


**From:** Jensen, Travis <[tjensen@orrick.com](mailto:tjensen@orrick.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 9:04 PM  
**To:** Trials <[Trials@USPTO.GOV](mailto:Trials@USPTO.GOV)>; John Downing <[JDowning@kasowitz.com](mailto:JDowning@kasowitz.com)>  
**Cc:** Jonathan K. Waldrop <[JWaldrop@kasowitz.com](mailto:JWaldrop@kasowitz.com)>; Rodney R. Miller <[RMiller@kasowitz.com](mailto:RMiller@kasowitz.com)>; Herman, K. Patrick <[pberman@orrick.com](mailto:pberman@orrick.com)>; T61PTABDocket <[T61PTABDocket@orrick.com](mailto:T61PTABDocket@orrick.com)>; P52PTABDocket <[P52PTABDocket@orrick.com](mailto:P52PTABDocket@orrick.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: IPR2020-00019

Patent Trial and Appeal Board,

↗ The district court issued its *Markman* order on Nov. 27, 2019 for U.S. Pat. 8,843,125 (the challenged patent in IPR2020-00019). The parties met and conferred on Nov. 25 and Dec. 3 regarding Apple's request to file a 5-page paper (and accompanying exhibits) that address how Apple contends the prior art satisfies one claim construction issued by the district court that was not proposed by either party. Fintiv opposes Apple's request. Apple requests a conference call with the Board to address this issue. The parties are available for a call with Board on Wednesday (Dec. 4), Thursday (Dec. 5), and Friday (Dec. 6) before 3pm ET. Thanks, Travis

**Travis M. Jensen**  
Partner, IP Litigation

Orrick  
Silicon Valley   
T 650-614-7458  
[tjensen@orrick.com](mailto:tjensen@orrick.com)

