UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
ROKU, INC. Petitioner
v.
UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. Patent Owner

DECLARATION OF DR. SAMUEL H. RUSS

Case IPR No. IPR2019-01615 U.S. Patent 9,716,853

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD" Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	TRODUCTION1				
II.	\mathbf{QU}	ALIFICATIONS				
III.	MA'	TERI	ALS CONSIDERED	4		
IV.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS					
	A.	Leve	el of ordinary skill	7		
	B.	Claim construction				
		1.	"for use in controlling each of at least a first functional operation and a second functional operation of the intended target appliance"			
		2.	Other terms	11		
	C.	Obv	iousness	12		
V.	BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY1					
	A.	In-F	Iome Entertainment System Topography Was Well-Known	15		
	B.	Infrared Remote Controls and Commands Were Well-Known1				
	C.	Selecting Remote-Control Commands: Scanning, Receiving, and Dialog Boxes Were Well-Known				
	D.	Ren	note-Control Conversion	27		
		1.	IR Blasters	27		
		2.	Firewire and CEA-931	30		
		3.	HDMI CEC	32		
		4.	Other Protocols	42		
	E. Devices with Multiple Communication Methods Were		ices with Multiple Communication Methods Were Well-			
		Known4				
	F.	Lists, Tables, and Data Structures Were Well-Known				
VI.	THE CHALLENGED PATENT					
	A.	The Described Invention				
	B.	The Asserted Claims:				
		1.	Independent Claim 1	55		
		2.	Dependent Claim 3	57		



Declaration for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,716,853

		3.	Depe	ndent Claim 5	.57
		4.	Depe	ndent Claim 7	.57
VII.	THE	ASSE	RTEI	O PRIOR ART	.58
	A.	Over	view o	f Chardon (EX1005)	.58
	B.	Over	view o	f the HDMI Specification (EX1010)	.64
	C.	Over	view o	f Stecyk (EX1006)	.69
VIII.	CHA	LLEN	IGED	CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	.72
	A.			Claims 1, 3, 5, and 7 are Rendered Obvious by Chardon HDMI and Stecyk	.73
		1.	Indep	pendent Claim 1	.75
			a)	"[1.P] A universal control engine, comprising:"	.75
			b)	"[1.1] a processing device; and a memory device having stored thereon instructions executable by the processing device, the instructions, when executed by the processing device, causing the universal control engine"	.77
			c)	"[1.2] to respond to a detected presence of an intended target appliance within a logical topography of controllable appliances which includes the universal control engine"	
			d)	"[1.3] by using an identity associated with the intended target appliance to create a listing"	.83
			e)	"[1.4] comprised of at least a first communication method and a second communication method different than the first communication method for use in controlling each of at least a first functional operation and a second functional operation of the intended target appliance and"	
			f)	"[1.5] to respond to a received request from a controlling device intended to cause the intended target appliance to perform a one of the first and second functional operations"	.95
			g)	"[1.6] by causing a one of the first and second communication methods in the listing of communication methods that has been associated with	



Declaration for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,716,853

	the requested one of the first and second functional operations to be used to transmit to the intended target appliance a command for controlling the requested one of the first and second functional operations of the intended target appliance."
2.	Dependent Claim 399
	a) "[3.P] The universal control engine as recited in claim 1, wherein the instructions cause the universal control engine to"
	b) "[3.1] initiate a detection of the presence of the intended target appliance within the logical topography of controllable appliances."
3.	Dependent Claim 5101
	a) "[5.P] The universal control engine as recited in claim 1, wherein the instruction [sic] cause the universal control engine to cause"
	b) "[5.1] a prompt to be displayed in a display associated with the universal control engine in response to a detected presence of the intended target appliance within a logical topography of controllable appliances, the prompt requesting a user to provide data indicative of the identity associated with the intended target appliance."
4.	Dependent Claim 7105
	a) "[7.P] The universal control engine as recited in claim 1, wherein the instructions cause the universal control engine to"
	b) "[7.1] initiate an interrogation of the intended target appliance to determine which of a plurality of communication methods are supported by the appliance for use in receiving a command for controlling at least one of the first and second functional operations and using results obtained from the interrogation to create the listing."
OTHER E	VIDENCE RELEVANT TO OBVIOUSNESS107



IX.

I, Samuel H. Russ, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. I have been asked by Roku, Inc. ("Roku") to provide expert opinions in the above-captioned *Inter Partes* Review proceeding involving U.S. Patent No. 9,716,853 ("the '853 patent"), which is entitled "System And Method For Optimized Appliance Control."
- 2. I am being compensated by Roku on an hourly basis for the time I spend in connection with this proceeding. My compensation is not dependent in any way on the substance of my opinions or in the outcome of this proceeding.

II. QUALIFICATIONS

- 3. My qualifications for forming the opinions set forth in this declaration are summarized here and explained in more detail in my curriculum vitae, which is attached as Exhibit 1004. Exhibit 1004 also includes a list of my publications and the cases in which I have testified at deposition, hearing, or trial during the past four years.
- 4. I received a Bachelor's degree in Electrical Engineering from the Georgia Institute of Technology ("Georgia Tech") in 1986 and a Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from Georgia Tech in 1991.
- 5. From 2007 to the present, I have been a member of the faculty of the University of South Alabama as an Assistant and Associate Professor in the



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

