

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC.,
Petitioner

v.

SYNKLOUD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR 2019-01655

Patent No. 9,098,526

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.120**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
LIST OF EXHIBITS	vii
I. EVIDENCE RELIED UPON	6
II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	7
A. Storing a Data Object Therein or Retrieving a Data Object Therefrom	7
B. Predefined Capacity	9
C. Coupling	11
III. PRUST IN VIEW OF MAJOR DOES NOT RENDER THE CLAIMS OF THE '526 PATENT OBVIOUS	13
A. Each of the Challenged Claims Requires Utilizing Download Information for the File Stored in (said) Cache Storage	13
B. One Would Not Modify Prust to Feature a Cache	14
C. One of Skill Could Not “Utilize Download Information” from The Cache	17
D. Each of the Challenged Claims Require a Storage Space of Predefined Capacity Assigned Exclusively to a User of the Wireless Device by a Storage Server	24
E. Each of the Challenged Claims Requires Coupling of the Wireless Device with the Storage Server	28
F. Claims 3 and 20 Require a Plurality of Storage Devices, Not Virtual Storage Areas or Devices	30
G. Prust and Major Are Not Properly Combinable	31
IV. CHAGANTI IN VIEW OF MAJOR DOES NOT RENDER THE CLAIMS OBVIOUS	36
A. Chaganti in View of Major Does Not Render Utilizing Download Information Obvious	37

B. Chaganti and Major Together Do Not Disclose a Predefined Capacity Set by the Server	42
C. Dependent Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 20 Specifically Define Over Chaganti Taken in View of Major	43
D. One of Skill in the Art Would Not Combine Chaganti and Major	46
V. THE '526 PATENT IS SUPPORTED BY SECONDARY INDICIA OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS	49

TABLE OF AUTHORITES

PAGE NO.

CASES

<i>Akzo Nobel Coatings, Inc. v. Dow Chemical Co.</i> , 811 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	9
<i>Allergan, Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i> , 796 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	32
<i>Apple Inc. v. International Trade Com'n</i> , 725 F.3d 1356 (dissent) Fed. Cir. 2013)	50
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Products, Inc.</i> , 876 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	32
<i>Brookhill-Wilk 1, LLC, v. Intuitive Surgical, Inc.</i> , 334 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	10
<i>Custom Accessories, Inc. v. Jeffrey-Allan Industries, Inc.</i> , 807 F.2d 955 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	49
<i>Demaco Corp. v. F. Von Langsdorff Licensing Ltd.</i> , 51 F.2d 1387 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	49
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 US 1, 86 S.Ct. 684, 15 L.Ed.2d 545, 148 USPT459 (1966)	49
<i>Hockerson-Halberstadt, Inc. v. Converse Inc.</i> , 183 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	11
<i>In re Cyclobenzaprine Hydrochloride Extended Release Capsule Patent Litigation</i> , 676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	51
<i>In re Dembiczak</i> , 175 F.3d 999, 50 USPQ2d 1617	2,5,48

<i>In re Gurley</i> , 27 F.3d 551 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	32
<i>In re Kotzab</i> , 217 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	2
<i>In re Rouffet</i> , 149 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	51
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	44
<i>Lindemann Maschinenfabrik GmbH v. American Hoist & Derrick Co.</i> , 730 F.2d 1452, 221 USPQ 481 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	49
<i>Medichem, S.A. v. Rolabo, S.L.</i> , 437 F.3d 1157 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	20
<i>Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharm. USC, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	9
<i>Panduit Corp. v. Dennison Mfg. Co.</i> , 7810 F.2d 1561 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	3
<i>PAR Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. TWI Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	20
<i>Personal Web Technologies, LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	19
<i>PharmaStem Therapeutics, Inc. v. ViaCell, Inc.</i> , 491 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	21
<i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int'l., Inc.</i> , 711 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	51
<i>Power Mosfet Techs., L.L.C. v. Siemens AG</i> , 378 F.3d 1396 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.