

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,  
Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC,  
Patent Owner.

IPR2020-00023  
U.S. Patent No.: 6,467,088  
Issued: October 15, 2002  
Application No.: 09/343,607  
Filed: June 30, 1999

Title: RECONFIGURATION MANAGER FOR  
CONTROLLING UPGRADES OF ELECTRONIC DEVICES

---

**DECLARATION OF JOHN VILLASENOR**

MICROSOFT CORP.  
EXHIBIT 1003

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page(s)
I. INTRODUCTION AND ENGAGEMENT .....	1
II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS .....	1
III. MATERIALS CONSIDERED AND INFORMATION RELIED UPON REGARDING '622 PATENT.....	6
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE '088.....	7
A. The '088 Specification .....	7
B. The Challenged Claims .....	11
V. LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART AND CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	12
A. "List" (All Claims) .....	14
B. "Known ... For The Electronic Device" (All Claims).....	15
1. "Known" .....	15
2. "For The Electronic Device" .....	16
C. "At Least One Of [A List Of Known Acceptable Configurations ... And A List Of Known Unacceptable Configurations]" (All Cls.) / "At Least One Of [A Software Component And A Hardware Component]" (Cls. 9, 19) ....	19
VI. EACH CHALLENGED CLAIM OF THE '088 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE OVER THE CITED PRIOR ART (37 CFR § 42.104 (b)(4), 37 CFR § 42.104(b)(5)).....	21
A. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-4, 6-14, AND 16-21 ARE OBVIOUS OVER APFEL IN VIEW OF LILLICH AND TODD.....	23
1. U.S. Patent No. 5,974,454 ("Apfel") .....	23

2.	U.S. Patent No. 5,613,101 (“Lillich”).....	23
3.	Motivation To Combine Apfel And Lillich.....	24
4.	U.S. Patent No. 5,867,714 (“Todd”).....	25
5.	Motivation To Combine Apfel And Todd.....	26
6.	Motivation To Combine Apfel With Both Todd And Lillich ..	27
7.	Claims 1, 11, and 21 And Their Dependent Claims Are Obvious Over Apfel In View Of Lillich And Todd .....	29
a)	Claims 1, 11, and 21 are Obvious Over Apfel in view of Lillich and Todd.....	29
(1)	“additional component” information.....	36
(2)	“comparing” with “known acceptable configurations” .....	38
(3)	“comparing” with a list of “known unacceptable configurations” .....	42
(4)	“generating information indicative of an approval” .....	47
(5)	“generating information indicative of a denial”...47	
b)	Claims 2 and 12 are Obvious Over Apfel in view of Lillich and Todd.....	49
c)	Claims 3 and 13 are Obvious Over Apfel in view of Lillich and Todd.....	50
d)	Claims 4 and 14 are Obvious Over Apfel in view of Lillich and Todd.....	52
e)	Claims 6 and 16 are Obvious Over Apfel in view of Lillich and Todd.....	54

f)	Claims 7 and 17 are Obvious Over Apfel in view of Lillich and Todd.....	55
g)	Claims 8 and 18 are Obvious Over Apfel in view of Lillich and Todd.....	58
h)	Claims 9 and 19 are Obvious Over Apfel in view of Lillich and Todd.....	58
i)	Claims 10 and 20 are Obvious Over Apfel in view of Lillich and Todd.....	61
B.	GROUND 2: CLAIMS 9 AND 19 ARE OBVIOUS OVER APFEL IN VIEW OF LILLICH AND TODD, IN FURTHER VIEW OF PEDRIZETTI....	62
1.	U.S. Patent No. 6,151,708 (“Pedrizetti”).....	62
2.	Motivation To Combine Apfel And Pedrizetti .....	62
3.	Apfel In View Of Todd, Lillich, And Pedrizetti Shows The Added Limitations Of Claims 9 And 19 .....	64
C.	ALTERNATIVE GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY: GROUNDS 3 AND 4 .....	67
D.	GROUND 3: CLAIMS 1-3, 9-13, AND 19-21 ARE OBVIOUS OVER APFEL IN VIEW OF LILLICH .....	68
1.	Motivation To Combine Apfel And Lillich.....	68
2.	If “At Least One Of A List Of Known Acceptable Configurations ... And A List Of Known Unacceptable Configurations” Is Read As Covering “A List Of Known Acceptable Configurations,” Claims 1-3, 9-13, And 19-21 Are Obvious Over Apfel In View Of Lillich .....	68
E.	GROUND 4: CLAIMS 1, 3, 4, 6-11, 13, 14, AND 16-21 ARE OBVIOUS OVER APFEL IN VIEW OF TODD.....	69
1.	Motivation To Combine Apfel And Todd.....	69

2. If “At Least One Of A List Of Known Acceptable Configurations ... And A List Of Known Unacceptable Configurations” Is Read As Covering “A List Of Known Unacceptable Configurations,” Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-11, 13, 14, And 16-21 Are Obvious Over Apfel In View Of Todd.....69

VII. CONCLUSION.....	70
VIII. AVAILABILITY FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION .....	70
A. Right to Supplement.....	71
B. Signature.....	71

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.