DOCKET NO.: 337722-000122 Filed on behalf of Apple Inc. By: Larissa S. Bifano, Reg. No. 59,051 James M. Heintz, Reg. No. 41,828 Michael Van Handel, Reg. No. 68,292

> DLA Piper LLP (US) 33 Arch Street, 26th Floor Boston, Massachusetts 02110-1447 Email: Larissa.Bifano@dlapiper.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC. Petitioner

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC Patent Owner

IPR2019-00056

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,467,088 CHALLENGING CLAIMS 1-21 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION1				
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES				
	A.	Real Party-in-Interest1			
	B.	Related Matters			
	C.	Counsel2			
	D.	Service Information, Email, Hand Delivery, and Postal2			
III.	CER	TIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING2			
IV.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED				
	А.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications			
	B.	Grounds for Challenge			
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '088 PATENT				
	А.	Summary of the Alleged Invention4			
	B.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art7			
	C.	Prosecution History			
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION				
	A.	"list"9			
VII.	SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION				
	A.	Ground I: Claims 1-21 are obvious in view of <i>Cole</i> , <i>MacInnis</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>			
		1. Overview of <i>Cole</i> 10			
		2. Claims 1, 11, and 21 are obvious in view of <i>Cole</i> , <i>MacInnis</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i> 13			
		3. Claims 2 and 12 are obvious in view of <i>Cole</i> , <i>MacInnis</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>			
		4. Claims 3 and 13 are obvious in view of <i>Cole</i> , <i>MacInnis</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>			

5.	Claims 4 and 14 are obvious in view of <i>Cole</i> , <i>MacInnis</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>
6.	Claims 5 and 15 are obvious in view of <i>Cole</i> , <i>MacInnis</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>
7.	Claims 6 and 16 are obvious in view of <i>Cole</i> , <i>MacInnis</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>
8.	Claims 7 and 17 are obvious in view of <i>Cole</i> , <i>MacInnis</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>
9.	Claims 8 and 18 are obvious in view of <i>Cole</i> , <i>MacInnis</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>
10.	Claims 9 and 19 are obvious in view of <i>Cole</i> , <i>MacInnis</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>
11.	Claims 10 and 20 are obvious in view of <i>Cole</i> , <i>MacInnis</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>
	nd II: Claims 1-21 are unpatentable as being obvious in of <i>Pitzel</i> , <i>Cole</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i> 42
1.	Overview of <i>Pitzel</i> 42
2.	Claims 1, 11, and 21 are obvious in view of <i>Pitzel</i> , <i>Cole</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>
3.	Claims 2 and 12 are obvious in view of <i>Pitzel</i> , <i>Cole</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>
4.	Claims 3 and 13 are obvious in view of <i>Pitzel</i> , <i>Cole</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i> 60
5.	Claims 4 and 14 are obvious in view of <i>Pitzel</i> , <i>Cole</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>
6.	Claims 5 and 15 are obvious in view of <i>Pitzel</i> , <i>Cole</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>
7.	Claims 6 and 16 are obvious in view of <i>Pitzel</i> , <i>Cole</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>
8.	Claims 7 and 17 are obvious in view of <i>Pitzel</i> , <i>Cole</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>
9.	Claims 8 and 18 are obvious in view of <i>Pitzel</i> , <i>Cole</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>

B.

	10.	Claims 9 and 19 are obvious in view of <i>Pitzel</i> , <i>Cole</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>		
	11.	Claims 10 and 20 are obvious in view of <i>Pitzel</i> , <i>Cole</i> , and <i>Elgressy</i>		
VIII.	CONCLUS	ION		

I. INTRODUCTION

Apple Inc. ("Apple" or "Petitioner") respectfully submits this Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent 6,467,088 ("'088 patent" or "'088") (EX1001). The '088 patent describes a technique for controlling the reconfiguration of a device in response to a reconfiguration request. *See, e.g.*, '088 patent, Abstract (EX1001). The technique includes comparing a component required to implement the reconfiguration request and information specifying an additional component currently implemented in the device with a list of known acceptable or unacceptable configurations for the device, and then generating information indicative of an approval or denial of the reconfiguration request based on the result of the comparison. *See, e.g.*, '088 patent, claim 1 (EX1001). These concepts were well-known long before the '088 patent was filed, and there was nothing inventive about the concepts at that time.

II. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1), Apple certifies that Apple is the real party-in-interest, and further certifies that no other party exercised control or could exercise control over the filing of this petition or Apple's participation in any proceeding instituted on this petition.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.