

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC.,
Petitioner

v.

SYNKLOUD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR 2019-01655

Patent No. 9,098,526

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
LIST OF EXHIBITS	v
I. PETITIONER HAS NOT MET ITS BURDEN	1
A. The Focus Must Be On the Claim Terms Themselves	2
B. The Systems of the Prior Art Do Not Disclose the Subject Matter of the ‘526 Patent	2
II. PROPERLY CONSTRUED, THE CLAIMS REQUIRE BOTH STORING AND RETRIEVAL OF A DATA OBJECT	7
A. Petitioner’s Requested Construction Is Improper	7
B. The Proposed Construction is Contrary to the Specification	9
C. The Proposed Construction Violates a Central Tenet of Claim Construction	13
III. PRUST, AS MODIFIED BY MAJOR, DOES NOT TEACH CRITICAL FEATURES OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS	15
A. Prust in View of Major Does Not Describe and Enable the Claimed “Utilizing Download Information for the File Stored in (the) Cache	20
B. Prust in View of Major Does Not Disclose “Predefined Capacity”	27
C. Prust in View of Major Does Not Describe and Enable “Coupling” to Promote Storage and Retrieval	29
D. There is No Motivation to Combine Prust with Major	31
E. Dependent Claims 3 and 20 Recite Features Not Disclosed In Prust Combined with Major	35

IV.	CHAGANTI TAKEN IN VIEW OF MAJOR FAILS TO RENDER OBVIOUS ALL CLAIMS FOR MANY OF THE SAME REASONS PRUST IN VIEW OF MAJOR FAIL	37
A.	Chaganti in View of Major Do Not Describe and Enable The Claimed “Utilizing Download Information for the File Stored in (the) Cache”	38
B.	Chaganti in Light of Major Does Not Describe and Enable A Storage Space of Predefined Capacity Exclusively Assigned	40
C.	There is No Motivation to Combine Chaganti and Major	43
V.	CONCLUSION	46

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

PAGE NO.

CASES

<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Products, Inc.</i> , 876 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	43
<i>Aventis Pharma S.A. v. Hospira, Inc.</i> , 675 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	12
<i>Bicon Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	14
<i>Biofrontera Incorporated v. Dusa Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i> , 2019 WL 965230 *10 (PTAB)	18
<i>Blackbird Tech LLC v. ELB Elecs., Inc.</i> , 895 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	12
<i>Ekchian v. Home Depot, Inc.</i> , 104 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	12
<i>Elekta Instrument S.A. v. O.U.R. Sci. Int'l, Inc.</i> , 214 F.3d 1302 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	14
<i>Ex parte Richardson</i> , 2004 WL 7730 *2 (PTAB 2009)	16
<i>Ex parte Wong</i> , 2014 WL 1618567 *5	23
<i>Gen. Am. Transp. Corp. v. Cryo-Trans, Inc.</i> , 93 F.3d 766 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	14
<i>In re Geerdes</i> , 491 F.2d 1260 (CCPA 1974)	16

<i>In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.</i> , 496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	35
<i>In re IPR Licensing, Inc.</i> , 942 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	32
<i>In re Morsa</i> , 803 F.3d 1374 (NEED REST OF CITE)	5
<i>Merck & Co., Inc. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	14
<i>PAR Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. TWI Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	19
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	11
<i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Semiconductor Components Industries, LLC</i> , 926 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	7
<i>PPC Broadband, Inc. v. Corning Optical Communicatins RF, LLC</i> , 815 F.3d 747 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	2
<i>Regents of the University of Minnesota v. LSI Corporation</i> , 926 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	7
<i>SAS Institute v. Iancu</i> , 138 S.Ct. 1348	1
<i>SciMed Life Sys., Inv. V. Adv. Cardiovascular Sys., Inc.</i> , 242 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	12
<i>Star Scientific, Inc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.</i> , 655 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	18
<i>Techtronic Industries Co. Ltd. v. International Trade Commission</i> , 2019 WL 6753355 *4-6 (Fed. Cir. Dec. 12, 2019)	11,13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.