UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

FORD MOTOR COMPANY

Petitioner

v.

ETHANOL BOOSTING SYSTEMS, LLC, and MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,

Patent Owner

---

Case: IPR2020-00013

U.S. Patent No. 8,069,839

---

**DECLARATION OF DR. NIGEL N. CLARK**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS


LIST OF EXHIBITS ..... 1

I. Professional Background ..... 4

II. Scope of the Engagement ..... 6

III. Legal Understandings ..... 8

A. Claim Interpretation ..... 8

B. Prior Art ..... 9

C. Anticipation ..... 10

D. Obviousness ..... 10

1. Motivation to Combine ..... 12

E. Date of Invention ..... 14

IV. Technical Background ..... 15

V. The '839 Patent ..... 19

A. Disclosure of the '839 Patent ..... 19

1. Background of the Invention ..... 19

2. Summary of the Invention ..... 20

3. Detailed Description ..... 22

B. Challenged Claims of the '839 Patent ..... 28

VI. File History ..... 30

A. U.S. Patent No. 8,069,839 ..... 30

B. U.S. Patent No. 7,971,572 ..... 31

C. U.S. Patent No. 7,762,233 ..... 32

D. U.S. Patent No. 7,740,004 ..... 34

E. U.S. Patent No. 7,314,033 ..... 36

VII. Litigation related to the '839 Patent ..... 42

VIII. Claim Construction ..... 43

A. "Selected Torque Value" (Claim 1) / "some value of torque" (Claims 7, 8) ..... 43

B. "Port Injection" / "Direct Injection" (Claims 1-5, 7, 8) ..... 45

IX. PRIORITY ANALYSIS ..... 48

A. The disclosure in the specification does not disclose the use of single fuel ..... 52

B. The common specification distinguishes "anti-knock agents" from "gasoline" ..... 60

C. Disclosure of a single fuel system was made during an amendment during the prosecution of the '839 patent application ..... 62

X. GROUND 1: BROMBERG ANTICIPATES CLAIMS 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 ..... 65

A. Bromberg Overview ..... 65

B. Analysis ..... 73

i. Claim 1: [1.Pre] A spark ignition engine that is fueled both by direct injection and by port injection ..... 73

ii. Claim 1: [1.A] wherein above a selected torque value the ratio of fuel that is directly injected to fuel that is port injected increases; ..... 75

iii. Claim 1: [1.B] and wherein the engine is operated at a substantially stoichiometric fuel/air ratio. ..... 79

iv. Claim 2: The spark ignition engine of claim 1 where the ratio of directly injected fuel to port injected fuel increases with increasing torque. ....79

v. Claim 3: The spark ignition engine of claim 2 where the ratio of directly injected fuel to port injected fuel is determined by a signal from a knock detector. ....83

vi. Claim 4: The spark ignition engine of claim 3 further including a microprocessor that controls the ratio of the directly injected fuel to the port injected fuel based on the signal from the knock detector. ....85

vii. Claim 5: The spark ignition engine of claim 2 where open loop control is used to determine the ratio of the directly injected fuel to the port injected fuel. ....89

viii. Claim 6: The spark ignition engine of claim 1 where the engine operates at a substantially stoichiometric fuel/air ratio at the highest loads. ....91

ix. Claim 7: The spark ignition engine of claim 1 where the engine operates at some value of torque with port fuel injection alone. ....92

x. Claim 8: The spark ignition engine of claim 1 where the engine operates at some value of torque with direct injection alone. ....94

XI. GROUND 2: LEWIS ANTICIPATES CLAIMS 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 ....94

A. Lewis Overview ....94

B. Analysis ....101

i. Claim 1: [1.Pre] A spark ignition engine that is fueled both by direct injection and by port injection ....101

ii. Claim 1: [1.A] wherein above a selected torque value the ratio of fuel that is directly injected to fuel that is port injected increases; ....103

iii. Claim 1: [1.B] and wherein the engine is operated at a substantially stoichiometric fuel/air ratio. .....107

iv. Claim 2: The spark ignition engine of claim 1 where the ratio of directly injected fuel to port injected fuel increases with increasing torque. .....110

v. Claim 3: The spark ignition engine of claim 2 where the ratio of directly injected fuel to port injected fuel is determined by a signal from a knock detector. .....113

vi. Claim 4: The spark ignition engine of claim 3 further including a microprocessor that controls the ratio of the directly injected fuel to the port injected fuel based on the signal from the knock detector. .....115

vii. Claim 5: The spark ignition engine of claim 2 where open loop control is used to determine the ratio of the directly injected fuel to the port injected fuel. .....118

viii. Claim 6: The spark ignition engine of claim 1 where the engine operates at a substantially stoichiometric fuel/air ratio at the highest loads. .....121

ix. Claim 7: The spark ignition engine of claim 1 where the engine operates at some value of torque with port fuel injection alone. .....124

x. Claim 8: The spark ignition engine of claim 1 where the engine operates at some value of torque with direct injection alone. .....125

XII. REVISION OR SUPPLEMENTATION .....127

DOCKET ALARM

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

**LAW FIRMS**
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

**FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

**E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.