

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AUSTIN DIVISION

FINTIV, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Civil Action No.: 6:18-CV-372-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**APPLE'S RESPONSIVE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS..... i

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ..... ii

TABLE OF ACRONYMS..... iv

I. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION IS NECESSARY..... 1

    A. THE COURT SHOULD CONSTRUE THE CLAIM TERMS TO  
    RESOLVE THE PARTIES’ DISPUTES..... 2

    B. THE COURT SHOULD CONSTRUE THE CLAIM TERMS BECAUSE  
    THEY ARE TECHNICAL IN NATURE AND POTENTIALLY  
    CONFUSING TO THE JURY..... 5

II. FINTIV IMPROPERLY IGNORES THE PROVISIONAL APPLICATIONS  
THAT ARE PART OF THE ’125 SPECIFICATION ..... 6

III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS..... 8

    A. “WALLET MANAGEMENT APPLLET (WMA)” (CLAIMS 11 AND 13)..... 8

        1. WMA IS A COINED TERM THAT REQUIRES  
        CONSTRUCTION..... 8

        2. THE COURT SHOULD ADOPT APPLE’S PROPOSED  
        CONSTRUCTION..... 9

            a. The WMA is a Software Application ..... 11

            b. The WMA Stores Duplicate Account Information..... 12

        3. FINTIV FAILS TO SUPPORT ITS PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE  
        CONSTRUCTION..... 14

    B. “WIDGET” (CLAIMS 11, 18, AND 23)..... 14

    C. “MOBILE WALLET APPLICATION” (CLAIMS 11, 18, AND 23)..... 18

    D. “SE INFORMATION” (CLAIMS 14 AND 23)..... 21

    E. “MOBILE DEVICE INFORMATION” (CLAIMS 14, 18, AND 23)..... 23

    F. “OVER-THE-AIR (OTA) PROXY” (CLAIM 23) AND “OTA PROXY”  
    (CLAIM 16)..... 25

    G. “PROVISION[ING]” (CLAIMS 11 AND 23) ..... 28

IV. CONCLUSION..... 29

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Alloc, Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 342 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	17
<i>Bell Atl. Network Servs., Inc. v. Covad Communications Group, Inc.</i> , 262 F.3d 1258 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	17
<i>CardSoft, LLC v. VeriFone, Inc.</i> , 807 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	16
<i>Cobalt Boats, LLC v. Brunswick Corp.</i> , 773 F. App’x 611 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	2
<i>Cook Biotech, Inc. v. Acell, Inc.</i> , 460 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	7
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Secure Computing Corp.</i> , 626 F.3d 1197 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	4, 5
<i>In re Abbott Diabetes Care, Inc.</i> , 696 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	13, 15, 18, 19
<i>Iridescent Networks, Inc. v. AT&amp;T Mobility, LLC</i> , 933 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	8, 10, 11, 18
<i>Kraft Foods, Inc. v. Int’l Trading Co.</i> , 203 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	15, 16
<i>MarcTec, LLC v. Johnson &amp; Johnson</i> , 664 F.3d 907 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	7
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Multi-Tech Sys., Inc.</i> , 357 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	17
<i>Multiform Desiccants, Inc. v. Medzam, Ltd.</i> , 133 F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	16
<i>Neomagic Corp. v. Trident Microsystems, Inc.</i> , 287 F.3d 1062 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	29
<i>O2 Micro Int’l Ltd. v. Beyond Innovation Tech. Co.</i> , 521 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 18

<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	23
<i>Renishaw PLC v. Marposs Societa' per Azioni</i> , 158 F.3d 1243 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	19
<i>Seachange Int'l, Inc. v. C-COR, Inc.</i> , 413 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	17
<i>SRI Int'l v. Matsushita Elec. Corp. of Am.</i> , 775 F.2d 1107 (Fed. Cir. 1985).....	29
<i>Stasher, Inc. v. Zip Top, LLC et al.</i> , No. W-18-cv-00312-ADA (W.D. Tex. Jun. 7, 2019).....	28
<i>Toro Co. v. White Consol. Indus.</i> , 199 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	17
<i>Trivascular, Inc. v. Samuels</i> , 812 F.3d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	23
<i>Trustees of Columbia Univ. in City of New York v. Symantec Corp.</i> , 811 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	6, 11, 19, 20, 24
<i>U.S. Surgical Corp. v. Ethicon, Inc.</i> , 103 F.3d 1554 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	3, 4, 5
<i>VirnetX, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 767 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	10, 11, 12, 15
<i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronc, Inc.</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	23, 25
<i>Whirlpool Corp. v. TST Water, LLC</i> , No. 2:15-cv-1528-JRG, 2016 WL 3959811 (E.D. Tex. July 22, 2016).....	5, 6, 14, 21, 29

**TABLE OF ACRONYMS**

**CCA:** Contactless Card Applet

**NFC:** Near Field Communications

**MNO:** Mobile Network Operator

**OTA:** Over-the-Air

**POS:** Point of Sale

**SE:** Secure Element

**SP:** Service Provider

**TSM:** Trusted Service Manager

**WMA:** Wallet Management Applet

**WMS:** Wallet Management System

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.