, is the owner of U.S. Patent No.

8,023,580 ("the '580 Patent") by assignment.

II. RELATED MATTERS

Provided below are all proceedings related to the present *inter partes* review proceeding. Particular note is made to how the proceedings relate to the claims challenged in the Petition, claims 2 and 59 ("the Challenged Claims") of the '580 Patent.

The table below identifies pending *inter partes* review proceedings involving the '580 Patent.

Inter Partes Review	Status	
IPR2020-00033	Pending – Pre-Institution – requests review of	
	the Challenged Claims	

The table below identifies pending district court proceedings related to the

present inter partes review proceeding.

District Court Litigation	Status
Rembrandt Wireless	• Pending
Technologies, LP v. Apple Inc.,	Challenged Claims are being asserted
2:19-cv-00025 (E.D. Tex.,	
filed Jan. 24, 2019)	
Rembrandt Wireless	• Pending
Technologies, LP v. Broadcom	• Challenged Claims are being asserted
<i>Inc.</i> , 8:19-cv-00708 (C.D. Cal.,	
filed Apr. 15, 2019)	
Rembrandt Wireless	• Pending
Technologies, LP v. Qualcomm	Challenged Claims are being asserted

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Inc., 8:19-cv-00705 (C.D. Cal.,	
filed Apr. 15, 2019)	

The table below identifies prior Federal Circuit Court of Appeals proceedings

related to the present *inter partes* review proceeding.

Federal Circuit Appeal	Resolution
Rembrandt Wireless	Validity of Challenged Claims upheld.
Technology, LP v. Samsung	Rembrandt Wireless Techs., LP v. Samsung
Electronics Co. Ltd. et al., No.	<i>Elecs. Co.</i> , 853 F.3d 1370, 1380 (Fed. Cir.
16-1729	2017).

The table below identifies prior district court proceedings related to the

present inter partes review proceeding.

DOCKE

District Court Litigation	Resolution	
Rembrandt Wireless	Validity of Challenged Claims confirmed.	
Technologies, LP, v. Samsung	Rembrandt Wireless Techs., LP v. Samsung	
<i>Electronics Co., Ltd., et al,</i> No.	<i>Elecs. Co.,</i> 853 F.3d 1370, 1374 (Fed. Cir.	
2:13–CV–213–JRG–RSP (E.D.	2017).	
Tex).		

The table below identifies prior inter partes reviews involving the '580 Patent.

Inter Partes Review	Resolution	
IPR2014-00518	Institution denied with respect to the	
	Challenged Claims	
IPR2014-00519	Claims 38 and 47 of the '580 Patent found	
	unpatentable, did not request review of the	
	Challenged Claims	
IPR2014-00514	Institution Denied, including requests for	
	review of the Challenged Claims	
IPR2014-00515	Institution Denied, did not request review of	
	the Challenged Claims	
IPR2015-00114	Institution Denied, including requests for	
	review of the Challenged Claims	

IPR2015-00118	Institution Denied, did not request review of
	the Challenged Claims

The table below identifies prior *ex partes* reexaminations involving the '580 Patent.

Ex Partes Reexamination	Resolution
90/013,808	Challenged Claims confirmed

For completeness, Patent Owner also notes the following proceedings directed to U.S. Patent No. 8,457,228 ("the '228 Patent"), a child of the '580 Patent. By way of background, Petitioner has submitted two *inter partes* review petitions (the pending *inter partes* review proceedings listed below), in which Petitioner has challenged claim 21 of the '228 Patent. Like the Challenged Claims, claim 21 of the '228 Patent was found valid in the above-listed district court proceeding, *Rembrandt Wireless Technologies, LP, v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al,* No. 2:13–CV–213–JRG–RSP (E.D. Tex). This finding of validity was upheld in the above-listed Federal Circuit appeal, *Rembrandt Wireless Technology, LP v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. et al.,* No. 16-1729. The table below identifies pending and prior Patent Office proceedings involving the '228 Patent.

Proceeding	Туре	Status
IPR2020-00036	Inter Partes Review	Pending – Pre-Institution –
		Challenging claim 21 of the
		'228 Patent
IPR2020-00037	Inter Partes Review	Pending – Pre-Institution –
		Challenging claim 21 of the
		'228 Patent
IPR2014-00889	Inter Partes Review	Institution denied, review of
		claim 21 of the '228 Patent
		was requested
IPR2014-00890	Inter Partes Review	Institution denied, review of
		claim 21 of the '228 Patent
		was not requested
IPR2014-00891	Inter Partes Review	Institution denied, review of
		claim 21 of the '228 Patent
		was not requested
IPR2014-00892	Inter Partes Review	Institution denied with
		respect to claim 21 of the
		'228 Patent
IPR2014-00893	Inter Partes Review	Claims 22, 23 and 25 of the
		'228 Patent found
		unpatentable, review of
		claim 21 of the '228 Patent
IDD 2014 00005		was not requested
IPR2014-00895	Inter Partes Review	Claims 26-29, 31, 36–41, 43,
		and 47-52 of the '228 Patent
		found unpatentable, review
		of claim 21 of the '228
IDD 2015 00555	Lutar Drutar Davis	Patent was not requested
IPR2015-00555	Inter Partes Review	Institution denied, claim 21
		was the sole claim
00/012 200	<i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination	challenged Confirmed claim 21 of the
90/013,809	<i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination	
		'228 Patent

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.