

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS  
AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,  
LLC, and SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, LLC,  
Petitioner,

v.

REMBRANDT WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES, LP,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2014-00889  
Patent 8,457,228 B2

---

Before JAMESON LEE, HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP, and  
JUSTIN BUSCH, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BLANKENSHIP, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION  
Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.108*

I. BACKGROUND

Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc.,  
Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC, and Samsung Austin

Rembrandt Wireless

IPR2014-00889  
Patent 8,457,228 B2

Semiconductor, LLC (collectively, “Petitioner”) request *inter partes* review of claims 1–3, 5, 10 and 11–21 of U.S. Patent No. 8,457,228 B2 (“the ’228 patent”) (Ex. 1001) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319. Paper 2 (Petition, or “Pet.”). Rembrandt Wireless Technologies, LP (Patent Owner) filed a preliminary response (Paper 6, “Prelim. Resp.”) provided by 37 C.F.R. § 42.107. We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 314.

For the reasons that follow, we do not institute an *inter partes* review as to any of the challenged claims of the ’228 patent.

#### *Related Proceeding*

According to Petitioner, the ’228 patent is involved in the following lawsuit: *Rembrandt Wireless Technologies, LP v. Samsung Electronics Company*, No. 2:13-cv-00213 (E.D. Tex. 2013). Pet. 1. The ’228 patent has also been challenged in the following cases: IPR2014–00890; IPR2014–00891; IPR2014–00892; IPR2014–00893; and IPR2014–00895.

#### *The ’228 Patent*

The ’228 patent issued from an application filed August 4, 2011, which claimed priority, through a chain of intervening applications, under 35 U.S.C. § 120 to an application filed December 4, 1998, and which claimed priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 to a provisional application filed December 5, 1997.

The technical field of the patent relates to data communications and modulators/demodulators (modems), and in particular to a data communications system in which a plurality of modems use different types

of modulation in a network. Ex. 1001, col. 1, ll. 21–25; col. 1, l. 58–col. 2, l. 23.

*Illustrative Claim*

Claim 1 is illustrative.

1. A master communication device configured to communicate with one or more slave transceivers according to a master/slave relationship in which a slave communication from a slave device to the master communication device occurs in response to a master communication from the master communication device to the slave device, the master communication device comprising:

a master transceiver configured to transmit a first message over a communication medium from the master transceiver to the one or more slave transceivers, wherein the first message comprises:

first information modulated according to a first modulation method,

second information, including a payload portion, modulated according to the first modulation method, wherein the second information comprises data intended for one of the one or more slave transceivers and

first message address information that is indicative of the one of the one or more slave transceivers being an intended destination of the second information; and

said master transceiver configured to transmit a second message over the communication medium from the master transceiver to the one or more slave transceivers wherein the second message comprises:

third information modulated according to the first modulation method, wherein the third information comprises information that is indicative of an impending change in modulation to a second modulation method, and

fourth information, including a payload portion, transmitted after transmission of the third information, the fourth information being modulated according to the second

modulation method, the second modulation method being of a different type than the first modulation method, wherein the fourth information comprises data intended for a single slave transceiver of the one or more slave transceivers, and  
second message address information that is indicative of the single slave transceiver being an intended destination of the fourth information; and  
wherein the second modulation method results in a higher data rate than the first modulation method.

*Prior Art and Other Evidence Included with Petition*

Boer et al.                      US 5,706,428      Jan. 6, 1998 (Ex. 1006)  
("Boer")

Siwiak                          US 5,537,398      July 16, 1996 (Ex. 1007)

IEEE P802.11, *Draft Standard for Wireless LAN, Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specification*, P802.11D4.0, May 20, 1996 (Ex. 1004) ("Draft Standard")

Declaration of Robert O'Hara, Mar. 11, 2014 (Ex. 1023).

*Asserted Grounds of Unpatentability*

Petitioner asserts the following grounds of unpatentability (Pet. 2–3):

Evidence	Basis (35 U.S.C.)	Claims
Draft Standard	§ 102(b)/103(a)	1–3, 5, 10, and 11–20
Draft Standard and Boer	§ 103(a)	1–3, 5, 10, and 11–20

Evidence	Basis (35 U.S.C.)	Claims
Draft Standard and APA <sup>1</sup> or Siwiak	§ 103(a)	21
Draft Standard and APA, Siwiak, or Boer	§ 103(a)	21

## II. ANALYSIS

### *A. Asserted Anticipation and Obviousness Grounds Based on Draft Standard*

The dispositive issue in this proceeding is whether Draft Standard, on which both of Petitioner’s asserted grounds of unpatentability rely, is a printed publication.

### *B. Overview of Draft Standard (Ex. 1004)*

Draft Standard is an unapproved draft of a proposed IEEE (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers) Standard. Ex. 1004, i.<sup>2</sup> The purpose of the proposed standard was “[t]o provide wireless connectivity to automatic machinery, equipment [, or] stations that require rapid deployment, which may be portable, or hand-held or which may be mounted on moving vehicles within a local area” and “[t]o offer a standard for use by regulatory bodies to standardize access to one or more frequency bands for the purpose of local area communication.” *Id.* at 1.

---

<sup>1</sup> Admitted prior art.

<sup>2</sup> In this Decision, we refer to the original pagination of Draft Standard rather than the Exhibit page number.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.